

**DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A MOOC IN  
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY FOR STUDENT TEACHER  
EDUCATORS**

*An  
Executive Summary of the Thesis  
Submitted  
To  
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda  
for the Award of the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
In EDUCATION*

Guide:

**Prof. Ashutosh Biswal**

Researcher:

**Ms. Shama Parveen Ansari**



**DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION (CASE)  
FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND PSYCHOLOGY  
THE MAHARAJA SAYAJIRAO UNIVERSITY OF BARODA  
VADODARA  
GUJARAT – 390002**

## TABLE OF CONTENT

Sr. No.	Particular	Page No.
1.	<i>DECLARATION</i>	1
2.	<i>CERTIFICATE</i>	II
3.	<i>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</i>	III-IV
4.	<i>LIST OF CONTENT</i>	V
5.	<i>LIST OF TABLES</i>	X
6.	<i>LIST OF FIGURES</i>	XII
7.	<i>LIST OF APPENDICES</i>	XIII
8.	<i>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</i>	XIV

## LIST OF CONTENT

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.
<b>CHAPTER I: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK</b>		
1.0.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.0	HISTORY AND ORIGIN OF MOOCS	4
1.2.0	MEANING AND DEFINITIONS OF MOOC	5
1.3.0	MOOC MODELS	8
1.3.1	XMOOC	9
1.3.2	CMOOC	10
1.3.3	HYBRID MOOC	11
1.3.4	SPOC	11
1.3.5	OTHER MODELS	11
1.4.0	QUADRANTS OF MOOC	12
1.4.1	VIDEO LESSONS	13
1.4.2	DISCUSSION FORUMS	14
1.4.3	ASSESSMENT	14
1.4.4	ADDITIONAL RESOURCES AND ACTIVITIES	15
1.5.0	GUIDELINES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF MOOC	15
1.6.0	PRINCIPLES OF MOOC DESIGN	16
1.6.1	DESIGNING MOOC- BEST PRACTICES	17

## VI

1.7.0	PLATFORMS FOR MOOCS-NATURE & TYPES	21
1.7.1	MOOC PLATFORMS- GLOBAL SCENARIO	21
1.7.1.1	COURSERA	22
1.7.1.2	EDX	22
1.7.1.3	UDEMY	22
1.7.2	MOOC PLATFORMS- INDIAN SCENARIO	22
1.7.2.1	SWAYAM	23
1.7.2.2	MOOKIT	24
1.7.2.3	IITBOMBAYX	24
1.8.0	BENEFITS OF MOOC	24
1.9.0	CHALLENGES WITH MOOC	26
1.10.0	GROWTH OF MOOC AFTER PANDEMIC	27
1.11.0	ROLE OF STUDENTS IN MOOCS	29
1.11.1	TYPE OF LEARNERS IN MOOCS	30
1.12.0	ROLE OF TEACHER IN MOOC	31
1.13.0	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
1.13.1	TEACHING & LEARNING OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	34
1.13.2	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY IN TEACHER EDUCATION	35
1.14.0	MOOCs IN TEACHER EDUCATION	36
1.14.1	PRESENT STATUS OF MOOCs IN TEACHER EDUCATION	37
1.15.0	MOOCS: OPPORTUNITIES FOR FUTURE	38
1.16.0	RATIONALE	40
1.17.0	RESEARCH QUESTIONS	43
1.18.0	STATEMENT OF PROBLEM	43
1.19.0	OBJECTIVES	44
1.20.0	HYPOTHESIS	44
1.21.0	EXPLANATION OF THE TERM USED	44
1.22.0	OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS OF THE TERMS	45
1.23.0	DELIMITATION OF THE STUDY	45
1.24.0	STRUCTURE OF CHAPTERIZATION	45

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.
<b>CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE</b>		
2.0.0	INTRODUCTION	47
2.1.0	STUDIES RELATED TO AWARENESS OF MOOC	48

## VII

2.2.0	STUDIES RELATED TO TEACHERS' AND STUDENTS' PERCEPTION ABOUT MOOCS	50
2.3.0	STUDIES RELATED TO TEACHERS' AND STUDENTS' FACTORS AND MOTIVATIONS TO ADOPT MOOC	55
2.4.0	STUDIES RELATED TO EXPERIENCE OF TEACHERS' AND STUDENTS' IN USING MOOC	57
2.5.0	STUDIES RELATED TO DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OR QUADRANTS OF MOOC	59
2.5.1	ASSESSMENT	59
2.5.2	DISCUSSION FORUMS	61
2.5.3	VIDEOS	62
2.6.0	STUDIES RELATED TO DROP OUT IN MOOCS	64
2.7.0	STUDIES CONDUCTED ON THE DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MOOC	67
2.8.0	STUDIES CONDUCTED IN THE AREA OF TEACHING-LEARNING OF RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	78
2.9.0	MAJOR OBSERVATION FROM THE REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	83
2.10.0	IMPLICATION OF THE REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	90

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.
<b>CHAPTER III: PLAN AND PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY</b>		
3.0.0	INTRODUCTION	93
3.1.0	RESEARCH DESIGN	93
3.2.0	VARIABLE OF THE STUDY	94
3.2.1	INDEPENDENT VARIABLE	94
3.2.2	DEPENDENT VARIABLE	94
3.3.0	POPULATION OF THE STUDY	94
3.3.1	SAMPLE OF THE STUDY	95
3.4.0	PHASES OF THE STUDY	96
3.4.1	PHASE 1-DEVELOPMENT OF MOOC AND TOOLS FOR DATA COLLECTION	96
3.4.2	PHASE 2-IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MOOC	96
3.4.3	PHASE 3-DATA ANALYSIS	97
3.5.0	TOOLS FOR DATA COLLECTION	97
3.5.1	ACHIEVEMENT TEST	97
3.5.2	REACTION SCALE	98

## VIII

3.5.3	POST EXPERIMENTAL INTERVIEW SCHEDULE	98
3.6.0	DEVELOPMENT OF MOOC	99
3.6.1	SELF-ENROLMENT IN MOOC	99
3.6.2	SELECTION AND ANALYSIS OF CONTENT	99
3.6.3	SPECIALISATION IN MOOC	100
3.7.0	SELECTING A CONTENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	101
3.8.0	STEPS TO DEVELOP WEBSITE USING WORDPRESS	101
3.8.1	CREATING A DOMAIN NAME	101
3.8.2	CREATING WEB PAGES ON WORDPRESS	102
3.9.0	SELECTION OF A LMS –PLUGIN	106
3.10.0	DEVELOPMENT OF QUADRANTS FOR MOOC	109
3.10.1	VIDEO LESSONS	109
3.10.2	ASSESSMENT	112
3.10.3	DISCUSSION FORUMS	116
3.10.4	ADDITIONAL RESOURCES	117
3.11.0	ASSEMBLING THE QUADRANTS – COURSE BUILDER	117
3.12.0	ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF MOOC	119
3.13.0	DEVELOPMENT OF AN E-MANUAL	124
3.14.0	DEVELOPMENT OF A TUTORIAL VIDEO	125
3.15.0	DEVELOPMENT OF AN ORIENTATION PRESENTATION ON MOOC	125
3.16.0	VALIDATION OF MOOC	126
3.17.0	TRY OUT- PILOTING THE MOOC	126
3.18.0	WHAT MAKES THE MOOC ON TECHTOR UNIQUE?	126
3.19.0	PROCEDURE FOR DATA COLLECTION	128
3.19.1	STEP I: PRE-TESTING	129
3.19.2	STEP-II: IMPLEMENTATION OF MOOC	130
3.19.2	INSTRUCTOR ROLE IN IMPLEMENTATION OF MOOC	136
3.19.3	STEP-III: POST-TESTING	137
3.19.4	STEP-IV: REACTION SCALE	137
3.19.5	STEP-V: POST EXPERIMENTAL INTERVIEW	137
3.20	PROCEDURE FOR DATA ANALYSIS	138

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.
<b>CHAPTER IV: DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION</b>		
<b>4.0</b>	INTRODUCTION	139

## IX

4.1.0	EFFECTIVENESS OF MOOC IN TERMS OF ACHIEVEMENT OF STUDENT TEACHER EDUCATORS	140
4.2.0	COMPARISON OF EXPERIMENTAL GROUP AND CONTROL GROUP	140
4.3.0	EFFECTIVENESS OF THE MASSIVE OPEN ONLINE COURSE IN TERMS OF THE REACTIONS OF THE STUDENT TEACHER EDUCATORS	142
4.3.1	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE OVERALL EFFECTIVENESS OF THE MOOC	142
4.3.2	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE COURSE STRUCTURE & PLANNING OF MOOC	145
4.3.3	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE VIDEO LESSONS PROVIDED IN THE MOOC	146
4.3.4	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE ADDITIONAL E-RESOURCES GIVEN IN THE MOOC	147
4.3.5	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE DISCUSSION FORUMS IN THE MOOC	148
4.3.6	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE ASSESSMENT COMPONENTS OF MOOC	149
4.3.7	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE INSTRUCTORS' SUPPORT IN MOOC	150
4.3.8	THE REACTION TOWARDS THE FEASIBILITY OF MOOC	151
4.4.0	ANALYSIS OF THE DATA COLLECTED THROUGH INTERVIEW	153

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.
<b>Chapter V: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION</b>		
5.0.0	INTRODUCTION	157
5.1.0	FINDINGS OF THE STUDY	157
5.2.0	DISCUSSION	158

Sr. No.	Content	Page No.
<b>CHAPTER VI: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION</b>		
6.0.0	INTRODUCTION	164
6.1.0	SIGNIFICANCE OF MOOC	164
6.2.0	PRESENT STATUS OF MOOC IN TEACHER EDUCATION	165
6.3.0	MOOC: OPPORTUNITIES FOR FUTURE	166

6.4.0	IMPLICATIONS OF THE REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	167
6.5.0	RATIONALE	168
6.6.0	STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM	170
6.7.0	OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY	170
6.8.0	HYPOTHESIS	171
6.9.0	EXPLANATION OF THE TERM	171
6.10.0	OPERATIONAL DEFINITION OF TERMS USED	172
6.11.0	DELIMITATION OF THE STUDY	172
6.12.0	DESIGN OF THE STUDY	172
6.13.0	POPULATION OF THE STUDY	172
6.14.1	SAMPLE OF THE STUDY	172
6.15.0	TOOLS FOR DATA COLLECTION	173
6.16.0	DEVELOPMENT OF THE MOOC	174
6.17.0	DATA COLLECTION	175
6.18.0	DATA ANALYSIS	175
6.19.0	FINDINGS OF THE PRESENT STUDY	175
6.20.0	EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF THE PRESENT STUDY	176
6.21.0	SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCHES	177
6.22.0	CONCLUSION	178
	<i>REFERENCES</i>	
	<i>APPENDICES</i>	
	<i>PUBLICATIONS</i>	
	<i>PAPER PRESENTATION CERTIFICATES</i>	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Content	Page No.
1.1	Guidelines for Course curriculum development and their best practices	18
3.1	Timeline for Data Collection	130
3.2	Modules and lesson of Specialisation Course I	131
3.3	Modules and lesson of Specialisation Course II	133
3.4	Modules and lesson of Specialisation Course III	134

## XI

4.1	Distribution of Mean, Standard Deviation (SD) and Standard Error of Mean (SE) of post test scores of Experimental Group and Control group in Research Methodology	140
4.2	Summary of Mann-Whitney U- Test for Achievement in Research Methodology of Experimental and Control group with Sample Size (N), Sum Ranks ( $\Sigma R$ ), U- Value, z- Value and significance level	141
4.3	Frequency wise (F), Percentages (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards Overall Effectiveness of the MOOC	143
4.4	Frequency wise (F), Percentages (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards course structure and planning of the MOOC.	145
4.5	Frequency wise (F), Percentagewise (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards video lessons in MOOC	146
4.6	Frequency wise (F), Percentagewise (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards additional resources in MOOC	147
4.7	Frequency wise (F), Percentagewise (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards discussion forums in MOOC	148
4.8	Frequency wise (F), Percentagewise (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards assessments in MOOC	149
4.9	Frequency wise (F), Percentagewise (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards instructor's support in MOOC	150
4.10	Frequency wise (F), Percentagewise (%), and Intensity Index wise (II) reaction (Strongly Agree-SA, Agree-A, Undecided-UD, Disagree-D, and Strongly Disagree- SD) of student teacher educators towards feasibility in MOOC	151
4.11	Average Intensity Index (II) of all the components combined	152

## XII

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Content	Page No.
1.1	Timeline - Origin of MOOC	5
1.2	Models of MOOC	9
1.3	The four major quadrants of MOOC	13
1.4	Global Growth of MOOC from 2012 to 2021	28
1.5	Type of learners based on registration	31
1.6	Role of an online instructor	32
3.1	Sample for the study	96
3.2	Tools for data collection	97
3.3	Specialisation in MOOC	100
3.4	Steps to create a website using WordPress	101
3.5	Web pages on TECHTOR website	102
3.6	Home page of MOOC platform	102
3.7	About page of MOOC platform	103
3.8	Explore Courses of MOOC platform	103
3.9	Home Page of Course I	104
3.10	Register/sign in page of MOOC platform	105
3.11	Contact page of MOOC platform	106
3.12	Learner dashboard in website	108
3.13	Instructor's dashboard in WordPress	108
3.14	Steps to create an Interactive Video in MOOC	109
3.15	Tools for assessment in MOOC	112
3.16	Practice questions using inbuilt LIFTERLMS quiz	113
3.17	An activity using Mentimeter	114
3.18	An activity using wordwall	114
3.19	Crossword activity using H5P plugin	114
3.20	Quiz made using testmoz	115
3.21	Rubric for end Course assignments	115
3.22	Example of an topic being discussed in forum using Padlet	116
3.23	Example of an topic being discussed in forum using bbpress	117
3.24	Pictorial representation of building a Course	118
3.25	Modules for Specialisation Course I	118
3.26	Additional elements of MOOC	119
3.27	Introductory video for Course I	120

### XIII

3.28	Badges for each module	121
3.29	Certificate Template	122
3.30	Welcome Email template for Course I	122
3.31	Screenshot of Course group	123
3.32	A tutorial video on how to use the platform upload on YouTube	125
3.33	Progress report of students in LIFTERLMS	127
3.34	Administration of pre-test	129

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix. No.	Content
I	Permission Letter for Data Collection
II	Research Methodology Achievement test
III	Reaction Scale
IV	Interview Schedule
V	Courses and Workshops attended by Researcher
VI	MOOC Outline and Topics Covered
VII	Syllabus
VIII	Course Map
IX	Content checklist
X	Sample Video Story board
XI	End of Course Assignments
XII	Detailed list of Resources for each lesson wise
XIII	Pre-Course Survey in MOOC
XIV	Course wise Feedback
XV	MOOC Manual
XVI	Orientation Presentation on MOOC
XVII	List of students registered on the platform
XVIII	Daily Progress Report
XIX	Marks in Pre-test and Post-test
XX	Publications
XXI	Paper Presentation Certificates

## XIV

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Sr. No	ABBREVIATIONS	FULL FORM
1	MOOC	Massive Open Online Course
2	ODL	Open Distance Learning
3	IT	Information Technology
4	NEP	National Education Policy
5	GER	Gross Enrolment Ratio
6	SDG	Sustainable Development Goals
7	ARPIT	Annual Refresher Programme in Teaching
8	MHRD	Ministry of Human Resource and Development
9	SWAYAM	Study Webs of Active–Learning for Young Aspiring Minds
10	UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
11	OER	Open Educational Resource
12	NPTEL	National Programme on Technology Enhanced Learning
13	NEMICT	National Mission on Education through Information and Communication Technology
14	MIT	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
15	xMOOC	Extended MOOC
16	cMOOC	Connectivist MOOC
17	SPOC	Small Private Online Course
18	VOOC	Vocation Open Online Course
19	LMS	Learning Management System
20	AICTE	All India Council for Technical Education
21	NPTEL	National Programme on Technology Enhanced Learning
22	UGC	University Grants Commission

23	CEC	Consortium for Educational Communication
24	NCERT	National Council of Educational Research and Training
25	NIOS	National Institute of Open Schooling
26	NCERT	National Council of Educational Research and Training
27	IGNOU	Indira Gandhi National Open University
28	IIMB	Indian Institute of Management Bangalore
29	NITTTR	National Institute of Technical Teachers Training and Research
30	UNED	National University of Distance Education
31	IIT	Indian Institutes of Technology
32	M.Ed.	Master of Education
33	NCTE	National Council for Teacher Education
34	NCFTE	National Curriculum Framework for Teacher Education
35	HEI	Higher Education Institutions
36	B.Ed.	Bachelor of Education
37	SD	Standard Deviation
38	MAT	MOOC Awareness Test
39	ICT	Information and Communications Technology
40	ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
41	SJSU	San Jose State University
42	ADDIE	Analyze, Design, Develop, Implement, and Evaluate
43	DFPS	Digital Fluency Perception Scale
44	CBPS	Community Building Perception Scale
45	POGIL	Process-Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning
46	URL	Uniform Resource Locator

## XVI

47	CMS	Content Management System
48	TECHTOR	Technology Tutor
49	MAC	Macintosh
50	H5P	HTML5 Package
51	MCQ	Multiple Choice Question
52	SA	Summative Assessment
53	FA	Formative Assessment
54	PNG	Portable Network Graphic

## TABLE OF CONTENT OF Ph.D. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>Page Number</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>RATIONALE OF THE STUDY</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Research questions</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Objectives of the study</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Variables</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Hypothesis</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Delimitations</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Delimitations of the study</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Research design</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Population and Sample</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>Tools for data collection</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>PLAN AND PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>DATA COLLECTION</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>DATA ANALYSIS</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE STUDY</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>IMPLICATIONS OF THE PRESENT STUDY</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>24</b>

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE THESIS**

### **INTRODUCTION**

The integration of technology into education has resulted in the implementation of diverse teaching approaches in classroom, such as flipped classrooms, blended learning, game-based learning, and online learning. Many Higher Education institutes have adopted this online learning by creating virtual classrooms. The cost-effective, flexible, and self-paced nature of online learning makes students attend classrooms during their own free time, and they can also get an opportunity to interact with other students and faculties in a virtual mode. One of the recent and most innovative evolutions of online education is MOOC which stands for Massive Open Online Course. They use online platforms to deliver instruction and allow students to interact with one another and the instructor. They have become an effective platform for reaching many people who would not otherwise have access to education. To improvise students' level of engagement and learning outcomes, the potential of MOOC is still being tested and tried.

The potential for integrating MOOCs into Teacher Education, both in pre-service and in-service modes, remains largely untouched, indicating a neglected aspect. In the context of Teacher Education in India, the progress towards modernization and the adoption of technological innovations for effective educational practices has been slow. The adoption of MOOCs into education can bring about the necessary change and influence teaching and learning practises at all levels of Teacher Education. Teacher Education is a professional course where competent teachers and Teacher Educators are being produced who need to know a variety of information and be updated with the knowledge explosion. The purpose of Teacher Education in India is to provide aspiring teachers with the knowledge, skills, and expertise necessary to effectively educate and support their students. Out of the many courses being taught in professional courses like Teacher Education is Research Methodology. It is an essential course taught to both pre-service teachers and Teacher Educators ( i.e. student teacher educators) although its weightage at the master level is supreme where students have to prepare their dissertation. It is no longer possible to complete the preparation of Teacher Educators without having a sufficient foundation in a range of research aspects (NCTE,1998). The course can bring positive changes in the attitude, mindset, teaching, and learning of Student Teacher Educators. Developing a MOOC in this subject can help them get a concrete understanding of

the subject and the necessary skills to carry out research in their respective interest areas. The study is an attempt in this direction. The researcher in the present study developed a MOOC for Student-Teacher Educators and studied its effectiveness. The developed MOOC enabled students to get acquainted with a new method of teaching-learning, compelling them to use various authentic Open Educational Resources available on the online platform, enabling self-paced and flexible learning among them, and introducing them to an alternative mode of learning.

## **REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE**

The researcher tried to study the work conducted in the field of Massive Open Online Courses concerning the present study. This chapter highlights the research in the area of awareness, development, and components of MOOC and also on the teaching-learning of Research Methodology. The reviews of the study are categorized as under.

- Studies related to awareness of MOOC
- Studies related to Teachers' and Students' perceptions of MOOCs
- Studies related to users Teachers' and Students' factors and motivations to adopt MOOC
- Studies related to the experience of Teachers and Students' in using MOOC
- Studies conducted on different components or Quadrants of MOOC
- Studies related to drop-outs in MOOC
- Studies conducted on the development and implementation of MOOC
- Studies conducted in the area of teaching-learning of Research Methodology

## **RATIONALE OF THE STUDY**

In India, the number of internet users has grown significantly, from only five million in the year 2000 to 755.8 million in recent times (Internet World Stats, 2021). This substantial increase in internet connectivity, particularly in developing countries, has led to the global accessibility of online content and interaction (Ahuja, 2018). MOOCs have emerged as a revolutionary force in the higher education sector. With an estimated 20-26 million children being born in India annually, it is projected that within the next 35-50 years, approximately 700 million to 1.3 billion Indian youth will require access to higher education (Kumar, 2018). Meeting this challenge and providing quality higher education to prepare them for their future

careers is both an opportunity and a defining task for India in the 21st century. The National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT) highlighted the importance of exploring unconventional models of education such as distance learning, open learning, and flexible learning approaches in a position paper in 2006. They emphasized the need for flexible systems, forward-looking curricula, and a career-oriented focus aligned with the demands of the 21st century. It is crucial to engage the education system to play a significant role in improving the teaching and learning environment, making it more meaningful for both teachers and students. In this context, MOOCs provide a solution to these challenges by offering access to education for large populations. Moreover, MOOCs can deliver diverse and high-quality instruction that individual instructors may not be able to develop on their own (Daniel, 2012).

According to Punia, (2017), “the advent of MOOCs in the classroom helps in getting the student move towards the knowledge section rather than the information. The inclusion of MOOCs as a medium of Instruction, either solely or as a supplement does result in better achievement of the students.” The UGC chairman, who still teaches in an honorary capacity at IIT Delhi, explained why and how the UGC has to become a catalyst for change in an exclusive interview with the Deputy Editor of India Today, Kaushik Deka. The UGC chairman stated that online degrees are not a replacement for traditional classes, these are added benefits. The goal is to reach as many people as possible with education. Online schooling is a huge help in this regard. Our prior regulations on digital education were restrictive. It was believed that colleges would have all of the necessary infrastructures to develop and host digital material. But now focus is to allow them to work with Ed-tech companies to improve their material and integrate it with current technology. The content can be housed on Ed-tech businesses’ cloud platforms. Prospective employers can be onboarded by universities so that both recruiters and candidates are aware of each other's needs (Deka, 2022).

According to Chauhan (2017), there is a growing need to enhance the understanding of MOOCs among Teacher Educators and equip them with the necessary resources to effectively integrate MOOCs into their regular classroom practices. The National Educational Policy (2019) emphasizes the importance of encouraging and supporting teachers in the country to design and deliver MOOCs based on their areas of expertise. Additionally, it is crucial for faculty and Higher Education Institutions (HEIs) offering MOOCs to establish reliable and credible methods of student assessment and institutionalize appropriate mechanisms for delivering high-quality content in the online mode. There are several reasons why a teacher might want to develop their own MOOC:

- Reach a wider audience: MOOCs allow teachers to reach a global audience, as anyone with an internet connection can access the course. This can be especially useful for teachers who want to share their knowledge and expertise with a larger audience.
- Flexibility: MOOCs offer flexibility for both teachers and students. Teachers can design the course content and delivery method to fit their teaching style and schedule, and students can complete the course at their own pace.
- Professional development: Developing a MOOC can be a great way for teachers to enhance their professional development and showcase their expertise. It can also be a rewarding and fulfilling experience to create and share knowledge with others.
- Passive income: If a teacher charges for their MOOC, it can also be a source of passive income.

It is also important to note that developing a MOOC requires a significant investment of time and resources. Teachers should carefully consider whether they have the necessary resources and commitment to successfully develop and deliver it. Singh & According to Chauhan (2017), there is limited awareness among Teacher Educators in India regarding various MOOC initiatives, and sincere efforts are needed to enhance awareness about Indian initiatives aimed at promoting MOOCs in higher education, especially in the field of Teacher Education. In India, Teacher Educators generally exhibit resistance to innovation and experimentation in teaching methods, and their familiarity with modern classroom communication devices is also significantly lacking. (Dixit,2014). Most of the time lecture method is adopted in the classroom and sometimes teachers make use of ICT components like videos, PowerPoint slides, or audio to teach students. Students most often have no knowledge about MOOCs courses available on various platforms neither they are made aware of the same by their teachers. Despite the availability of some practises and research, a great number of educators and students are still learning about MOOCs as an educational endeavour. However, an increasing number of institutions are following the norm in their efforts to create open MOOCs and online learning to adhere to contemporary pedagogical trends, to make the institutions visible in the market for learning services and to reach larger communities of learners (Sekret & Morze, 2017).

According to Daniel (2018), Research Methodology equips students with the essential knowledge to improve their research skills and potentially pursue successful careers in research. However, research has consistently highlighted poor learning outcomes associated with research methods courses in universities. These courses are often described as pedagogically rigid, conceptually challenging, and lacking adaptability to future career paths.

Students often perceive the content of research methods courses as disconnected from practical applications. They also encounter various challenges in learning Research Methodology, such as formulating research questions, comprehending theory or literature, grappling with data analysis, understanding technical terminology related to fundamental concepts, and lacking numerical skills for quantitative methods. Addressing the difficulties in teaching research methods courses is a challenging task due to the limited pedagogical research on innovative teaching approaches in this subject. MOOCs in Research Methodology can serve as a viable alternative for delivering these courses effectively. Despite the rapid growth of MOOCs in recent years, this format is still evolving and not yet fully established. To attract more students, MOOC providers need to focus on offering improved learning tools rather than solely relying on providing high-quality multimedia materials online (Rai & Chunrao, 2016). While MOOCs have gained momentum globally, their implementation in India is still in its early stages. With the increasing connectivity, initiatives like Digital India, and a growing emphasis on online learning, it is an opportune time for the Teacher Education system to align with these emerging trends (Singh & Chauhan, 2017). UGC recently in April 2022 published the guidelines for pursuing two academic programs simultaneously in hybrid, physical or online mode. This policy will also promote more institutes to offer MOOC programs to students so that the problem of student attendance and being present in two places at the same time is solved.

Efforts need to be taken to maximize the engagement among learners, monitor their learning, and make learning interesting so that the dropout rate can be minimized. This study can provide Student Teacher Educators not only a new platform for teaching and learning but will also promote them to adopt such practices in the future. It will provide a path for future Teacher Educators to get acquainted with an innovative teaching-learning platform, promote professional development, create awareness for MOOCs and equip them with 21<sup>st</sup>-century technical skills. From the review of the literature, the investigator did not come across any study, research, or investigation based specifically on developing a MOOC for Student-Teacher Educators. Therefore, the investigator was enthusiastic to work in this area and to develop a MOOC on selected topics of Research Methodology.

## **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY**

## **Research question**

Through the present study, the researcher tried to find the answers to the following research questions.

- To what extent MOOCs can be effective for professional courses like Teacher Education?
- To what extent MOOCs can be interesting for professional courses like Teacher Education?
- To what extent MOOC can be developed for skill-based subjects like Research Methodology?

## **Objectives of the study**

The researcher had formulated the following objectives to complete the present study in a step-by-step approach.

1. To develop a MOOC on Research Methodology for Student Teacher Educators.
2. To implement the MOOC on Research Methodology for Student Teacher Educators.
3. To study the effectiveness of MOOC in terms of achievement of Student Teacher Educators.
4. To study the effectiveness of the developed MOOC in terms of the reaction of Student Teacher Educators on the following components.
  - Course structure and planning
  - Video Lessons
  - Discussion forums
  - Assessment
  - Additional resources
  - Feasibility
  - Instructor support
  - Overall effectiveness
5. To study the experiences of Student Teacher Educators in learning through MOOC.

## **VARIABLE IN THE STUDY**

The two variables taken into consideration in this study were as below:

### **Independent variable**

Massive Open Online Course developed in Research Methodology was the independent variable. This independent variable was implemented on the experimental group and its effect on the achievement and reaction of the experimental group was evaluated.

### **Dependent variable**

In the present study, the achievement in Research Methodology of student teachers educators in Research Methodology was one dependent variable, and reactions & experience of student teachers educators towards the implemented MOOC was another variable.

### **Hypothesis**

The following null hypothesis was formulated and tested at the 0.01 level of significance.

**H<sub>0</sub>:** There is no significant difference in the post-test mean achievement score of the control and experimental group in Research Methodology.

### **Delimitation of the study**

The study was limited to the topics, Introduction to Educational Research, Sampling and Types of Research Methods (Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed-Method) taught in the curriculum of M.Ed. the first year.

## **RESEARCH DESIGN**

The present study was quantitative and an experimental study was adopted. The quasi experimental design was selected. Here manipulation of the independent variable was carried out but there was no random assignment of individuals to the control and experimental group. As the quasi-experimental has various designs under it, a pre-test post-test non-equivalent comparison/control group design was adopted. The research design can be represented as follows:

O1	X	O2
O3	C	O4

Where, O1 and O3 are pretest, O2 and O4 are posttest

X represents experiment group

C represents control group

### **POPULATION OF THE STUDY**

The population consists of all those items, objects, or things which are having certain characteristics common in all and are of interest to the researcher. The present study population comprised of all the student teacher educators studying in the two-year M.Ed. program in India in the academic year 2021-2023. Two institutions from Gujarat state with sufficient enrolment were chosen conveniently to conduct the research.

### **TOOLS FOR DATA COLLECTION**

The following tools were used by the researcher for the purpose of data collection:

- Achievement test
- Reaction scale
- Post Experimental Interview Schedule

### **PLAN AND PROCEDURE OF THE STUDY**

Starting from the development of the Massive Open Online Course and the tools to the analysis of the data different phases were involved as follows:

#### **Phase 1-Development of MOOC and tools for data collection**

MOOCs are Online Courses with the capacity to enrol unlimited students, open to all and consist of components like video lessons, assessments, discussion forums and additional resources. MOOCs are then hosted on a platform. In the present study the platform to host the Course was called [techtor.in](http://techtor.in). The researcher developed the MOOC, along with its different quadrants and platform, to host the MOOC. The following steps were involved in this process:

- Self-enrolment in MOOC to understand its basics and technology
- Selection and analysis of content for MOOC
- The content selected for MOOC was then divided into three Specialisations Course
- WordPress was selected as a Content Management System to design the website
- Designing various web pages on the platform WordPress
- Selection of an LMS plugin called LIFTERLMS to develop the course
- Development and selection of resources for quadrants in MOOC including video lessons, discussion forums, assessments and additional resources.
- Assembling the Quadrants in the Course Builder feature of the LIFTERLMS. i.e. planning the Lesson and Modules.
- Designing Additional elements of MOOC like pre course survey, course feedback form, badges, certificates and others.
- Development of an E-Manual to assist users in understanding how to effectively and efficiently use the course
- Development of a step by step tutorial video to navigate the course smoothly
- Development of an Orientation Presentation on MOOC for the experimental group
- Validation of the MOOC by experts
- Piloting and self-piloting the MOOC

After the pilot of the MOOC was done, the MOOC was ready to be implemented on the M.Ed. students. Then tools for data collection including achievement tests for pre and post-test, a five-point Likert type scale to get reactions of the student teacher educators towards the developed Massive Open Online Course, a and post-experimental interview schedule was developed.

### **Phase 2-Implementation of the MOOC**

Before the implementation of the MOOC and the tools permission was taken from the concerned authority in both the institutes of control and the experimental group. Then pre-test was conducted on both the experimental and control group and matching was carried out. Then MOOC was implemented in the experimental group. After the achievement test was implemented on both the control and experimental group, the reaction scale along with the interview schedule was implemented on the experimental group.

### **Phase 3-Data Analysis**

After the collection of the data, descriptive statistics were carried out on the post-test achievement score of both the control and experimental group. Then hypothesis was tested using the Mann-Whitney U test and the reaction scale was analysed using frequency, percentage, and intensity index. The data collected through post-experimental interviews were analysed using content analysis.

### **DATA COLLECTION**

The study was carried out in September 2021, when institutions were getting back to normal after the second wave of the pandemic, and the majority of the students and teachers were working from home. The researcher sent an email to different instituting running two years M.Ed. Course in Gujarat, to get permission to conduct the study. The institution which replied to the email and gave permission was taken as a sample for the study. A formal letter approved by the guide was then sent to the institution which agreed to be the control group. Data were collected from the experimental and control group during the implementation phase. Pre-test and post-test were administered in both the control and experimental group. The Massive Open Online Course was implemented in the experimental group and the control group was taught through the Conventional method. Here conventional method means the method adopted by the teachers in the control group to teach their students and as it was a time of Covid-19 so conventional method mainly comprised of online teaching on Zoom and Google Meet platforms. The data collection process lasted for around four months.

### **DATA ANALYSIS**

In order to determine the effectiveness of the developed MOOC the data collected through achievement tests, reaction scales, and post-experimental interviews were analysed and interpreted. The researcher used the Mann Whitney U which is a non-parametric equivalent of a t-test and is used when purposive or convenience sampling is used instead of random sampling to test the hypothesis. Achievement test scores of the control and experimental group were analysed using the Mean, Standard deviation, and Standard error of the mean. To analyse the reaction scale Frequency, Percentage, and Intensity Index(II) were used. The data collected from the interview schedule was analysed using Content Analysis.

## **MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE STUDY**

From the analysis and interpretation of the data, the following findings have been derived.

- The Massive Open Online Course was found effective in terms of significantly enhancing students' achievement in Research Methodology.
- The Massive Open Online Course was also found effective in terms of the positive reaction of students towards it.
- The student-teacher educators found the course interesting, flexible and self-paced.
- The ideal videos are those where the duration is less than ten minutes and the presence of embedded interactions is present.
- MOOCs and traditional learning both are equally important. The instructor is of prime importance for any course, be it online or in face-to-face mode.
- The primary challenge encountered by students on the MOOC platform pertained to distinguishing between the processes of registration and login within the course. Students found it difficult to differentiate between these two procedures.
- On the other hand, the student-teacher educators expressed immense enthusiasm and eagerness to develop courses similar to this one for their students in the future. Their excitement stemmed from the positive experience they had with the MOOC and the valuable learning opportunities it provided.

## **IMPLICATION OF PRESENT STUDY**

From the findings of the present study, the following implication can be drawn for teacher educators, administrators, researchers and policymakers:

- The effectiveness of the MOOC suggests that incorporating MOOC can be a valuable addition to traditional educational methods. Teacher education institutes should consider integrating MOOCs into their curriculum to enhance student learning outcomes in skill-based subjects like Research Methodology.
- Teacher educators need to be trained for developing Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC) in their respective subjects by utilising the various free open-source software available online.
- All teacher educators must be encouraged to develop MOOC courses for their professional growth.

- The administration of teacher education institutes must provide relevant support in the form of technical devices so that MOOCs can be integrated into teaching-learning effectively.
- Researchers should develop MOOCs which are similar to the curriculum of the M.Ed. course so that they can be used for students unable to attend face-to-face classes.
- All higher educational institutions should provide options to learn through offline or online mode using MOOC.
- Policymakers must allow students to learn through MOOCs available on various global and local platforms and credits should be accommodated in their study.
- Teacher educators should explore different platforms available on the web to make MOOC courses and also for implementation in the classroom.
- Through various awareness programmes, student-teacher educators should be made aware of the MOOCs
- More research should be done in designing affordable and sustainable MOOCs.

### **SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE STUDIES**

From the findings of the present study, the following suggestions are proposed for further research:

- Development of MOOC in other subjects of the M.Ed. curriculum can be considered.
- A study on awareness about Massive Open Online Courses among M.Ed. students can be considered.
- Implementation of MOOC available on SWAYAM or other global platforms and its effectiveness can be studied by M.Ed. students.
- The effectiveness of a MOOC in a blended mode along with a face-to-face mode can be considered.
- The effectiveness of different quadrants in a MOOC on student-teacher educators can be studied.
- The effectiveness of a MOOC on a larger sample of M.Ed. students can be done, whereas a pre-experimental design can be adopted.
- Research on the importance of the Instructor's role in a MOOC environment can be studied.
- A comparative study of blended learning and MOOC can be carried out.

- Research could be conducted on the implementation of MOOC learning at various levels of Teacher education programmes.
- Research on using Massive Open Online Course as supplementary material and as a resource in blended learning can be studied in the M.Ed. programme.
- Research on teachers' and developers' views on developing a MOOC and challenges faced while designing a MOOC can be studied.

## **CONCLUSION**

The pandemic and the subsequent lockdown affected all the sectors in the country. As colleges and universities got closed teachers resorted to online learning. Experimentation with different platforms began and MOOCs emerged as one of the major sources of remote learning. Although MOOC was not a new concept before the pandemic and has its existence since 2009, its acceptance among learners increased during the Covid-19 period. MOOCs are not only accessible from anywhere but also accommodate massive students at the same time at no extra cost. They are a plethora of platforms where students from arts can learn a subject of science, with only one requirement and that is interest in learning. Higher education institutes from around the globe, from various fields are trying their best to make MOOC and reach millions of students but its infusion in the area of teacher education in general and M.Ed. programme in particular is still limited. The present study attempted to develop a Massive Open Online Course for student teacher educators in the subject of research methodology. The platform for hosting the MOOC, its quadrants, a manual, a tutorial video and an orientation presentation were developed by the researcher and implemented by student-teacher educators. The distinguishing features of the course development were that it had interactive videos, modules with numerous lessons, a variety of additional resources, a personalised open source platform to host the MOOC, ample opportunities for students to get support from the instructor, automated assessment and set start and end date which were flexible.

This MOOC was not only effective in terms of achievement of student-teacher educators but they also had positive reactions for the same. The student-teacher educator found the course interesting, self-paced, fun, and engaging and they also preferred to learn other topics of research methodology through MOOC in the future.

## REFERENCES OF THE THESIS

- Adams, C., and Yin, Y. (2014). *Undergraduate Students' Experiences of Time in a MOOC: A Term of Dino 101*. International Association for the Development of the Information Society
- Admiraal, W., Huisman, B., and Pilli, O. (2015). Assessment in massive open online courses. *Electronic Journal of E-learning*, 13(4), pp207-216.
- Aggarwal, R., Gupte, N., Kass, N., Taylor, H., Ali, J., Bhan, A., ... and Bollinger, R. C. (2011). A comparison of online versus on-site training in health Research Methodology: a randomized study. *BMC medical education*, 11(1), 1-10.
- Ahmed, S. S., Khan, E., Faisal, M., and Khan, S. (2017). The potential and challenges of MOOCs in Pakistan: a perspective of students and faculty. *Asian Association of Open Universities Journal*.
- Ahuja, R. (2018). MOOCs are A welcome step towards development. *University News*, Vol 56(6),18- 21
- Al-Aghbari, M. S., Osman, M. E., and Al Musawi, A. S. (2021). Contextualizing the Global Standards for Designing Online Courses: A Design-Based Research Approach for Developing Small Private Open Courses. *International Journal of Educational Methodology*, 7(1), 1-13.
- Alanazi, H., and Walker-Gleaves, C. (2019). Investigating student attitudes towards using Hybrid MOOCs in the Higher Education of Saudi Arabia. *Literacy Information and Computer Education Journal (LICEJ)*, 10(1), 3140-3146.
- Aljaraideh, Y. (2019). Massive Open Online Learning (MOOC) Benefits and Challenges: A Case Study in Jordanian Context. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(4), 65-78.
- Alturkistani, A., Car, J., Majeed, A., Brindley, D., Wells, G., and Meinert, E. (2018). Determining the Effectiveness of a Massive Open Online Course in Data Science for Health. *International Association for Development of the Information Society*.
- Ambadkar, R. S. E-Learning Through SWAYAM MOOCs-Awareness And Motivation Among Commerce Students. Ambadkar, R. S. (2020). E-learning through SWAYAM moocs-awareness and motivation among commerce students. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(2), 3529–3538.
- Anderson, T. (2013). Promise and/or Peril: MOOCs and open and distance education. *Commonwealth of learning*, 3, 1-9.

- Andone and Mihaescu, "Blending MOOCs into Higher Education Courses-A Case Study," *2018 Learning With MOOCS (LWMOOCS)*, 2018, pp. 134-136, doi: 10.1109/LWMOOCS.2018.8534606.
- Arantes do Amaral, J. A., dos Santos, L., and Rodrigues, R. J.(2018). Combining Project-Based Learning and Community-Based Research in a Research Methodology Course: The Lessons Learned. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(1), 47-60.
- Arnold, P., Kumar, S., Schön, S., Ebner, M., and Thillozen, A. (2015). A MOOC on Open Educational Resource as an Open Educational Resource: COER13. *The MOOC case book: case studies in MOOC design, development and implementation*, 247-258.
- Atapattu, T., and Falkner, K. (2017, March). Discourse analysis to improve the effective engagement of MOOC videos. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Learning Analytics and Knowledge Conference* (pp. 580-581).
- Aydin, I. E., and Yazici, M. (2020). Drop-Out in MOOCs. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 19(3), 9-17.
- Ball, C.T., and Pelco, L.E. (2006). Teaching Research Methods to undergraduate psychology students using an active cooperative learning approach. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 17( 2), 147-154.
- Bates, T. (2014, October 13). *Comparing xMOOCs and cMOOCs: philosophy and practice | Tony Bates*. Tony Bates. <https://www.tonybates.ca/2014/10/13/comparing-xmoocs-and-cmoocs-philosophy-and-practice/>
- BEZERRA, L. N., and SILVA, M. T. (2017). A review of literature on the reasons that cause the high dropout rates in the MOOCS. *Revista Espacios*, 38(05).
- Blackmon, S., and Major, C. (2017). Wherefore art thou MOOC: Defining Massive Open Online Courses. *Online Learning Journal*, 21(4).
- Bonafini, F. C. (2017). The effects of participants' engagement with videos and forums in a MOOC for teachers' professional development. *Open Praxis*, 9(4), 433-447
- Boud, D., and Falchikov, N. (2007). *Rethinking assessment in Higher Education* (pp. 191-207). London: Routledge.
- Carver, L., and Harrison, L. M. (2013). MOOCs and democratic education. *Liberal Education*, 99(4), n4.
- Chakravarty, R., and Kaur, J. (2016). MOOCs in India: Yet to Shine. *International Journal of Information Studies and Libraries*, 1(1), 14-21.
- Chakravarty, Rupak. (2016). MOOCs in India: Yet to Shine. *International Journal of Information Studies and Libraries*. 14.

- Chaudhari, Pinkal. (2016). *Developing and implementing multimedia learning package for enhancing ICT skills of student teachers at secondary level.* [Doctoral dissertation, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, India]. Shodhganga. <https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/151391>.
- Chauhan, J. (2017). An overview of Mooc in India. *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology*, 49(2), 111-120.
- Chauhan, J., and Goel, A. (2016, August). An analysis of quiz in MOOC. In *2016 Ninth International Conference on Contemporary Computing (IC3)* (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Chauhan, J., Taneja, S., and Goel, A. (2015, October). Enhancing MOOC with augmented reality, adaptive learning, and gamification. In *2015 IEEE 3rd International Conference on MOOCs, Innovation, and Technology in Education (MITE)* (pp. 348-353). IEEE.
- Chiu, T. K., and Hew, T. K. (2018). Factors influencing peer learning and performance in MOOC asynchronous online discussion forum. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 34(4).
- Christensen, G., Alcorn, B. and Emanuel, E. (2014), “MOOCs won’t replace business schools – they’ll diversity them”, *Harvard Business Review*, June 3. <https://cb.hbsp.harvard.edu/cbmp/product/H00U8Y-PDF-ENG>
- Christensen, L. B., Johnson, R. B., Turner, L. A., and Pearson. (2014). *Research methods, design, and analysis* (12th ed.). Pearson.
- Clark, D. (2013, April 16). *MOOCs: taxonomy of 8 types of MOOC*. Blogspot.com. <http://donaldclarkplanb.blogspot.com/2013/04/moocs-taxonomy-of-8-types-of-mooc.html>
- Connelly, L. M. (2008). Pilot studies. *Medsurg Nursing*, 17(6), 411-2.
- Cummins, S., Beresford, A. R., and Rice, A. (2015). Investigating engagement with in-video quiz questions in a programming course. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 9(1), 57–66.
- Daniel, B. (2018, 09 November) The need for innovation in Research Methodology. Retrieved it from <https://www.universityworldnews.com/post.php?story=20181107093621402>
- Daniel, B., Kumar, V., and Omar, N. (2018). Postgraduate conception of Research Methodology: implications for learning and teaching. *International Journal of Research and Method in Education*, 41(2), 220-236.
- Daniel, J. (2012). Making sense of MOOCs: Musings in a maze of myth, paradox, and possibility. *Journal of Interactive Media in education*, 2012(3).

- Deka, K. (2022, March 23). *Today, I.* (2022, March 23). *Movies. India Today.* <https://www.indiatoday.in/india-today-insight/story/ugc-must-move-beyond-issuing-guidelines-and-regulations-and-facilitate-change-m-jagadesh-kumar-1928538-2022-03-23> . India Today. <https://www.indiatoday.in/india-today-insight/story/ugc-must-move-beyond-issuing-guidelines-and-regulations-and-facilitate-change-m-jagadesh-kumar-1928538-2022-03-23>
- Deka, K. (2022, March 23). *UGC must move beyond issuing guidelines and regulations and facilitate change: M.Jagadesh Kumar.* India Today Insights.
- Desinguraj, S(2020) *Role of teacher in Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCS).IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Humanities, Arts and Literature (IMPACT: IJRHAL), Vol. 8, Issue 2, Feb 2020, 53–56.*
- Dion, M., Coxe, L.M., and Carne, M. (2011). Track Four: Teaching Methods, The American Political Science Association, 34(2) 155-171.
- Dixit, M. (2014). Teacher Education in India-problems and suggestions. *International Journal of Research, 1*(4), 414-419.
- Downes, S. (2014) *The MOOC of One*, Valencia, Spain, March 10
- Drake, J. R., T O'Hara, M., and Seeman, E. (2015). Five principles for MOOC design: With a case study. *Journal of Information Technology Education. Innovations in Practice, 14*, 125.
- Educause learning initiative (2012).7 things you should know about flipped classrooms. [.http://net.educase.edu/ir/library/pdf/ELI7081.pdf](http://net.educase.edu/ir/library/pdf/ELI7081.pdf)
- Educause. (2012). *What campus leaders need to know about MOOCs.* Retrieved from <http://tinyurl.com/c7gqj65>.
- EdX (2020). *High-quality education for everyone, everywhere.* (n.d.). <https://www.edx.org/assets/2020-impact-report-en.pdf>
- Fianu, E., Blewett, C., Ampong, G. O. A., and Ofori, K. S. (2018). Factors affecting MOOC usage by students in selected Ghanaian universities. *Education Sciences, 8*(2), 70.
- Fondo, M., and Konstantinidis, A. (2018). Design of a MOOC on personal language learning environments for digital language skills development. *Future-proof CALL: language learning as exploration and encounters–short papers from EUROCALL, 64.*
- Gamage, D., Perera, I., and Fernando, S. (2016). Evaluating effectiveness of MOOCs using empirical tools: learners perspective. In *0th annual International Technology Education and Development Conference.*

- Gawarikar, V., and Pramanik, S. (2015). A suggestive curriculum for the master of education (M. Ed.) program with an expanded employability quotient.
- Geri, N., Winer, A., and Zaks, B. (2017). Challenging the six-minute myth of online video lectures: Can inter- activity expand the attention span of learners? *Online Journal of Applied Knowledge Management*, 5(1), 101–111.
- Gil-Jaurena, I., and Domínguez, D. (2018). Teachers' roles in light of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs): Evolution and challenges in higher distance education. *International Review of Education*, 64(2), 197-219.
- Glance, D. G., Forsey, M., and Riley, M. (2013). The pedagogical foundations of Massive Open Online Course . *First Monday*. <https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i5.4350>
- Goel, D. R., and Goel, C. (2013). The Teacher Education scenario in India: Current problems and concerns. *MIER Journal of Educational Studies, Trends, and Practices*, 2(2).
- Gonçalves, V., and Gonçalves, B. (2018). The Process of Planning and Building a xMOOC: A Practical Review. *International Association for Development of the Information Society*.
- Gonçalves, V., Chumbo, I., Torres, E., and Gonçalves, B. (2016). Teacher Education through MOOC: a case study. In *Proceedings of iCERi2016: 9th International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation* (pp. 8350-8358). IATED Academy.
- Goopio, J., and Cheung, C. (2021). The MOOC dropout phenomenon and retention strategies. *Journal of Teaching in Travel and Tourism*, 21(2), 177-197.
- Gordillo, A. G., López-Pernas, S. L. P., Barra, E. B., Gordillo, A., López-Pernas, S., and Barra, E. (2019). Effectiveness of MOOCs for teachers in safe ICT use training. *Comunicar. Media Education Research Journal*, 27(2).
- Griffiths, R., Mulhern, C., Spies, R., and Chingos, M. (2015). Adopting MOOCs on campus: A collaborative effort to test MOOCs on campuses of the university system of Maryland. *Online Learning*, 19(2), n2.
- Guo, P. J., Kim, J., and Rubin, R. (2014, March). How video production affects student engagement: An empirical study of MOOC videos. In *Proceedings of the first ACM conference on Learning@ scale conference* (pp. 41-50).
- Guo, P. J., Kim, J., and Rubin, R. (2014, March). How video production affects student engagement: An empirical study of MOOC videos. In *Proceedings of the first ACM conference on Learning@ scale conference* (pp. 41-50).
- Hardway, C. L., and Stroud, M. (2014). Using Student Choice to Increase Students' Knowledge of Research Methodology, Improve Their Attitudes toward Research, and

- Promote Acquisition of Professional Skills. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, 26(3), 381-392.
- Haywood, J and Macleod, H (2015). To MOOC or not to MOOC. In Kim P, *MASSIVE OPEN ONLINE COURSE. The MOOC revolution* (p-62). Routledge
- Hew, K. F., and Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. *Educational research review*, 12, 45-58.
- Hibbert (2014) *what Makes an Online Instructional Video Compelling?*  
<https://er.educause.edu/articles/2014/4/what-makes-an-online-instructional-video-compelling>
- Ho, A. D., Chuang, I., Reich, J., Coleman, C., Whitehill, J., Northcutt, C., Williams, J. J., Hansen, J., Lopez, G., and Petersen, R. (2015). *HarvardX and MITx: Two years of open online courses* (HarvardX Working Paper No. 10).
- Inchiparamban, S and Pingle, S (2017). Developing and Implementing a MOOC In Educational Technology for Student Teachers and Testing Its Effectiveness – An Experiment. *Review of Research Journal, International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 6(12)
- Inchiparamban, S and Pingle, S (2017). Developing and Implementing a MOOC In Educational Technology for Student Teachers and Testing Its Effectiveness – An Experiment. *Review of Research Journal, International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal*, 6(12)
- Internet usage statistic (2021). Retrieved from <https://www.internetworldstats.com/top20.htm>
- Isaac, S., and Michael, W. B. (1995). *Handbook in research and evaluation*. San Diego, CA: Educational and Industrial Testing Services.
- Ismail, M. E., Utami, P., Ismail, I. M., Hamzah, N., and Harun, H. (2018). Development of massive open online course (MOOC) based on addie model for catering courses. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*, 8(2), 184-192.
- Israel, M. J. (2015). Effectiveness of integrating MOOCs in traditional classrooms for undergraduate students. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(5), 102-118.
- Jaganathan, G. S., and Sugundan, N. (2019). MOOCs: a comparative analysis between Indian scenario and global scenario. *Int. J. Eng. Technol.*)

- Janssen, M., Claesson, A. N., and Lindqvist, M. (2016). Design and early development of a MOOC on “Sustainability in everyday life”: role of the teachers. In *New developments in engineering education for sustainable development* (pp. 113-123). Springer, Cham.
- Karthika ,R. (2020).Awareness Of MOOC Among Arts And Science College Students In Relation To Their Self Learning Strategies And Interest Towards Mobile Technology. [Doctoral thesis, Tamil Nadu Teachers Education University].Shodhganga. <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/344737>.
- Kaur, R. (2019). MOOCs in Higher education.Challenges and opportunities. In *International Journal of 360 Management Review*. <https://www.ij360mr.com/docs/vol7/spcl/32.pdf>
- Khirwadkar. A and Chaudhari. P (2019).Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) Preparedness of the Teacher Candidates in Pre-service Programme. *International Journal of Advance and Innovative Research*,6(1)(XIV)
- Kilgore, P. J. (2018). *Adult College Students' Perceptions about Learning Mathematics via Developmental Mathematical xMOOCs*. University of South Florida.
- King, M., Luan, B., and Lopes, E. (2018). Experiences of Timorese language teachers in a blended massive open online course (MOOC) for continuing professional development (CPD). *Open Praxis*, 10(3), 279-287.
- Kizilcec, R. F., Piech, C., and Schneider, E. (2013). Deconstructing disengagement: analyzing learner subpopulations in Massive Open Online Courses. In *Proceedings of the third international conference on learning analytics and knowledge* (pp. 170-179).
- Koller, D., Ng, A., and Chen, Z. (2021, June 3). *Retention and Intention in Massive Open Online Courses: In-Depth*. Educause Review.
- Kothari, C.R. (2004). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques (2ndRev.ed.)* New Delhi: NEW AGE INTERNATIONAL (P) LIMITED.
- Koukis, N., and Jimoyiannis, A. (2018). MOOCs and Teacher Professional Development: A Case Study on Teachers' Views and Perceptions. *International Association for Development of the Information Society*.
- Kuder, G. F., and Richardson, M. W. (1937). The Theory of Estimation of Test Reliability. *Psychometrika*, 2, 151-160. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02288391>.
- Kumar, S. (2018).Lessons from the Nalanda University of Ancient Times and Stanford University of Modern era for a New India. *NHRD Network Journal*, 11(1), 76-80.
- Lakhera Himangani. (2017). *Development and implementation of a package for enhancing listening speaking reading and writing LSRW skills in English language among secondary CBSE students*[Doctoral dissertation, The Maharaja Sayajirao University of

Baroda, Vadodara, India]. Shodhganga. <https://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/223594>.

- Lakshmi, Y. V., Das, J., and Majid, I. (2020). Assessment of e-Learning Readiness of Academic Staff and Students of Higher Education Institutions in Gujarat, India. *Indian Journal of Educational Technology*, 2(1), 31.
- Latha, A. (2019). Challenges and implications of learning through massive open online course mooc a consumer perspective in india.
- Leedy, P. D., and Ormrod, J. E. (2013). Practical research: Planning and design. <https://www.pearsonhighered.com/assets/preface/0/1/3/4/0134775651.pdf>
- Lehti, S., and Lehtinen, E. (2005). Computer-supported Problem-based Learning in the Research Methodology Domain. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 49(3), 297-324.
- Li, F., Du, J., and Li, B. (2014). *The Curriculum Design and Development in MOOCs Environment*. International Association for the Development of the Information Society.
- Lin, J., and Cantoni, L. (2018). Decision, implementation, and confirmation: Experiences of instructors behind tourism and hospitality MOOCs. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 19(1).
- Manallack DT, Yuriev E (2016) Ten Simple guidelines for Developing a MOOC. *PLoS Comput Biol* 12(10):e1005061. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005061>.
- McAuley A, Stewart B, Siemens G, Cormier D (2010) The MOOC model for digital practice. [http://www.elearnspace.org/Articles/MOOC\\_Final.pdf](http://www.elearnspace.org/Articles/MOOC_Final.pdf).
- Mekonnen, F. D. (2020). Evaluating the Effectiveness of Learning by Doing Teaching Strategy in a Research Methodology Course, Hargeisa, Somaliland. *African Educational Research Journal*, 8(1), 13-19.
- MHRD (2020). National Educational Policy 2020. Ministry of human resources development. Government of India.
- Mishra, S. (2020). *MOOCs, e-Content Development, and OER*. [http://oasis.col.org/bitstream/handle/11599/3659/2020\\_Mishra\\_MOOC\\_OER\\_Transcript.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y](http://oasis.col.org/bitstream/handle/11599/3659/2020_Mishra_MOOC_OER_Transcript.pdf?sequence=3&isAllowed=y)
- Moe, R. (2014). The MOOC problem. <http://www.hybridpedagogy.com/journal/mooc-problem/>.

- Mor, Y., and Warburton, S. (2016). Patterns for using video in MOOCs. In *Proceedings of the 21st European Conference on Pattern Languages of Programs*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, [in Druck].
- Najafi, H., Evans, R., and Federico, C. (2014). MOOC integration into secondary school courses. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 15(5), 306-322.
- National Council for Teacher Education. (2014). Curriculum Framework: two-year M.Ed. programme. New Delhi: NCTE.
- National Knowledge Commission. (2007). Libraries: Gateways to Knowledge, A Roadmap for Revitalisation.
- NCTE (1998). *Curriculum Framework For Quality Teacher Education*. National Council for Teacher Education. Http:// 14.13 9.60 .1 53/bitstream/123456789/2 174/1/Curriculum%20framework%20for% 20quality%20teacher%20education%20D10151.pdf
- NCTE(2021)26th ANNUAL REPORT 2020 – 2021 .https://ncte.gov.in/website/ PDF/Annual report/English-2020-21.pdf
- Nordmann, E., Horlin, C., Hutchison, J., Murray, J. A., Robson, L., Seery, M. K., and MacKay, J. R. (2020). Ten simple rules for supporting a temporary online pivot in higher education. *PLoS Computational Biology*, 16(10), e1008242.
- NOU21 ED01. (2021). MOOC's Design and Development [YouTube Video]. On *YouTube*. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fbpXSTM17k0>
- O'Prey, P. (2013). *Massive Open Online Course, Higher Education digital moments?* Universities UK.
- Oakley, B., Poole, D., and Nestor, M. (2016). Creating a sticky MOOC. *Online Learning*, 20(1), 13-24.
- Onah, D. F., Sinclair, J. E., and Boyatt, R. (2014, November). Exploring the use of MOOC discussion forums. In *Proceedings of London International Conference on Education* (pp. 1-4).
- Onah, D. F., Sinclair, J., and Boyatt, R. (2014). Dropout rates of massive open online courses: behavioural patterns. *EDULEARN14 proceedings*, 1, 5825-5834.
- Onyema, E. M., Deborah, E. C., Alsayed, A. O., Noorulhasan, Q., and Sanober, S. (2019). Online discussion forum as a tool for interactive learning and communication. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(4), 4852-4859.

- Orr, D., M. Rimini and D. Van Damme (2015), *Open Educational Resource: A Catalyst for Innovation*, Educational Research and Innovation, OECD Publishing, Paris.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264247543-en>
- Orsini-Jones, M., Altamimi, S., and Conde, B. (2017). Integrating a MOOC into the postgraduate ELT curriculum: reflecting on students' beliefs with a MOOC blend. *Beyond the language classroom: researching MOOCs and other innovations*, 71-83.
- Ouyang, F., Li, X., Sun, D., Jiao, P., and Yao, J. (2020). Learners' Discussion Patterns, Perceptions, and Preferences in a Chinese Massive Open Online Course (MOOC). *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 21(3), 264-284.
- Pandit A.,(2016). Can 2016 Be the Inflection Year for MOOCs in India?" The Financial Express. [www.financialexpress.com/jobs/can-2016-be-the-inflection-year-for-MOOCs-in-India/232269/](http://www.financialexpress.com/jobs/can-2016-be-the-inflection-year-for-MOOCs-in-India/232269/).
- Pappano, L (2012). The Year of the MOOC. *The New York Times*, 2(12).
- Parvin, N. (2021). Teacher Education in India: Problems and Concern in Present Scenario. *International Journal of Research in Engineering, IT and Social Sciences*, 11. [https://www.indusedu.org/pdfs/IJREISS/IJREISS\\_3756\\_43601.pdf](https://www.indusedu.org/pdfs/IJREISS/IJREISS_3756_43601.pdf)
- Perifanou, M., Sophocleous, S. P., Bradley, L., and Thouésny, S. (2016). Designing strategies for an efficient language MOOC. *CALL communities and culture—Short papers from EUROCALL*, 386-90.
- PerniasPeco, P., and Lujan-Mora, S. (2013). The architecture of a MOOC based on CourseBuilder. Paper presented at the 2013 12th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (Ithet 2013).
- Punia, Y. (2017). Supplementing the Pre-service Teachers' Training through MOOCs. *Voice of Research*, 5(4). (pp.15–16). [http://www.voiceofresearch.org/doc/Mar-2017/Mar-2017\\_5.pdf](http://www.voiceofresearch.org/doc/Mar-2017/Mar-2017_5.pdf)
- Pushpanadham, K. (2019). Massive Open Online Courses: The emerging landscape of digital learning in India. *International Institute for Educational Planning*. [https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000367825?1=nulland\\_queryId=6348f3f9-084e-4d53-a9cc-2649eb1f97fb](https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000367825?1=nulland_queryId=6348f3f9-084e-4d53-a9cc-2649eb1f97fb).
- Rai, L., and Chunrao, D. (2016). Influencing factors of success and failure in MOOC and general analysis of learner behavior. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 6(4), 262.

- Rathee, N. (2018). *MOOC: A trenchant technological tool for quenching the quest of learning of masses*. MOOC, IJRAR- International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews .[http://ijrar.com/upload\\_issue/ijrar\\_issue\\_1935.pdf](http://ijrar.com/upload_issue/ijrar_issue_1935.pdf)
- Rohilla, S. (2020). Development of an educational programme on data analysis techniques for m ed students through cooperative learning. Handle.net. <https://doi.org/http://hdl.handle.net/10603/329666>
- Rohilla, S. (2020). Development of an educational programme on data analysis techniques for M.Ed. students through cooperative learning. Handle.net. <https://doi.org/http://hdl.handle.net/10603/329666>
- Rothkrantz, L. (2016, April). Dropout rates of regular courses and MOOCs. In *International Conference on Computer Supported Education* (pp. 25-46). Springer, Cham.
- Rubens, W. (2014). Improving the Learning Design of Massive Open Online Courses. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 13(4), 71-80.
- Salas-Rueda, R. A., Castañeda-Martínez, R., Eslava-Cervantes, A. L., and Alvarado-Zamorano, C. (2022). Teachers' Perception About MOOCs and ICT During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 14(1), ep343.
- Sekret, I., and Morze, N. (2017). Designing module “presence and online tutoring” for the Massive Open Online Course “ict tools for e-learning.” In M. Hrubý, DISTANCE LEARNING, SIMULATION AND COMMUNICATION 2017 (p.187).Conference proceedings.[https://www.academia.edu/36769631\\_DESIGNING\\_MODULE\\_PRESENCE\\_AND\\_ONLINE\\_TUTORING\\_FOR\\_THE\\_MASSIVE\\_OPEN\\_ONLINE\\_COURSE\\_ICT\\_TOOLS\\_FOR\\_E\\_LEARNING\\_](https://www.academia.edu/36769631_DESIGNING_MODULE_PRESENCE_AND_ONLINE_TUTORING_FOR_THE_MASSIVE_OPEN_ONLINE_COURSE_ICT_TOOLS_FOR_E_LEARNING_)
- Shah D (2018, 11 Dec.) By The Numbers: MOOCs in 2018.Retrieved from <https://www.classcentral.com/report/mooc-stats-2018/>
- Shah, V., Banerjee, G., Murthy, S., and Iyer, S. (2018, December). Learner-centric MOOC for teachers on effective ICT integration: Perceptions and experiences. In *2018 IEEE Tenth International Conference on Technology for Education (T4E)* (pp. 77-84). IEEE.
- Shah, V., Murthy, S., Warriem, J., Sahasrabudhe, S., Banerjee, G., and Iyer, S. (2022). Learner-centric MOOC model: a pedagogical design model towards active learner participation and higher completion rates. *Educational technology research and development*, 1-26.

- Shaikh, S. A. (2017). Student teacher awareness of MOOCs—massive online open courses. *International Journal of Educational Science and Research (IJESR)*, 7(6), 105-110.
- Sharma, A. (2018). *The Role of Massive Open Online Courses MOOCs in Furthering Executive Education in India*. [Doctoral dissertation, Chitkara University]. Shodhganga. <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/218605>
- Shigeta, K., Koizumi, M., Sakai, H., Tsuji, Y., Inaba, R., and Hiraoka, N. (2017). A survey of the awareness, offering, and adoption of OERs and MOOCs in Japan. *Open Praxis*, 9(2), 195-206.
- Shinde, L(2016). Effectiveness of video instructional material on Research Methodology and statistics in terms of achievement and reaction towards it of Post Graduate students. [Doctoral thesis, Devi Ahilya Vishwavidyalaya]. Shodhganga. <http://hdl.handle.net/10603/95586>
- Siemens, G., 2012. Designing, developing, and running (massive) open online courses. Retrieved from <http://de.slideshare.net/gsiemens/designing-and-running-a-mooc>.
- Siemens, G., 2013. Massive Open Online Courses: Innovation in education. *Open Educational Resources: Innovation, Research and Practice*, 5.
- Singh, A. B., and Mørch, A. I. (2018). An analysis of participants' experiences from the first international MOOC offered at the University of Oslo. *Nordic journal of digital literacy*, 13(1), 40-64.
- Singh, G., and Chauhan, R. (2017). Awareness towards Massive Open Online Courses(MOOCs) and their usage for Teacher Education in India. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 12(2), 81-88.
- Singh, G., and Chauhan, R. (2017). Awareness towards Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) and their usage for Teacher Education in India. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 12(2), 81-88.
- Sivakumar, R. (2019). Awareness of MOOCs-SWAYAM among Student-Teachers. *Sanshodhan Chetana*, 8(1), 62-68.
- Soffer, T., and Cohen, A. (2015). Implementation of Tel Aviv University MOOCs in academic curriculum: A pilot study. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, 16(1), 80-97.
- Spyropoulou, N., Pierrakeas, C., and Kameas, A. (2014). Creating MOOC Guidelines based on best practices. *Edulearn14 Proceedings*, 6981-6990.

- Subaveerapandiyan, A. (2020). Awareness And Usage of Swayam Courses Among Library And Information Science Students: A Survey. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal)*.
- Subrahmanyam, V. V., and Swathi, K. (2017). MOOCs Initiative of IGNOU Using SWAYAM. Retrieved on 10th December, 2018 from [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/V\\_Subrahmanyam/publication/316854024\\_XXI\\_IDEA\\_Annual\\_Conference](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/V_Subrahmanyam/publication/316854024_XXI_IDEA_Annual_Conference)
- Sukhbaatar, O., Choimaa, L., and Usagawa, T. (2018). Students' perception and experience of Massive Open Online Courses in Mongolia. *Creative Education*, 9(12), 1818-1828.
- SWAYAM Central. (2016). SWAYAM.gov.in. <https://SWAYAM.gov.in/about>
- Taneja, S., and Goel, A. (2014). MOOC Providers and their Strategies. *International Journal of Computer*
- Trehan, S., Sanzgiri, J., Li, C., Wang, R., and Joshi, R. (2017). Critical discussions on the Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) in India and China. *International Journal of Education and Development using ICT*, 13(2).
- Tungprapa, T. (2015). Effect of using the electronic mind map in the educational Research Methodology course for Master-degree students in the faculty of education. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 5(11), 803
- Tzovla, E., Kedraka, K., Karalis, T., Kougiourouki, M., and Lavidas, K. (2021). Effectiveness of In-Service Elementary School Teacher Professional Development MOOC: An Experimental Research. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(4), ep324.
- Udemy, online education steps up: What the world is learning (from home). [https://research.udemy.com/research\\_report/online-education-steps-up-what-the-world-is-learning-from-home/](https://research.udemy.com/research_report/online-education-steps-up-what-the-world-is-learning-from-home/), (2020).
- United Nations. (2020). *Policy Brief: Education during COVID-19 and beyond*. [https://www.un.org/development/desa/dspd/wp-content/uploads/sites/22/2020/08/sg\\_policy\\_brief\\_covid-19\\_and\\_education\\_august\\_2020.pdf](https://www.un.org/development/desa/dspd/wp-content/uploads/sites/22/2020/08/sg_policy_brief_covid-19_and_education_august_2020.pdf)
- Uppal, R (2019). Effectiveness of massive open online course in training higher education teachers. [Doctoral Thesis SNDT Womens University]. Shodhganga. <http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/handle/10603/259957#on31stJan2022>.
- Vardi MY (2012) Will MOOCs destroy academia? *Communications of the ACM* 55(11):5
- Varghese, S. S., Ramesh, A., and Veeraiyan, D. N. (2019). Blended Module-Based Teaching in Biostatistics and Research Methodology: A Retrospective Study with Postgraduate Dental Students. *Journal of dental education*, 83(4), 445-450.

- Ventista, O. M. (2018). Self-assessment in massive open online courses. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 15(4), 165-175
- Ventista, O. M. (2018). Self-assessment in massive open online Courses. *E-Learning and digital media*, 15(4), 165-175.
- Verma, P. (2021). Role of SWAYAM MOOCs in Democratisation of Higher Education. *Inflibnet.ac.in*. <https://doi.org/http://hdl.handle.net/10603/359676>
- Vezne, R. (2020). Teacher Candidates' Satisfaction with Massive Open Online Courses in Turkey. *Cypriot Journal of Educational Sciences*, 15(3), 479-491.
- Wood, J. (2022). *These 3 charts show how online learning is growing globally*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2022/01/online-learning-courses-reskill-skills-gap/>
- Xia, J., Fielder, J., and Siragusa, L. (2013). Achieving better peer interaction in online discussion forums: A reflective practitioner case study. *Issues in Educational Research*, 23(1), 97-113.
- Xiao, C., Qiu, H., and Cheng, S. M. (2019). Challenges and opportunities for effective assessments within a quality assurance framework for MOOCs. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, 24, 1-16.
- Zheng, S. (2016). Occupy MOOCs: Understanding Users Motivations, Perceptions and Activity Trajectories.
- Zúbrik, T. (2015, October 10). *8 reasons for WordPress-TomWeb*. TomWeb. <https://tomweb.sk/8-reasons-for-wordpress/>