

LIST OF FIGURES

Sr. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 2.1	Separation of two opposite charge (+q and -q) carriers with a distance (r)	28
Figure 2.2	Different polarization mechanisms in the absence and presence of an electric field	29
Figure 2.3	Electronic polarization without field and with application of field	31
Figure 2.4	Orientation polarization without field and with application of field	32
Figure 2.5	Interfacial Polarization without field and with application of field	33
Figure 2.6	Contribution to the time dependent dielectric constant from different charge configuration	33
Figure 2.7	Complex permittivity and polarization mechanisms as function of frequency	34
Figure 2.8	Variation of ϵ' and ϵ'' as a against frequency for Debye relaxation	36
Figure 2.9	Growth of polarization with respect to t/τ	46
Figure 2.10	Decay of polarization with respect to t/τ	47
Figure 2.11	Variation of $\epsilon'(f)$ and $\epsilon''(f)$ with frequency	48
Figure 2.12	Debye semi-circle	49
Figure 2.13	Cole-Cole depressed arc	50
Figure 2.14	Cole-Davidson arc	51
Figure 2.15	Graphical of charge density distribution under a dc parallel plate field. Top of Form	56
Figure 2.16	Schematic plot of the EP relaxation in ϵ' , ϵ'' and $\tan \delta$ for a conductive system, with peak frequencies, dielectric relaxation strength and ϵ_R denoted	58
Figure 2.17	Parallel R-C Circuit	60
Figure 2.18	Nyquist impedance plot	60
Figure 2.19	Bode Plot with Impedance Vector	61
Figure 2.20	Different equivalent circuit models	62
Figure 2.21	Schematic of ultrasonic waves and properties	64
Figure 2.22	Schematic diagram of an FTIR spectrometer	66
Figure 3.1	Complex permittivity measurement by Precision LCR meter (Agilent E4980A) with four terminal liquid test fixture (Agilent 16452A)	74
Figure 3.2	Constant temperature water bath (Model Industrial Corporation)	74
Figure 3.3	Experimental setup for Precision LCR meter (Agilent E4980A) connected four terminal liquid test fixtures (Agilent 16452A) with constant temperature water bath	75

Figure 3.4	Schematic diagram of vector network analyzer (VNA) measurement set up with co-axial probe	76
Figure 3.5	Anritsu Shock line Vector Network Analyzer (VNA) MS46322A	77
Figure 3.6	SPEAG DAK-3.5 Probe	77
Figure 3.7	SPEAG Dielectric Assessment Kit (DAK)	77
Figure 3.8	Experimental setup of Anritsu Shockline VNA MS46322A along with SPEAG DAK	78
Figure 3.9	Schematic diagram of Smith chart showing open and short measurements	79
Figure 3.10	Calibration set up for short measurement showing copper strip and shorting block	80
Figure 3.11	Abbe's Refractometer	81
Figure 3.12	Internal structure of Abbe's Refractometer	82
Figure 3.13	(A) critical angle and (B) Total internal reflection	83
Figure 3.14	Specific gravity bottle for density (ρ) measurement	84
Figure 3.15	Ultrasonic Interferometer	86
Figure 3.16	Variation of Crystal current with Reflector position in an Interferometer	87
Figure 3.17	Cross Sectional View of Liquid Cell	88
Figure 3.18	Ostwald Viscometer	89
Figure 4.1 (A)	Plots of real part of complex dielectric permittivity (ϵ') as function of frequency and concentration of n-Hexanol in DMF at 293.15 K	110
Figure 4.1 (B)	Plots of real part of complex dielectric permittivity (ϵ') as function of frequency and concentration of n-Hexanol in DMF at 303.15 K	111
Figure 4.1 (C)	Plots of real part of complex dielectric permittivity (ϵ') as function of frequency and concentration of n-Hexanol in DMF at 313.15 K	111
Figure 4.2 (A)	Plots of imaginary part of complex dielectric permittivity (ϵ'') as function of frequency and concentration of n-Hexanol in DMF at 293.15 K.	112
Figure 4.2 (B)	Plots of imaginary part of complex dielectric permittivity (ϵ'') as function of frequency and concentration of n-Hexanol in DMF at 303.15 K.	112
Figure 4.2 (C)	Plots of imaginary part of complex dielectric permittivity (ϵ'') as function of frequency and concentration of n-Hexanol in DMF at 313.15 K	113
Figure 4.3 (A)	Shows the experimental and fitted complex dielectric function (ϵ^*) data to the Cole–Cole model using CNLS for the concentration range ($X_A = 0.5$) at 293.15 K	116
Figure 4.3 (B)	Shows the experimental and fitted complex dielectric function (ϵ^*) data to the Cole–Cole model using CNLS for the concentration range ($X_A = 0.5$) at 303.15 K	116
Figure 4.3 (C)	Shows the experimental and fitted complex dielectric function (ϵ^*) data to the Cole–Cole model using CNLS for the concentration range ($X_A = 0.5$) at 313.15 K	117
Figure 4.4 (A)	Frequency dependent response of $\tan \delta$ against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	119

Figure 4.4 (B)	Frequency dependent response of $\tan \delta$ against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	120
Figure 4.4 (C)	Frequency dependent response of $\tan \delta$ against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	120
Figure 4.5 (A)	Frequency dependent response of real part of modulus (M') against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	121
Figure 4.5 (B)	Frequency dependent response of real part of modulus (M') against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	121
Figure 4.5 (C)	Frequency dependent response of real part of modulus (M') against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	122
Figure 4.6 (A)	Frequency dependent response of Imaginary part of modulus (M'') against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	123
Figure 4.6 (B)	Frequency dependent response of Imaginary part of modulus (M'') against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	123
Figure 4.6 (C)	Frequency dependent response of Imaginary part of modulus (M'') against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	124
Figure 4.7 (A)	Complex electric modulus plane plots ($M''(f)$ vs. $M'(f)$) for the binary mixtures of n-Hexanol and DMF at 293.15 K	125
Figure 4.7 (B)	Complex electric modulus plane plots ($M''(f)$ vs. $M'(f)$) for the binary mixtures of n-Hexanol and DMF at 303.15 K	126
Figure 4.7 (C)	Complex electric modulus plane plots ($M''(f)$ vs. $M'(f)$) for the binary mixtures of n-Hexanol and DMF at 313.15 K	126
Figure 4.8 (A)	Relationship between τ_{EP} , τ'_{EP} and τ_{σ} for $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$ and $X_A=1.0$ at 293.15 K	127
Figure 4.8 (B)	Relationship between τ_{EP} , τ'_{EP} and τ_{σ} for $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$ and $X_A=1.0$ at 303.15 K	128
Figure 4.8 (C)	Relationship between τ_{EP} , τ'_{EP} and τ_{σ} for $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$ and $X_A=1.0$ at 313.15 K	129
Figure 4.9 (A)	Frequency dependent response of σ' against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	131
Figure 4.9 (B)	Frequency dependent response of σ' against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	132
Figure 4.9 (C)	Frequency dependent response of σ' against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	132
Figure 4.10 (A)	Frequency dependent response of σ'' against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	133
Figure 4.10 (B)	Frequency dependent response of σ'' against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	133
Figure 4.10 (C)	Frequency dependent response of σ'' against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	134
Figure 4.11	Arrhenius plots of (a) dc conductivity (σ_{ac}) and (b) ionic conductivity relaxation time (τ_{σ}) for the binary mixture of DMF and n-Hexanol	135

Figure 4.12 (A)	Frequency dependent response of Z' against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	136
Figure 4.12 (B)	Frequency dependent response of Z' against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	137
Figure 4.12 (C)	Frequency dependent response of Z' against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	137
Figure 4.13 (A)	Frequency dependent response of Z'' against volume fraction of DMF at 293.15 K	138
Figure 4.13 (B)	Frequency dependent response of Z'' against volume fraction of DMF at 303.15 K	138
Figure 4.13 (C)	Frequency dependent response of Z'' against volume fraction of DMF at 313.15 K	139
Figure 4.14	Complex impedance plot ($Z'' \rightarrow Z'$) for n-Hexanol +DMF binary mixture in the frequency range 20 Hz to 2 MHz at 313.15 K	139
Figure 4.15	The graphical representation of experimental data points along with fitted data points of complex impedance spectra plot (Z'' vs Z') using an equivalent RC circuit. The concentrations represented are $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$ and $X_A=1.0$ at 303.15 K	141
Figure 4.16 (A)	Master curves showing EDL and conductivity relaxation processes for $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$, and $X_A=1.0$ at 293.15 K	143
Figure 4.16 (B)	Master curves showing EDL and conductivity relaxation processes for $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$, and $X_A=1.0$ at 303.15 K	144
Figure 4.16 (C)	Master curves showing EDL and conductivity relaxation processes for $X_A=0.0$, $X_A=0.5$, and $X_A=1.0$ at 313.15 K	145
Figure 4.17	Frequency dependent dielectric constant (ϵ') spectra of (n-Hexanol+DMF) binary mixtures in frequency span 200 MHz to 20 GHz frequency at different temperatures	146
Figure 4.18	Frequency dependent dielectric loss (ϵ'') spectra of (n-Hexanol+DMF) binary mixtures in frequency span 200 MHz to 20 GHz frequency at different temperatures.	147
Figure 4.19	Plots of complex permittivity vs frequency for different concentration of (a) $X_1 = 0.0$, (b) $X_1 = 0.3805$, (c) $X_1 = 0.8468$, and (d) $X_1 = 1.0$. for the binary liquid system at 293.15K temperature. The solid line (-) shows the CNLS fitted dielectric relaxation models for CD-Cole Davidson, and CC-Cole Cole	148
Figure 4.20	The variation of static dielectric constant (ϵ_{0m}) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at different temperatures	150
Figure 4.21	The variation of Relaxation time (τ_0) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at different temperatures	151
Figure 4.22	Plot of excess dielectric constant (ϵ_0) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures.	152

Figure 4.23	Plot of excess inverse relaxation time $(1/\tau_0)^E$ against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures.	152
Figure 4.24	Plot of excess high-frequency limiting dielectric constant $(\epsilon_\infty)^E$ against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures.	153
Figure 4.25	Variation of effective Kirkwood correlation factor (g^{eff}) versus mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures.	155
Figure 4.26	Variation of corrective Kirkwood correlation factor (g^f) versus mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	157
Figure 4.27	Plot of Bruggeman factor (f_B) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	158
Figure 4.28	Variation of power reflected (P_r), power transmitted (P_t) and penetration depth (d_p) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	160
Figure 5.1 (A)	Variation of complex dielectric function $\epsilon^*(f)$ against volume fraction of DMF in binary mixtures of all concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K.	176
Figure 5.1 (B)	Variation of complex dielectric function $\epsilon^*(f)$ against volume fraction of DMF in binary mixtures of all concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K.	176
Figure 5.1 (C)	Variation of complex dielectric function $\epsilon^*(f)$ against volume fraction of DMF in binary mixtures of all concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K.	177
Figure 5.2 (A)	Complex dielectric function ($\epsilon^*(f)$) fitted to Cole-Cole model by CNLS method for different concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$ and $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	179
Figure 5.2 (B)	Complex dielectric function ($\epsilon^*(f)$) fitted to Cole-Cole model by CNLS method for different concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$ and $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	180
Figure 5.2 (C)	Complex dielectric function ($\epsilon^*(f)$) fitted to Cole-Cole model by CNLS method for different concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$ and $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	181
Figure 5.3 (A)	Variation of the $\tan \delta$ for concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	183
Figure 5.3 (B)	Variation of the $\tan \delta$ for concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	184
Figure 5.3 (C)	Variation of the $\tan \delta$ for concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	184
Figure 5.4 (A)	Normalized loss tangent ($\tan \delta / \tan \delta_{\text{max}}$) as a function of normalized frequency (f/f_{max}) for all the concentration range at 293.15 K	185
Figure 5.4 (B)	Normalized loss tangent ($\tan \delta / \tan \delta_{\text{max}}$) as a function of normalized frequency (f/f_{max}) for all the concentration range at 303.15 K	186
Figure 5.4 (C)	Normalized loss tangent ($\tan \delta / \tan \delta_{\text{max}}$) as a function of normalized frequency (f/f_{max}) for all the concentration range at 313.15 K	186

Figure 5.5 (A)	Variation of electric modulus function $M^*(f)$ for the variation concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	187
Figure 5.5 (B)	Variation of electric modulus function $M^*(f)$ for the variation concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	188
Figure 5.5 (C)	Variation of electric modulus function $M^*(f)$ for the variation concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	188
Figure 5.6 (A)	Normalized imaginary part of the electric modulus for all concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	189
Figure 5.6 (B)	Normalized imaginary part of the electric modulus for all concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	189
Figure 5.6 (C)	Normalized imaginary part of the electric modulus for all concentration range $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	190
Figure 5.7	The electric modulus spectra of the binary mixtures of n-Octanol and N, N-Dimethylformamide in complex plane at [a] 293.15 K, [b] 303.15 K, [c] 313.15 K temperatures	191
Figure 5.8 (A)	Relation between τ_{EP} , $\tau_{EP'}$ and τ_{σ} for concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$. and $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	192
Figure 5.8 (B)	Relation between τ_{EP} , $\tau_{EP'}$ and τ_{σ} for concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$. and $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	193
Figure 5.8 (C)	Relation between τ_{EP} , $\tau_{EP'}$ and τ_{σ} for concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$. and $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	194
Figure 5.9	(A) Real (σ') and (B) imaginary (σ'') parts of binary mixtures of all concentration range of $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at [a] 293.15 K, [b] 303.15 K, [c] 313.15 K temperatures	195
Figure 5.10	(A) Real ($Z'(f)$) and (B) imaginary ($Z''(f)$) parts of complex impedance ($Z^*(f)$) for binary mixtures of all concentration range of $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at [a] 293.15 K, [b] 303.15 K, [c] 313.15 K temperatures	198
Figure 5.11 (A)	Plot of Z'' versus Z' for all concentration range of $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	199
Figure 5.11 (B)	Plot of Z'' versus Z' for all concentration range of $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	200
Figure 5.11 (C)	Plot of Z'' versus Z' for all concentration range of $X_A = 0.0$ to $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	201
Figure 5.12 (A)	Master curves showing EDL and conductivity relaxation processes for $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$. and $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	203
Figure 5.12 (B)	Master curves showing EDL and conductivity relaxation processes for $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$. and $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	204
Figure 5.12 (C)	Master curves showing EDL and conductivity relaxation processes for $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$. and $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	205
Figure 5.13 (A)	Experimental data points and fitted data points of complex impedance spectra with a three-element	207

	equivalent RC circuit for concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$ and $X_A = 1.0$ at 293.15 K	
Figure 5.13 (B)	Experimental data points and fitted data points of complex impedance spectra with a three-element equivalent RC circuit for concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$ and $X_A = 1.0$ at 303.15 K	208
Figure 5.13 (C)	Experimental data points and fitted data points of complex impedance spectra with a three-element equivalent RC circuit for concentration $X_A = 0.0$, $X_A = 0.5$ and $X_A = 1.0$ at 313.15 K	209
Figure 5.14 (A)	Bode plot analysis of the complex impedance for (A) $X_A = 0.00$ and (B) $X_A = 0.5$ at 293.15 K	210
Figure 5.14 (B)	Bode plot analysis of the complex impedance for (A) $X_A = 0.00$ and (B) $X_A = 0.5$ at 303.15 K	211
Figure 5.14 (C)	Bode plot analysis of the complex impedance for (A) $X_A = 0.00$ and (B) $X_A = 0.5$ at 313.15 K	212
Figure 5.15 (C)	Variation of [A] real part of permittivity (ϵ') [B] imaginary part of permittivity (ϵ'') against frequency at different concentration of n-Octanol in binary mixtures Solid line represent Cole-Cole (C-C) Fitted at 293.15 K	216
Figure 5.15 (B)	Variation of [A] real part of permittivity (ϵ') [B] imaginary part of permittivity (ϵ'') against frequency at different concentration of n-Octanol in binary mixtures Solid line represent Cole-Cole (C-C) Fitted at 303.15 K	217
Figure 5.15 (C)	Variation of [A] real part of permittivity (ϵ') [B] imaginary part of permittivity (ϵ'') against frequency at different concentration of n-Octanol in binary mixtures Solid line represent Cole-Cole (C-C) Fitted at 313.15 K	217
Figure 5.16	Plots of dielectric relaxation strength ($\Delta\epsilon$) against mole fraction of n-Octanol in DMF at different temperatures	220
Figure 5.17	The variation of Relaxation time (τ_0) against mole fraction of n-Octanol at different temperature	221
Figure 5.18	Plot of excess dielectric constant (ϵ_0) ^E against mole fraction of n-Octanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	222
Figure 5.19	Plot of excess inverse relaxation time ($1/\tau_0$) ^E against mole fraction of n-Octanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	223
Figure 5.20	Variation of effective Kirkwood correlation factor (g^{eff}) versus mole fraction of n-Octanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	225
Figure 5.21	Variation of corrective Kirkwood correlation factor (g^{f}) versus mole fraction of n-Octanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	225
Figure 5.22	Plot of Bruggeman factor (f_B) against vol. fraction of n-Octanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	227
Figure 6.1	Ultrasonic velocity (Uv) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in DMF at different temperatures	239
Figure 6.2	Variation of density (ρ) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in DMF at different temperatures	240

Figure 6.3	Variation of viscosity (η) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in DMF at different temperatures	240
Figure 6.4	Excess ultrasonic velocity (U) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at different temperatures	247
Figure 6.5	Excess adiabatic compressibility (β) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at different temperatures	247
Figure 6.6	Excess intermolecular free length (L_f) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at different temperatures	249
Figure 6.7	Excess acoustic impedance (Z) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at different temperatures	249
Figure 6.8	Plot of excess viscosity (η) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	250
Figure 6.9	Plot of excess relaxation time (τ) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	250
Figure 6.10	Plot of excess Gibbs free energy (G^*) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	251
Figure 6.11	Variation in IR spectrum for n-Hexanol+DMF binary liquid mixtures	253
Figure 7.1	Ultrasonic velocity (U_v) against mole fraction of DMF in n-Octanol at different temperatures	269
Figure 7.2	Variation of density (ρ) against mole fraction of DMF in n-Octanol at different temperatures	269
Figure 7.3	Variation of viscosity (η) against mole fraction of DMF in n-Octanol at different temperatures	270
Figure 7.4	Excess ultrasonic velocity (U_v) ^E against mole fraction of DMF at different temperatures	275
Figure 7.5	Excess molar volume (V_m) ^E against mole fraction of DMF at different temperatures	276
Figure 7.6	Excess adiabatic compressibility (β) ^E against mole fraction of DMF at different temperatures	276
Figure 7.7	Excess intermolecular free length (L_f) ^E against mole fraction of DMF at different temperatures	277
Figure 7.8	Plot of excess viscosity (η) ^E against mole fraction of DMF in binary mixtures at different temperatures	277
Figure 7.9	Plots of $\ln(\eta V_m/hNA)$ vs inverse of temperature ($1000/T$) for different mole fraction of DMF in n-Octanol	279
Figure 8.1	Graphically represent refractive index (n) and density (ρ) against mole fraction of n-Hexanol at 293.15 K	294
Figure 8.2	Plot of excess refractive index (n) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	297
Figure 8.3	Plot of excess molar volume (V_m) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	298
Figure 8.4	Plot of excess reduced free volume (V_m/R_m) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperatures	300

Figure 8.5	Plot of excess internal pressure (P_{int}) ^E against mole fraction of n-Hexanol in binary mixtures at different temperature	300
Figure 8.6 (A)	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical refractive index (n) mixture models at 293.15 K	303
Figure 8.6 (B)	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical refractive index (n) mixture models at 303.15 K	304
Figure 8.6 (C)	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical refractive index (n) mixture models at 313.15 K	305
Figure 8.7	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical static dielectric constant (ϵ_0) mixture models for n-Hexanol +DMF system at different temperatures	307
Figure 8.8	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical static dielectric constant (ϵ_0) mixture models for n-Octanol +DMF system at different temperatures	308
Figure 8.9	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical ultrasonic velocity (U) mixture models for n-Hexanol +DMF system at different temperatures	310
Figure 8.10	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical ultrasonic velocity (U) mixture models for n-Octanol+DMF system at different temperatures	311
Figure 8.11	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical viscosity (η) mixture models n-Hexanol +DMF system at different temperatures	313
Figure 8.12	Plot of APD as a function of different theoretical viscosity (η) mixture models n-Octanol +DMF system at different temperatures	314