CERTIFICATE

This is certified that the work contained in this thesis entitled **"SIGNIFICANCE OF THE WEB 2.0 TECHNOLOGY IN THE SERVICES OF THE ACADEMIC LIBRARIES: PROPOSED MODEL FOR THE UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES OF THE GUJARAT STATE."** submitted by **Mr. Shivangkumar Bhaskarbhai Maheta** to The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda, Vadodara, India for the degree **of Doctor of Philosophy in Library and Information Science** is a record of bonafide research work carried out by her under my supervision and guidance. The results embodied in the same have not been submitted elsewhere for the reward of any degree or diploma. It is further stated that the doctoral research was carried out fulfilling the requisite attendance criteria as per O.Ph.D. : 3(i) of the M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodara.

(Guide)

Dr.Mayank Trivedi

University Librarian

Smt. Hansa Mehta Library

The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodara

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the Thesis entitled **"Significance of the web 2.0 technology in the services of the academic libraries: Proposed model for the university libraries of the Gujarat state**." to be submitted for the **Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Library and Information Science** is my original work and the Thesis has not formed the basis for the award of any degree, diploma, associate ship or fellowship of similar other titles. It has not been submitted to any other University or Institution for the award of any degree or diploma.

Place: Baroda

Signature of the Scholar

Date:

Maheta Shivangkumar Bhaskarbhai

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Learning is a never-ending process; numerous people must mentor and assist the researcher to achieve his goal in research. Without expressing my profound gratitude to everyone who helped me to prepare my thesis, this study would not be complete.

I give God the highest praise for giving me the power, capability, and excellent health. With God's help, I was able to finish my research.

I owe an obligation of gratitude to **Dr. Mayank Trivedi**, my research supervisor, The university librarian at Smt. Hansa Mehta Library, The MS University of Baroda in Vadodara, who helped me along the way with my research. Without his support and encouragement, I would not have been able to complete this study. Without a doubt, I owe him for this parental care. In fact, since I was a student at SP University, he has served as an inspiration for both my study and career as a librarian.

My deepest gratitude goes to my parents **Shri Bhaskarbhai** & **Smt. Rashmiben Mehta**, brother **Parth**, wife **Jeetal**, son **Dwij**, inspirational force of my life my Daughter **Shailee**, and other family members and friends for their unflagging love and trustworthy support; this thesis would have been simply impossible without their warmth and philanthropic support.

I am extremely grateful to **Dr. Narendra Sharma**, Librarian Anand Institute of Management and Faculty at my alma mater, Anand Institute of PG Studies in Arts, for his generous support. I have started my learning of librarianship under his blessings. Though being very busy with his various academic and social responsibilities, he always spared ample time for me. I am also very much thankful

to **Dr. Adhya Saxena** I/c Head, Department of Library and Information Science and Dean – Faculty of Arts, The MS University of Baroda, Vadodara for her constant support and motivation during crucial days of my research work.

I am really thankful to my best friend **Dr. Ghanshyam Bhomachiya** for his constant valuable support. I am also very much thankful to my friend **Himanshu Patel, Godhra** for helping, inspiring and encouraging me.

In preparing this dissertation, I came in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding and thoughts. Thanks to all of them and I express my apology that I could not mention each name personally.

Place: Baroda Maheta Shivangkumar Bhaskarbhai

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENT

Chapter No	Title	Page No
	Certificate	I
	Declaration	II
	Acknowledgement	III
	Table of Content	V
	List of Table	XII
	List of Figures	XV
	Abstract	XIX
1	**INTRODUCTION**	**1**
1.1	Introduction:-	2
1.2	Rationale of Study	5
1.3	Research Objectives	6
1.4	Research Hypothesis	6
1.5	Importance of present study	7
1.6	Scope of Study	8
1.7	Limitation of Study	10
1.7.1	Topological Limitation	10
1.7.2	Geographical limitation	10
1.7.3	Subject related limitation	10
1.8	Methodology of present Study	11
1.9	Scheme of Chaptalization	11
1.10	Definition of Technical Terms used in the Study	12

1.10.1	Gujarat State:	12
1.10.2	University Library	12
1.10.3	Web2.0 technology:	13
1.10.4	Library 2.0	13
1.11	References	14
2	**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**	**17**
2.1	Introduction	18
2.2	Various Studies of Web 2.0 & library 2.0	18
2.2.1	Web 2.0 Studies in Education	18
2.2.2	Web 2.0 and library 2.0 Studies	25
2.2.3	Content analysis and webometric studies	51
2.3	Research Gap:	54
2.4	References	56
3	**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**	**64**
3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Research Design	66
3.3	Selection of Samples	66
3.4	Sources of Data	68
3.4.1	Secondary Data	68
3.4.2	Primary Data	68
3.5	Data Analysis	69
3.6	References	69
4	**WEB 2.0 & LIBRARY 2.0**	**71**
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	Origin and Development of Web 2.0	73
4.3	Characteristics of Web 2.0	74

4.4	Features of Web 2.0 technology	76
4.5	Meme map of web 2.0	78
4.6	Reasons for using web 2.0 for library	79
4.7	Library 2.0 and its origin	80
4.8	Moving from library 1.0 to Library 2.0	81
4.9	Categories of Web 2.0 Tools used in Academic Libraries	84
4.9.1	Text based tools	84
4.9.2	Image based tools.	85
4.9.3	Audio tools:	85
4.9.4	Video tools:	86
4.9.5	Multimedia Production tools:	86
4.9.6	Digital Storytelling tools:	86
4.9.7	Website creation tools	87
4.9.8	Knowledge organization and sharing tools	88
4.9.9	Data analysis tools:	88
4.10	Web 2.0 tools and how they can be used in the Library	90
4.10.1	Synchronous Communication (Messaging)	90
4.10.2	Content Delivery	91
4.10.3	Collaborative Publishing Tools	93
4.10.4	Collaborative Service Platforms	93
4.10.5	Hybrid Applications, Programs and Programming Tools	94
4.11	Benefits of Using Web 2.0 in Academic Library Services	95
4.12	Challenges of Using Web 2.0 for Academic Library Services	97
4.13	Ways of Optimising the Use of Web 2.0 Tools to Market Academic Library Services	98
4.14	References	99

5	**MODELS OF TECHNOLOGY ACCEPTANCE**	**102**
5.1	Introduction	103
5.2	Theory of Reasoned Action (TRA)	104
5.3	Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)	105
5.4	Theory of Interpersonal Behaviour (TIB)	106
5.5	Technology Acceptance Model (TAM)	107
5.6	Extension of TAM (ETAM) or TAM2	108
5.7	Social Cognitive Theory (SCT)	109
5.8	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT)	110
5.9	Conclusion	112
5.1	Reference	112
6	**PROFILE OF UNIVERSITY LIBRARIES**	**118**
6.1	Introduction	120
6.2	Gujarat University - Gujarat University library	120
6.3	The MS University of Baroda, Vadodara - Shrimati Hansa Mehta Library	122
6.4	Shree Somnath Sanskrit University, Veraval - SSSU Library	124
6.5	IIT RAM – Learning Resource Center	125
6.6	Central University of Gujarat (CUG) – Central Library	127
6.7	Sumandeep Vidyapith – Learning Resource Center	129
6.8	Centre for Environmental Planning and Technology - The Lilavati Lalbhai Library	130
6.9	Nirama University – NU Library	132
6.1	Ganpat University – GU Library	134

6.11	Dhirubhai Ambani Institute of Information and Communication Technology (DA-IICT) – Resource Centre	135
6.12	Auro University of Hospitality and Management - Library & Learning Resource Center	137
6.13	Uka Tarsadia University - B U Tarsadia Learning Resource Centre	138
6.14	Gsfc University Library	139
6.15	Parul University - Gyanoday Bhavan	141
6.16	Marwadi University - MU Learning Resource Centre	143
6.17	P.P. Savani University – Central Library	144
6.18	List of websites	145
6.19	References	147
7	**DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION**	**150**
7.1	Introduction	152
7.2	Analysis of Demographic Data	153
7.2.1	Frequencies Demographic Variables	153
7.2.2	Frequency analysis of data	155
7.2.2.1	How often you visit your library?	155
7.2.2.2	Have you visited your university library website /portal?	156
7.2.2.3	How often do you use Internet?	157
7.2.2.4	How much time do you spend online for acquiring and sharing knowledge and information?	158
7.2.2.5	Does your Library provide internet facilities?	159
7.2.2.6	How dependent you are on internet for academic purpose?	159
7.2.2.7	Where Do You Usually Use The Internet For Academic Purpose?	160

7.2.2.8	With What Device Do You Use The Internet?	161
7.2.2.9	How frequently you are using web 2.0 tools	162
7.2.2.10	What is your purpose of using Web 2.0 technologies?	164
7.2.2.11	Would you like your university library to provide library services using Web 2.0 technologies?	166
7.2.2.12	Obstacles from users point of view for implementation of web 2.0	168
7.2.2.13	Frequency analysis of PE	169
7.2.2.14	Frequency analysis of EE	170
7.2.2.15	Frequency analysis of SI	171
7.2.2.16	Frequency analysis of FC	172
7.2.2.17	Frequency analysis of BI	173
7.3	Findings from Inferential Statistics:	175
7.3.1	Findings from Mann-Whiteny Test	176
7.3.1.1	Influence of Gender on PE	177
7.3.1.2	Influence of Gender on EE	178
7.3.1.3	Influence of Gender on SI	179
7.3.1.4	Influence of Gender on FC	181
7.3.1.5	Influence of Gender on BI	182
7.3.1.6	Hypothesis Results - Mann-Whiteny Test	183
7.3.2	Findings from Kruskal Wallis Test	183
7.3.2.1	Influence of Age of Respondents on PE	184
7.3.2.2	Influence of Age of Respondents on EE	186
7.3.2.3	Influence of Age of Respondents on SI	187
7.3.2.4	Influence of Age of Respondents on FC	189
7.3.2.5	Influence of Age of Respondents on BI	191
7.3.2.6	Influence of Designation on PE	192

7.3.2.7	Influence of Designation on EE	194
7.3.2.8	Influence of Designation on SI	196
7.3.2.9	Influence of Designation on FC	197
7.3.2.10	Influence of Designation on BI	199
7.3.2.11	Hypothesis Results - Kruskal Wallis Test	201
7.3.3	Chi-Square Tests: Age Designation and Gender vs Web 2.0 Tools	201
7.3.4	Factor Analysis	204
7.3.5	SEM Analysis and Measurement Model	211
7.3.5.1	Mean Score and Std. Deviation of Construct	211
7.3.5.2	Construct reliability and validity	212
7.3.5.3	Discriminant validity	213
7.3.5.4	Evaluation of Formative Measurement Model	216
7.3.5.5	Hypothesis Testing	221
7.3.5.6	Total effects	222
7.3.5.7	Coefficient of Determination (R2 value)	223
7.3.5.8	Effect Size f2	224
7.3.5.9	Model Fit	225
7.3.5.10	Q2 PLS Predict and Model Predictive power	225
8	**FINDINGS, SUGGESTIONS & CONCLUSION**	**227**
8.1	Introduction	228
8.2	Findings	228
8.2.1	Finding from Website Analysis:	228
8.2.2	Findings from Demographic Profile analysis,	228
8.2.3	Findings from Basic Usage of Library, Internet and web 2.0 tools.	229
8.2.4	Findings from Mann-Whiteny Test:	230

8.2.5	Findings from Kruskal Wallis Test on the basis of Age of Respondents:	231
8.2.6	Findings from Kruskal Wallis Test on the basis of Designation of Respondents:	231
8.2.7	Findings from Exploratory Factor Analysis:	232
8.3	Recommendations:	232
8.4	Direction for Future Research	234
8.5	Conclusion:	234
Ann. 1	Bibliography: (Alphabetic)	236
Ann. 2	Questionnaire for library users	
Ann. 3	Publication Certificate	

LIST OF TABLE

Sr. No	Table No - Title	Page No
1	Table 1-1 List of Universities	8
2	Table 3-1 List of university libraries as sample	67
3	Table 1 Moving from library 1.0 to Library 2.0	82
4	Table 6-1 list of websites	145
5	Table 7-1 Age of Respondents	153
6	Table 7-2 Gender of Respondents	154
7	Table 7-3 Designation of Library Users	155
8	Table 7-4 Users visit to library	156
9	Table 7-5 Visit of Library website / Portal	157

10	Table 7-6 Use of internet	158
11	Table 7-7 Time spending online for Academic use	158
12	Table 7-8 Library providing Internet	159
13	Table 7-9 Dependency on internet for academic purpose	160
14	Table 7-10 Place of Using Internet for Academic Purpose	161
15	Table 7-11Prefered device for academic use of internet	162
16	Table 7-12 Frequency of using Web 2.0 tools	163
17	Table 7-13 Frequency of Web 2.0 tools use Mean and Mean rank	164
18	Table 7-14 Purpose of using Web 2.0 technologies	164
19	Table 7-15 Mean and Mean Rank of purpose of using Web 2.0	166
20	Table 7-16 Type of Services/ Information library should provide	167
21	Table 7-17 Obstacles in using web 2.0 tools	169
22	Table 7-18 Frequency analysis of PE	170
23	Table 7-19 Frequency analysis of EE	171
24	Table 7-20 Frequency analysis of SI	172
25	Table 7-21 Frequency analysis of FC	173
26	Table 7-22 Frequency analysis of BI	174
27	Table 7-23 Influence of Gender on PE	177
28	Table 7-24 Test Statistics: Influence of Gender on PE	178
29	Table 7-25 Influence of Gender on EE	179
30	Table 7-26 Test Statistics: Influence of Gender on EE	179
31	Table 7-27 Influence of Gender on SI	180
32	Table 7-28 Test Statistics: Influence of Gender on SI	180
33	Table 7-29 Influence of Gender on FC	181
34	Table 7-30 Test Statistics: Influence of Gender on FC	182
35	Table 7-31 Influence of Gender on BI	182

36	Table 7-32 Test Statistics: Influence of Gender on BI	183
37	Table 7-33 Influence of Age of Respondents on PE	184
38	Table 7-34 Test Statistics: Influence of Age of Respondents on PE	186
39	Table 7-35 Influence of Age of Respondents on EE	186
40	Table 7-36 Test Statistics: Influence of Age of Respondents on EE	187
41	Table 7-37 Influence of Age of Respondents on SI	188
42	Table 7-38 Test Statistics: Influence of Age of Respondents on SI	188
43	Table 7-39 Influence of Age of respondents on FC	189
44	Table 7-40Test Statistics: Influence of Age of Respondents on FC	190
45	Table 7-41 Influence of Age of Respondents on BI	191
46	Table 7-42Test Statistics: Influence of Age of Respondents on BI	192
47	Table 7-43 Influence of Designation on PE	193
48	Table 7-44 Test Statistics: Influence of Designation on PE	194
49	Table 7-45 Influence of Designation of EE	195
50	Table 7-46 Test Statistics: Influence of Designation of EE	195
51	Table 7-47 Influence of Designation on SI	196
52	Table 7-48 Test Statistics: Influence of Designation on SI	196
53	Table 7-49 Influence of Designation on FC	197
54	Table 7-50Test Statistics: Influence of Designation on FC	198
55	Table 7-51 Influence of Designation on BI	199
56	Table 7-52 Test Statistics: Influence of Designation on BI	200
57	Table 7-53 Test Statistics: Chi- Square Test Age and Web 2.0 tools	202
58	Table 7-54 Test Statistics: Chi- Square Test Gender and Web 2.0 tools	203
59	Table 7-55 Test Statistics: Chi- Square Test Designation and Web 2.0 tools	204

60	Table 7-56 Test Statistics: KMO and Bartlett's Test	206
61	Table 7-57 Communalities of Factors	206
62	Table 7-58 Test Statistics: Total Variance Explained	207
63	Table 7-59 Component Matrix	208
64	Table 7-60 Rotated Component Matrix	209
65	Table 7-61 Mean score and standard deviation of each item in the questionnaire related to the research model constructs	211
66	Table 7-62 The Measurement model: Reliability and validity results	212
67	Table 7-63 The Measurement Model: Inter construct correlation matrix	213
68	Table 7-64 Cross Loading for Discriminant Validity	214
69	Table 7-65 HTMT Ratio	215
70	Table 7-66 HTMT Confidence intervals bias corrected	216
71	Table 7-67 Collinearity statistics (VIF) Inner Model	217
72	Table 7-68 Collinearity statistics (VIF) Outer model	217
73	Table 7-69 Path coefficients	218
74	Table 7-70 Path coefficients: Mean, STDEV, T values, p values	220
75	Table 7-71 Confidence intervals	221
76	Table 7-72 Hypothesis Testing	222
77	Table 7-73 Total Effects	222
78	Table 7-74 R^2 the Coefficient of Determination	223
79	Table 7-75 Effect Size f^2	224
80	Table 7-76 Model fit	225
81	Table 7-77 MV Prediction Summery	226
82	Table 7-78 Final Result PLS Predict	226

LIST OF FIGURES

Sr. No	Figure No - Title	Page No
1	Figure 2-1 The Academic Library 2.0 Model by Xu, Ouyang and Chu	25
2	Fig. 4-1 Meme Map of Web 2.0	78
3	Fig. 4-2 Moving from library 1.0 to Library 2.0	82
4	Fig. 4-3 Categories of Web 2.0 Tools used in Academic Libraries	89
5	Figure 5-1 Theory of Reasoned Action	104
6	Figure 5-2 Theory of Planned Behaviour	106
7	Figure 5-3 Theory of Interpersonal Behaviour	107
8	Figure 5-4 Technology Acceptance Model	108
9	Figure 5-5 TAM2	109
10	Figure 5-6 UTAUT	110
11	Figure 6-1 Gujarat University Library	120
12	Figure 6-2 Gujarat University Library Website	121
13	Figure 6-3 Smt. Hansa Mehta Library	122
14	Figure 6-4 Smt. Hansa Mehta Library Website	123
15	Figure 6-5 OKG@Smt. Hansa Mehta Library	124
16	Figure 6-6 SSSU Library	124
17	Figure 6-7 SSSU Library website	125
18	Figure 6-8 Learning Resource Center - IIT RAM	126
19	Figure 6-9 Learning Resource Center Website	127
20	Figure 6-10 CUG Central Library	128
21	Figure 6-11 Website of Central Library CUG	128

22	Figure 6-12 LRC Sumandeep Vidyapith	129
23	Figure 6-13 Website of LRC	130
24	Figure 6-14 The Lilavati Lalbhai Library	131
25	Figure 6-15 Website of The Lilavati Lalbhai Library - CEPT	132
26	Figure 6-16 NU Library	132
27	Figure 6-17 NU Library Website	133
28	Figure 6-18 GU Library	134
29	Figure 6-19 GU Library Website	135
30	Figure 6-20 DA-IICT – Resource Centre	136
31	Figure 6-21 Resource Centre Website	136
32	Figure 6-22 Library & Learning Resource Center	137
33	Figure 6-23 Website of Library & Learning Resource Center	138
34	Figure 6-24 B U Tarsadia Learning Resource Centre	138
35	Figure 6-25 Website of B U Tarsadia Learning Resource Centre	139
36	Figure 6-26 GSFC University library	140
37	Figure 6-27 Website of GSFC University library	141
38	Figure 6-28 Gyanoday Bhavan -Parul Universtiy	141
39	Figure 6-29 Website of PU library	142
40	Figure 6-30 MU Learning Resource Centre	143
41	Figure 6-31 Website of MU Learning Resource Centre	144
42	Figure 6-32 Central Library PPSU	144
43	Figure 6-33 Central Library - PPSU	145
44	Figure 7-1 Age of Respondents	154
45	Figure 7-2 Gender of Respondents	154
46	Figure 7-3 Designation of Library Users	155
47	Figure 7-4 Users visit to library	156

48	Figure 7-5 Visit of University Library Website	157
49	Figure 7-6 Use of internet	158
50	Figure 7-7 Time spend online	159
51	Figure 7-8 Library providing internet	159
52	Figure 7-9 Dependency on internet for academic purpose	160
53	Figure 7-10Place of Using Internet for Academic Purpose	161
54	Figure 7-11 11Prefered device for academic use of internet	162
55	Figure 7-12 Frequency of using web 2.0 tools	163
56	Figure 7-13 Type of Services/ Information library should provide	168
57	Figure 7-14 Obstacles in using web 2.0 tools	169
58	Figure 7-15 frequency of PE	170
59	Figure 7-16 Frequency analysis of EE	171
60	Figure 7-17 Frequency analysis of SI	172
61	Figure 7-18 Frequency analysis of FC	173
62	Figure 7-19 Frequency analysis of BI	174
63	Figure 7-20 Factors Scree Plot	208
64	Figure 7-21 Path coefficients	219
65	Figure 7-22 Path model showing p value	220
66	Figure 7-23 Effect Size f^2	224