

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Alter, Millicent, and Silverman, A. 'The Response in Programmed Instruction' Journal of Programmed Instruction; January, 1962.
2. Angell, D., and Lumsdaine, A.A. The Effects of Prompting Trials and Partial - Correction Produces on Learning by Anticipation. San Mateo, Calif. :American Institute for Research, 1961.
3. Axelrod, J., 'The Techniques of Group Discussions in the College Class', Journal of General Education, 26,1948.
4. Barlow, J.A. 'Programmed Instruction in Perspective: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow.' Prospectives in Programing (Edited by R.Filep) New York: Macmillan Co., 1963.
5. Beane, D.G. A Comparison of Linear and Branching Techniques of Programmed Instruction in Plane Geometry. USOE Title VII Project No. 7iii 51.01. Technical Report No.1. Urbana : Training Research Laboratory, University of Illinois, 1962.
6. Beane, D.G. A Comparison of Linear and Branching Techniques of Programed Instruction in Plane Geometry. Technical Report No.i. Urbana : University of Illinois - July 1962.
7. BenisKos, J.M., 'The Person Teacher', The Education Digest Vol.XXXVI, No.8, April 1971.
8. Bloom, B.S., 'Thought - Processes in Lectures and Discussions', Journal of General Education, 7, 1953.

9. Burns, R.W., 'The Theory of Expressing Objectives',
Educational Technology, Vol.VII, No.20, 1967.
10. Burton, B.B., and Gold beck, R.A., The Effect of Response Characteristics and Multiple Choice Alternatives on Learning during Programmed Instruction. Technical Report No.4. San Mateo, Calif. : American Institute for Research, 1962.
11. Callender Patricia., Programmed Learning Its Development and Structure, Longmans, 1969.
12. Campbell, V.N., Adjusting Self-Instruction Programs to Individual Differences : Studies on Cueing, Responding and Bypassing. San Mateo, Calif. :American Institute for Research, 1961.
13. Campbell, V.N., Studies of Bypassing as a Way of Adapting Self - Instruction Programs to Individual Differences. San Mateo: Calif.:American Institute for Research,1962.
14. Cook,John Oliver and Spitzer,Morton E., 'Supplementary Report: Prompting Versus Confirmation in Paired-Associated Learning.' Journal of Exptl.Psychol.59,1960.
15. Coulson, J.E., and Silberman, H.F., 'Effects of Three Variables in a Teaching Machine' - Journal of Educational Psychology 51, June 1960.
16. Coulson, J.E., and Others., 'Effects of Branching in a Computer - Controlled Auto - Instructional Device'. Journal of Applied Psychology 46, December 1962.
17. Cresswell, S., 'Teaching Chess by Programmed Instruction - Educ. Rev., 16,2, Feb. 1964.

18. Csanyi, A.P., Glaser, R.; and Reynolds, T.H., 'Programming Methods and Response Mode in a Visual - Oral Response Task'. Investigations of Learning Variables in Programmed Instruction. Pittsburgh: Programmed Learning Laboratory, University of Pittsburgh, 1962.
19. Cummings, Allana., and Goldstein, L.S., The Effect of Overt and Covert Responding on Two Kinds of Learning Tasks. Technical Report. New York: Center for Programmed Instruction, 1962 (Mimeo).
20. Daniel, W.J., & Murdoch, P., 'Effectiveness of Learning from a Programmed Text compared with a Conventional Text covering the same material.' Journal of Educational Psychology, 59, 1968.
21. Dible, I.W., 'The Teacher in a Multi-mediated Setting', The Education Digest, Vol. XXXVI, No.6, Feb.1971.
22. Eigen, L.D., and Margulies, S., 'Response Characteristics as a Function of Information Level. Journal of Programmed Instruction 2 : Spring, 1963.
23. Evans, J.H., 'An Investigation of Teaching Machine - Variables Using Learning Programs in Symbolic Logic.' Doctoral Thesis. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh, 1960. (Cf., Evans, J.L.; Glaser, R.} and Homme, L.E. Journal of Educational Research 55, June-July 1962).
24. Evans, J.L.; Homme, L.E.; and Glaser, R., 'The Ruleg System for the Construction of Programmed Verbal Learning Sequences'. Journal of Educational Research 55, June-July, 1962.

25. Feldhusen, J.F., and Birt, A. 'A Study of Nine Methods of Presentation of Programmed Learning Material.' Journal of Educational Research, 55, June - July 1962.
26. Fry, E.B., 'A Study of Teaching-Machine Response Modes.' Teaching Machines and Programmed Learning. (Edited by A.A.Lumsdaine and R.Glaser.) Washington, D.C.; National Education Association, 1960.
27. Gilbert, T.F., On the Relevance of Laboratory Investigation of Learning to Self-Instructional Programming. Paper presented at the American Psychological Association Convention, Cincinnati, Ohio, September 1959.
28. Gilbert, T.F., 'Mathematics : The Technology of Education', Journal of Mathematics, Vol.1, No.1, 1962 a
29. Gilpin, J.; Barlow, J., and Alexander, H. Type of Format, Mode of Response, and Efficiency of Learning with a Self-Instruction Program. Richmond, Ind.:Earlham College, 1960. Cited by James L. Evans, Programming in Mathematics and Logic in Teaching Machines and Programmed Learning, II Data and Directions (Edited by Robert Glaser), 1965.
30. Glaser, R.; Reynolds, J.H.; and Harakas, T., An Experimental Comparison of a Small-Step Single Track Program with a Large-Step Multitrack (Branching) Program. Pittsburgh: Programmed Learning Laboratory, University of Pittsburgh, 1962.
31. Goldbeck, R.A., and Campbell, V.N., 'The Effects of Response Mode and Response Difficulty in Programmed Learning', Journal of Educational Psychology 53, June 1962.

32. Goldbeck, R.A.; Campbell, V.N.; and Llewellyn, Joan E., Further Experimental Evidence on Response Modes in Automated Instruction. Technical Report No.3. Santa Barbara, Calif. : American Institute for Research, 1960.
33. Gropper, G.L., and Lumsdaine, A.A., An Investigation of the Role of Selected Variables in Programmed TV Instruction Studies in Televised Instruction, Report No.4. Pittsburgh:Metropolitan Pittsburgh Educational Television Stations WQED - WQEX and American Institute for Research, 1961 C.
34. Holland, J.G., and Porter, D., 'The Influence of Repetition of Incorrectly - Answered Items in a Teaching - Machine Program.' Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behaviour 4, October 1962.
35. Holland, James., Quoted in Teaching Machines and Programmed Instruction by Fry, Edward, B., McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1963.
36. Holland, J.G., 'Response Contingencies in Teaching - Machine Programs.' Journal of Programmed Instructions. (In Teaching Machines and Programmed Learning II, Data and Direction, Edited by Robert Glaser), 1965.
37. Holland, J.G., 'Research on Programming Variables'. Teaching Machines and Programmed Learning II Data and Directions. (Edited by Robert Glaser) Department of Audio-Visual Instruction, National Education Association of the United States, 1965.
38. Hough, J.B., 'An Analysis of the Efficiency and Effectiveness of Selected Aspects of Machine Instruction'. Journal of Educational Research 55, June-July 1962.

39. Hughes, John L., Programmed Instruction : A Follow-up Study of 7070 Training. New York: International Business Machines Corporation, 1961.
40. Kaess, W., and Zeaman, D. 'Positive and Negative Knowledge of Results on a Pressey - Type Punchboard.' Journal of Experimental Psychology 60, July 1960.
41. Kanner, Joseph H., and Sulzer, Richard L., Overt and Covert Rehearsal of Fifty Per Cent Vs. One Hundred Per Cent of the Material in Film Learning in Lumsdaine, ed., 1961.
42. Knight, M.A.G., 'The Auto and Classroom Instruction' 2: The Royal Air Force Study. Occup. Psychol. 37, I, 1963.
43. Koromondy, E.J., Obertin Experiments in Self Instruction in General Zoology: Preliminary Analyses. Cited in Automation Teaching : A Review of Theory and Research, by Robert E. Silverman, Port Washington, N.Y., U.S., Naval Training Device Centre, 1960.
44. Koromondy, E.J., and Van Atta, E.L., 'Experiment in Self-Instruction in General Biology.' Ohio Journal of Science 4; January 1962.
45. Krishnamurti, G.B., and Rao, M.N., Programmed Learning Manual - A Scientific Approach to Programming, 1968 (Mimeo).
46. Kulkarni, S.S., 'Mathematics Achievement in Twelve Countries,' Indian Educational Review, 3:1, January 1968.
47. Kulkarni, S.S., and Naidu, C.A.S., 'Mathematics Achievement related to Students' Socio-Economic and Attitude Variables - a Pilot Study', Indian Journal of Psychology, Vol.45, Part (i), 1970.

48. Kulkarni, S.S., Naidu, C.A.S.; and Arya, M., 'A Survey of Mathematics Achievement in Indian Schools.' Indian Educational Review, Vol.4, No.1, January, 1969.
49. Krumboltz, J.D. and Weisman, R.G., 'The Effect of Receiving the Confirming Response in Context in Programmed Material,' Journal of Educational Research, 53, 2, 1962.
50. Krumboltz, J.D., and Weisman, R.G., 'The Effect of Overt Vs. Covert Responding to Programmed Instruction on Immediate and Delayed Retention.' Journal of Educational Psychology, 53:2, April 1962.
51. Larkin, T.C. and Leith, G.O.M., 'A Comparison of Linear and Branching Methods of Programmed Instruction,' Programming 1963, Research Unit Education Department, University of Sheffield, 1963.
52. Larkin, T.C., 'A Comparison of Branching and Linear Methods of Programming Elementary Science'. Educ. Rev., 16, 2, February, 1964.
53. Lambert, P., Miller, D.M.; and Wiley, D.E., 'Experimental Folklore and Experimentation: The Study of Programmed Learning in the Wauwatosa Public Schools.' Journal of Educational Research 55, June - July 1962.
54. Leith, G.O.M., 'Research on Programmed Learning', A Handbook of Programmed Learning by Leith, G.O.M. Peel, E.A., and Curr. W., Birmingham, University of Birmingham, 1966.
55. Leith, G.O.M. and Guhman, A.S., The Effects of Prompting and Confirmation on Two Methods of Responding to a Self-Instructional Programme on Coordinate Geometry. Research Notes on Programmed Learning No.6 (Mimeo) of National Centre for Programmed Learning, University of Birmingham, 1966.

56. Lewis, D.G., and Whitwell, Margaret, N., 'The Effects of Reinforcement and Response Upon Programmed Learning in Mathematics'. Programmed Learning and Educational Technology, Vol.8, No.3, July 1971.
57. Lewis; D.G., 'The Role of the Pretest in Experimental Design', Research in Education, No.2, November 1969.
58. Lindquist, E.F., Statistical Analysis in Educational Research, Oxford & IBH Publishing Co., Calcutta, 1970.
59. Lumsdaine, A.A., ed. Student Response in Programmed Instruction. A Symposium on Experimental Studies of cue and Response Factors in Group and Individual Learning from Instructional Media. Sponsored by Headquarters Air Research and Development Command, U.S. Air Force, Washington D.C. : National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, 1961.
60. Maccoby, N., and Sheffield, F.D., 'Theory and Experimental Research on the Teaching of Complex Sequential Procedures by Alternate Demonstration and Practice', Cited in The Research on Programmed Instruction. An Annotated Bibliography edited by Schramm, V.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, 1964.
61. Mager, Robert F., 'On the Sequencing of Instructional Content,' Psychological Reports, 1961.
62. Mager, Robert, F., Preparing Objectives for Programmed Instruction, San Francisco., Fearon, 1962.
63. Margolias, Garry J., and Sheffield, Fred D., 'Optimum Methods of Combining Practice with Filmed Demonstration in Teaching Complex Response Sequences: Serial Learning of a Mechanical Assembly Task.' In Lumsdaine, ed., 1961.

64. Middleton, R.C. 'The Effects of Size of Step on Programmed Learning Using a Spelling Programme' Educ. Rev., 16, 2, February 1964.
65. Pipe, Peter., Practical Programming, New York, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, Inc., 1966.
66. Pressey, Sidney L., A Puncture of the Huge 'Programming' Boom, Tucson, Ariz. Cited by Schramm in The Research on Programmed Instruction. An Annotated Bibliography, 1964.
67. Reid, R.L. and Taylor, J., Programmed Learning with and without Responding, ' Occup. Psychol., Vol.39, Apr.1965.
68. Roe, A.; Lyman, J.; and Moon, H., The Dynamics of an Automated Teaching System.' Automated Teaching Bulletin i, Spring 1961.
69. Roe, A., 'A Comparison of Branching Methods for Programmed Learning.' Journal of Educational Research, ' 55, June-July 1962.
70. Roe, A. 'Research in Programmed Learning', Programmed Learning and Computer - Based Instruction. (Edited by J.E.Coulson), New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1962.
71. Roe, A., and Others., 'Automated Teaching Methods using Linear Programs.' No.60-105. Los Angeles:Automated Learning Research Project, University of California, 1960.
72. Rothkopf, Ernst Z., 'Some Observations on Predicting Instructional Effectiveness by Simple Inspection', In the Research on Programmed Instruction - An Annotated Bibliography by Schramm, U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington, 1964.

73. Schramm, W., The Research on Programmed Instruction - An Annotated Bibliography - U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1964.
74. Shay, C.B., 'Relationship of Intelligence to Step Size on a Teaching-Machine Program.' Journal of Educational Psychology 52, April, 1961.
75. Shah, G.B., Construction and Standardization of a Non-Verbal Group Test of Intelligence for the Children Age Group 8 - 14. Doctoral Dissertation, M.S. University of Baroda, Baroda, 1964.
76. Shah, G.B., 'Effectiveness of Four Response Modes in Programmed Learning - An Exploration', Indian Educational Review, Vol.6, No.1., January 1971.
77. Shah, G.B., 'Response Modes in Programmed Learning : An Overview', A Handbook of Programmed Learning (Edited by Patel, I.J., et al.), Indian Association for Programmed Learning, Baroda, 1971 a.
78. Silberman, H.F., and Others., 'Fixed Sequence Vs. Branching Auto Instructional Methods'. Journal of Educational Psychology 52, June 1961.
79. Sidowski, Joseph B., Kopstein, Felix, F., and Shilløstead, Isabel, J., 'Prompting and Confirmation Variables in Verbal Learning.' Psychological Reports 8, 1961.
80. Silverman, R.E., and Alter, Millicent., 'Notes on the Response in Teaching Machine Programmes', Psychological Reports, 7, 1960.

81. Skinner, B.F., 'Teaching Machines' Science 128, October 1958.
82. Smith, B.O., 'Towards a Theory of Teaching', Theory and Research in Teaching by Arno A Bellack (ed.) New York: Teachers College Press, Columbia University, 1963.
83. Stolurow, L.M., 'Prompting Vs. Confirmation Sequences and Over-learning in the Automated Teaching of Sight Vocabulary. The Fourteenth International Congress of Applied Psychology. Copenhagen : Emil Kristensens Bogtrykkeri, 1961. (Abstract).
84. Stolurow, L.M., and Walker, C.C., 'A Comparison of Overt and Covert Response in Programmed Learning,' Journal of Educational Research 55, June - July 1962.
85. Tobias, S., 'Distraction and Programmed Instruction'- Technical Report No.2, Programmed Instruction Research Project - New York: City College, City University of New York, 1969 (b).
86. Tobias, S., & Abramson, Theodore. 'Interaction among Anxiety, Stress, Response Mode and Familiarity of Subject Matter on Achievement from Programmed Instruction'. Journal of Educational Psychology 62, No.4, 1971.
87. Tobias, S., and Weiner, M., 'Effect of Response Mode on Immediate and Delayed Recall from Programmed Material', Journal of Programmed Instruction 2, Spring 1963.
88. Tuel, J.K., 'An Investigation of Overt Versus Covert Responding in Programmed Instruction', Programmed Learning, Vol.2, No.2, July 1965.

89. Tuel, J.K. and Metfessel, N.S., 'An Investigation of Overt Versus Covert Responding in Programmed Instruction', Psychological Abstract, 1204, Vol.39, August 1963.
90. Wallis, D. and Wicks, R.P., 'The Auto Tutor and Classroom Instruction'.1 : The Royal Navy Study. Occup. Psychol., 37, I, 1963.
91. Weiss, Walter; Maccoby, Nathan; and Sheffield, Fred D., 'Combining Practice with Demonstration in Teaching Complex Sequence' - Serial Learning of a Geometric-Construction Task' In Lumsdaine, ed. 1961.
92. Williams, Joanna P., 'A Comparison of Several Response Modes in a Review Program'. Journal of Educational Psychology 54, October 1963.

93.
Teaching, Learning and Systems Design

93. Bruner, J.S., The Process of Education, New York: Vintage Books, 1963.
94. Bruner, J.S., Studies in Cognitive Growth, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1966.
95. Ellis, D.O., and Ludwig, F.J., Systems Philosophy, Englewood, New Jersey, Prentice Hall, 1962.
96. Gagne, R.M. (Editor): Psychological Principles in System Development, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1962.
97. Glaser, R. (Editor): Training, Research and Education, Pittsburg: The University of Pittsburg, 1962.
98. Laughary, J.W. Man-Machine Systems in Education, New York: Harper and Row, 1966.

99. N.S.S.E., Theories of Learning and Instruction, 63rd Year Book, Chicago, University of Chicago, 1964.
100. Oettinger, Anthony, G.; Run, Computer, Run. Cambridge, Massachusetts; Harvard University Press, 1969.
101. Saettler, P., A History of Instructional Technology, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1968.
102. Skinner, B.F. Contingencies of Reinforcement: A Theoretical Analysis. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1969.
103. Smith, K.U. and Smith, M.F., Cybernetic Principles of Learning and Educational Design, New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 1966.
104. Staats, A. Human Learning, New York: Rinehart and Winston, 1964.

Indian Authors

105. Basu, G.K. (Editor): Programmed Instruction in Industry, Defence and Education, IAPL, 1969.
106. Bhosale and Shetye., Kramanvit Adhyapan, Poona, 1968.
107. ET., Towards an Educational Technology, IAPL, News Letter, Vol. III, No.1.
108. Krishnamurti, G.B. and Rao M.N., Programmed Learning Manual - A Scientific Approach to Programming, GER and IAPL, 1969.
109. Kulkarni, S.S., Introduction to Programmed Instruction, Delhi-6, Publication Department, NCERT, 1968.
110. Patel, I.J., et. al., A Handbook of Programmed Learning, Indian Association of Programmed Learning, Baroda, 1971.

111. Shah, G.B., Abhikramit Adhyan, Balgovind Prakashan,
Gandhi Marg, Ahmedabad-1, 1968.
112. Shah, G.B., and Dewal, C.S., Technology Khocks at the
Door of Education, Centre of Advanced Study in
Education, M.S.University of Baroda, Baroda, 1970.
- Programmes on Programmed Learning
113. Edwards, A.J. Introduction of Programmed Instruction,
University of Texas,
114. Mager, R.F., Preparing Objectives for Programmed Instruction,
New York: John Wiley and Sons Inc., 1963,
115. Markle, S. and Tieman, P., Programming is a Process (An
Introduction to Industrial Technology, ILMAC.)
116. Markle, S.M., Good Frames and Bad: A Grammar of Frame
Writing, New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1968.
117. Rummel, Smith Brethower, Marke and Scharader , Programmed
Learning
118. Rowntree, D. Basically Branching : A Handbook for Programmes,
Macdonald, 1966.
-