

Annexure -1

Bibliography: (Alphabetic)

1. Abrahão, R. de S., Moriguchi, S. N., & Andrade, D. F. (2016). Intention of adoption of mobile payment: An analysis in the light of the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT). *RAI Revista de Administração e Inovação*, 13(3), 221–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rai.2016.06.003>
2. Abram, S. (2007). Web 2.0, library 2.0 and librarian 2.0: preparing for the 2.0 world. Online, 6–8.
3. Agyekum, B. O., Arthur, B., & Trivedi, M. (2016). Adoption of Social Networking Tools in Public University Libraries in Ghana. *International Journal of Innovative Research & Development*, 5(5), 158–168.
4. Aharony, N. (2009). Web 2.0 use by librarians. *Library & Information Science Research*, 31(1), 29–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2008.06.004>
5. Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
6. Alajmi, M. A., & Alotaibi, J. H. (2020). Reconceptualization of system use in the context of the digital library: what are the roles of UTAUT and IS success models? *Journal of Electronic Resources Librarianship*, 32(3), 151–181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1941126X.2020.1790943>
7. Al-Daihani, S. M., & Abrahams, A. (2016). A Text Mining Analysis of Academic Libraries' Tweets. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 42(2), 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2015.12.014>
8. Alexander, B. (2006). Web 2.0. A new wave of Innovation for Teaching and Learning. Retrieved, from <http://www.educause.edu: http://www.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/erm0621.pdf>.

9. Alsbjør, P. (2008). Interaction: anything goes 2.0. Retrieved 3 January 2016 from <http://dlist.sir.arizona.edu/2370/01/SPLQ-2-2008.pdf>.
10. Alsbjør, P.(2008). Interaction: anything goes 2.0. Retrieved 3 January 2016 from <http://dlist.sir.arizona.edu/2370/01/SPLQ-2-2008.pdf>.
11. Aqil, M., Ahmad, P., & Siddique, M. A. (2011). Web 2.0 and Libraries: Facts or Myths. *DESIDOC Journal of Library and Information Technology*, 31(5), 395–400.
12. Arora, J. (2009). Library 2 . 0 : innovative technologies for building libraries of tomorrow. *Open Access and Multimedia Content: Bridging the Digital Divide*. Noida, 29-30 Janeiro, 2009, 49–65. <https://doi.org/http://hdl.handle.net/1944/1460>
13. Arora, J. (2009). Library 2.0: Innovative technologies for building libraries of tomorrow. Retrieved on 10 January 2016 from <http://ir.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/1944/1460/1/5.pdf>
14. AURO University. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://aurouniversity.knimbus.com /user#/home>
15. Ayu, A. R. R., & Abrizah, A. (2011). Do you Facebook? Usage and applications of Facebook page among academic libraries in Malaysia. *The International Information & Library Review*, 43(4), 239–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IILR.2011.10.005>
16. B U Tarsadia Learning Resource Centre Bardoli | Facebook. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.facebook.com/utulibrary/>
17. Bandura, A. (1989). *Human Agency in Social Cognitive Theory The Nature and Locus of Human Agency*.
18. Barik, N., & Biswal, S. (2018). *Library 2.0 An Implications for Innovative Library Services*.
19. Best Private University in Western India | Parul University. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://paruluniversity.ac.in/>

20. Black, J. A. (James A., & Champion, D. J. (1976). Methods and issues in social research. 445.
21. Brantley, J. S. (2010). Exploring library 2.0 on the social Web. *Journal of Web Librarianship*, 4(4), 351–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19322909.2010.525412>
22. C Rajendra Kumar. (2008) .Research Methodology, New Delhi, APH Pub.
23. C.R. Kothari (2001) .Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques. New Age International Publishers.
24. Carlson, S. (2007). Are reference desks dying out? Librarians struggle to redefine – and in some cases eliminate – the venerable institution. *The Reference Librarian*, 48(2), 25-30.
25. Casey, M. (2005a). What is Library 2.0? Retrieved December 28, 2015, from http://www.librarycrunch.com/2005/12/what_is_library_20.html
26. Casey, M. (2005b). Working towards a definition of Library 2.0. Retrieved December 28, 2016, from http://www.librarycrunch.com/2005/10/working_towards_a_definition_o.html
27. Casey, M. (2006). Service for the next generation library: a Library 2.0 perspective. Retrieved December 28, 2016, from http://www.librarycrunch.com/2006/08/_library_20_theory_web_20_and.html
28. Casey, M. E., & Savastinuk, L. C. (2006). Library 2.0: service for the next generation library. *Library Journal*, 131(14), 40-44.
29. Casey, M. E., & Savastinuk, L. C. (2007). *Library 2.0: a guide to participatory library service*. Medford, N. J.: Information Today.
30. Casey, M. E., and Savastinuk, L. C. (2010). *Library 2.0: Service for the nextgeneration library*. Retrieved June 13, 2017, from <http://lj.libraryjournal.com/2010/05/technology/library-2-0/>
31. Central Library catalog. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://opac.cug.ac.in/>

32. Central Library, CUG. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://library.cug.ac.in/drupal/>
33. Centre, R. (n.d.). Resource Centre, DA-IICT.
34. CEPT University catalog. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://library.cept.ac.in/>
35. Chen, Q. L., & Zhou, Z. H. (2016). Unusual formations of superoxo heptaoxomolybdates from peroxo molybdates. *Inorganic Chemistry Communications*, 67(3), 95–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inoche.2016.03.015>
36. Chokri, B. (2017). Analysis of technological, individual and community factors influencing the use of popular Web 2.0 tools in LIS education. *The Electronic Library*, 35(5), 977–993. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EL-03-2016-0069>
37. Clifford Woody (2010). Meaning & Nature of research. Available from <http://www.slideshare.net/birubiru/meaning-nature-of-research> accessed a. On November 1.
38. Coyle, K. (2007). The Library Catalog in a 2.0 World. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 33(2), 289–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2007.02.003>
39. Danny, M., & Danny, M. (2020). EasyChair Preprint Analysis of the Acceptance and Use of e-Campus Based on the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) Approach at Pelita Bangsa University.
40. Darries, F. (2014). An introduction TUT Library Workshop Fatima Darries Pretoria , South Africa, (February).
41. Darwin in letters,1866: Survival of the fittest | Darwin Correspondence Project. (n.d.). Retrieved October 5, 2020, from <https://www.darwinproject.ac.uk/letters/darwins-life-letters/darwin-letters1866-survival-fittest>

42. Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319–340. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>
43. Dillon, A., & Morris, M. G. (1996). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Theories and Models. *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, 31, 3–32.
44. Eldeeb, H. (2018). South Pecan Island freshwater introduction restoration project, Louisiana, USA. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 22, 952–959. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.03.136>
45. Esmaeel, R. I., Zakuan, N., Jamal, N. M., & Taherdoost, H. (2018). Fit manufacturing; Integrated model of manufacturing strategies. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 22, 975–981. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.03.139>
46. Eze, E. M. (2016). Awareness and use of Web 2.0 tools by LIS Students at University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 2016(1), 0–20.
47. Foo, S. (2008). Library 2.0, Libraries and Library School. *Library Association of Singapore Conference*, 1–12. Retrieved from http://www.las.org.sg/pa%5C_sfjn.pdf
48. Freeman, R. B. (1977). *The Works of Charles Darwin: An Annotated Bibliographical Handlist* (2nd ed.). Cannon House, Folkestone, Kent, England: Wm Dawson & Sons Ltd. Retrieved from http://darwin-online.org.uk/EditorialIntroductions/Freeman_OntheOriginofSpecies.html
49. Gross, J., & Leslie, L. (2008). Twenty-three steps to learning Web 2.0 technologies in an academic library. *Electronic Library*, 26(6), 790–802. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470810921583>
50. GSFC University. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.gsfcuni.edu.in/library>
51. Gujarat University Library. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://gulibrary.com/>
52. Gujarat University. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.gujaratuniversity.org.in/web/Weblib.asp>

53. Gul, S., Shah, T. A., & Nisa, N. T. (2014). Emerging web 2.0 applications in open access scholarly journals in the field of agriculture and food sciences. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 63(8/9), 670-683.
54. Gyanoday Bhavan. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://sites.google.com/paruluniversity.ac.in/gyanodaybhavan/home/>
55. Hall, H. (2011). Relationship and role transformations in social media environments. *The Electronic Library*, 29(4), 421-428.
56. Hamid, A. A., Razak, F. Z. A., Bakar, A. A., & Abdullah, W. S. W. (2016). The Effects of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use on Continuance Intention to Use E-Government. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35(October 2015), 644–649. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(16\)00079-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)00079-4)
57. Han, Z., & Liu, Y. Q. (2010). Web 2.0 applications in top Chinese university libraries. *Library Hi Tech*, 28(1), 41-62.
58. Hanif, M. (2009). Need for Web 2 . 0 Technology for the Libraries. 7th International CALIBER 2009, 330–336.
59. Harinarayana, N. S., & Raju, N. V. (2009). Web 2.0 features in university library websites. *The Electronic Library*, 28(1), 69-88.
60. Hatua, S. (2019). A journey from OPAC to Library 2 . 0 : empowering users A journey from OPAC to Library 2 . 0 : empowering users, (August).
61. Howard, H., Huber, S., Carter, L., & Moore, E. (2018). Academic libraries on social media: Finding the students and the information they want. *Information Technology and Libraries*, 37(1), 8–18. <https://doi.org/10.6017/ital.v37i1.10160>
62. IITRAM | Learning Resource Centre. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://iitram.ac.in/library/>
63. Journalism Course in India | BA History | Learning Resource Center. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.auruniversity.edu.in/learning-resource-center/>

64. Junadi, & Sfenrianto. (2015). A Model of Factors Influencing Consumer's Intention to Use E-payment System in Indonesia. *Procedia Computer Science*, 59(Iccsci), 214–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2015.07.557>
65. K G Sudhier; C Devi Priya. (2017). Use and awareness of school library blogs: a case study. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 64(June), 137–143.
66. Khechine, H., Raymond, B., & Augier, M. (2020). The adoption of a social learning system: Intrinsic value in the UTAUT model. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, n/a(n/a), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12905>
67. Koltay, T. (2017). *Library 2.0: Lost illusions? The End of Wisdom?: The Future of Libraries in a Digital Age*. Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-100142-4.00008-7>
68. Krishna swami, O. R. and Ranganathan M. (2005). *Methodology of Research in Social Sciences*, Himalaya Publishing House, Mumbai
69. Kumar, A. (2014). Students opinion about the success of mobile technology in libraries: A case study of jawaharlal nehru university (jnu), New delhi. *New Library World*, 115(9–10), 471–479. <https://doi.org/10.1108/NLW-10-2013-0075>
70. KumarBera, S., Modak, A., & Das, A. (2019). Library 2.0: the application of web 2.0 technology to library and information services. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 7(4), 454–459. <https://doi.org/10.21474/ijar01/8839>
71. Kwanya, T., Stilwell, C., & Underwood, P. G. (2010). Library 2.0 principles and Ranganathan's fifth law. *Mousaion*, 28, 1–16.
72. Learning Resource Center – Sumandeep Vidyapeeth. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://library.sumandeepvidyapeethdu.edu.in/>
73. Learning Resource Centre – Sumandeep Vidyapeeth. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://sumandeepvidyapeethdu.edu.in/about-us/authorities-and-central-committees/libraries-committee/>

74. Letter 5140 – Wallace, A. R. to Darwin, C. R., 2 July 1866. (n.d.). Darwin Correspondence Project. Retrieved from <http://www.darwinproject.ac.uk/entry-5140#back-mark-5140.f5>
75. Letter 5145 – Darwin, C. R. to Wallace, A. R., 5 July (1866). (n.d.). Darwin Correspondence Project. Retrieved from <http://www.darwinproject.ac.uk/entry-5145#mark-5145.f3>
76. libraries.org: B U Tarsadia Learning Resource Centre. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://librarytechnology.org/libraries/search.pl?OrgName=UkaTarsadiaUniversity>
77. Library - Marwadi University. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://library.marwadieducation.edu.in/>
78. Library | GSFC University, Vadodara. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.gsfcuni.edu.in/library/all/15>
79. Library | GSFC University, Vadodara. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.gsfcuni.edu.in/libraryCms/digital-library-access/15>
80. Library | GSFC University, Vadodara. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://www.gsfcuni.edu.in/view_more/library
81. Library | Nirma University. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://library.nirmauni.ac.in/>
82. Luo, L. (2010). Web 2.0 Integration in Information Literacy Instruction: An Overview. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 36(1), 32–40. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2009.11.004>
83. Matingwina, T. (2014). Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices of University Students on Web 2. *Zimbabwe Journal of Science & Technology*, 9, 59–72.
84. Meredith, F. (2012). Participatory technologies, pedagogy 2.0 and information literacy. *Library Hi Tech*, 30(1), 82–94. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831211213229>
85. Michael, A.-M., & Harry, A. (2016). Being where the users are: Readiness of academic librarians to satisfy information needs of users through social

- media. *Library Review*, 65(8/9), 549–563. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LR-02-2016-0020>
86. Miller, P. (2005). Web 2.0: building the new library. *Ariadne*, (45). Retrieved from <http://www.ariadne.ac.uk/issue45/miller/>
87. Miller, P. (2006). *Library 2.0: the challenge of disruptive innovation*. Birmingham: Talis.
88. Musser, J and O'Reilly, T. (2007). *Web 2.0 principles and best practices*. Sebastopol: O'Reilly Media. Online Computer Library Center (OCLC). (2010). *Research libraries, risks and systemic change*. Retrieved on January 10, 2016 from <http://www.oclc.org/research/publications/library/2010/2010-03.pdf>
89. Mwiinga, T. M., & Hamooya, C. (2016). An investigation on the usage of web 2.0 application for educational purposes among undergraduate students at the University of Zambia. In 22nd Standing Conference of Eastern, Central and Southern Africa Library and Information Associations (SCECSAL XXII) Held at Convention Centre, eZulwini, Swaziland from 25th to 29th April 2016 (pp. 185–192).
90. Nachmias, D., & Nachmias, C. F. (1999). *Research Methods in the Social Sciences w/Data Disk*. 600. <http://www.amazon.com/dp/1572599294>
91. Narayan, R., & Mahapatra, R. K. (2019). Library Websites of Central Universities in India: A Webometric Analysis. *Pearl : A Journal of Library and Information Science*, 13(1), 53. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-6922.2019.00008.1>
92. Nguyen, L. C., Partridge, H., & Edwards, S. L. (2012). Towards an understanding of the participatory library. *Library Hi Tech*, 30(2), 335–346. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378831211239997>
93. Niedbala, M. A., & Fogleman, J. (2010). Taking library 2.0 to the next level: Using a course wiki for teaching information literacy to honors

- students. *Journal of Library Administration*, 50(7), 867–882.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01930826.2010.488986>
94. O'Reilly, T. (2005b). Web 2.0: compact definition. Retrieved on January 10, 2016 from http://radar.oreilly.com/archives/2005/10/web_20_compact_definition.html
 95. Olumide, D. (2016). Technology Acceptance Model as a predictor of using information system' to acquire information literacy skills. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 1450(e-journal), 1–28.
 96. O'Reilly, T. (2005). What is Web 2.0. Retrieved January 10, 2016, from <http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/a/oreilly/tim/news/2005/09/30/what-is-web-20.html?page=1>
 97. O'Reilly, T. (2005). What is Web 2.0? Retrieved January 10, 2016, from <http://www.oreillynet.com/pub/a/oreilly/tim/news/2005/09/30/what-is-web-20.html?page=1>
 98. Oxford Dictionaries: language matters. Oxford University Press, Oxford. Retrieved from <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com> dated on 7/8/2017
 99. Patel, S. S. (2017). A Study of Web 2 . 0 Application in Libraries of Premier Institute of Gujarat Introduction :, 7(64344), 89–98.
 100. Patil, Y. (2014). Web 2.0, Library 2.0 & Librarian 2.0. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/16858506/Web_2.0_Library_2.0_and_Librarian_2.0
 101. Phillips, B. S. (1976). *Social research : strategy and tactics*. 365.
 102. Procter, R., Williams, R., Stewart, J., Poschen, M., Snee, H., Voss, A., & Asgari-Targhi, M. (2010). Adoption and use of Web 2.0 in scholarly communications. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 368(1926), 4039–4056.
<https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2010.0155>
 103. Punoose, A. L. (2018). An Investigation in to The use of web 2.0 Tools in The University Library Websites in India. *Journal of Library and*

- Information Communication Technology, 7(1), 65.
<https://doi.org/10.5958/2456-9399.2018.00007.x>
104. Purpose of Research, (2008). www.experiment-resources.com/purpose-ofresearch.html on. November 2
105. Rahimi, B., Nadri, H., Afshar, H. L., & Timpka, T. (2018, July 1). A systematic review of the technology acceptance model in health informatics. *Applied Clinical Informatics*. Georg Thieme Verlag.
<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0038-1668091>
106. Rahoo, L. A., Baladi, Z. H., Arshad, S., Nagar, M. A. K., & Rustamani, S. (2018). Use of Web 2.0 Tools for Marketing and Promotion of Library Services in Higher Education Institutes of Sindh. In 2018 IEEE 5th International Conference on Engineering Technologies and Applied Sciences (ICETAS) (pp. 1–5). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICETAS.2018.8629175>
107. Ramana, P.V. (2006). The changing role of librarian in a challenging dynamic web environment. Paper presented at the 4th International Convention CALIBER held on 2-4 February 2006 at the Gulbarga University, Karnataka – India. Retrieved on January 8, 2016 from <http://ir.inflibnet.ac.in/dxml/bitstream/handle/1944/562/18%28cal%2006%29.pdf?sequence=1>
108. Rana, R. (2017). New Veneer of Libraries: Library 2.0. *Pearl : A Journal of Library and Information Science*, 11, 38. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0975-6922.2017.00006.7>
109. Redman and Mary (2010). *Research Methodology*. Available from http://www.freewebschools.com/MBAstudycircle/research_method.html
110. Resource Centre : DA-IICT --> Home Page. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://resourcecentre.daiict.ac.in/>

111. S.M. Dhawan; B.M. Gupta; Ritu Gupta; Ashok Kumar; and Jivesh Bansa. (2016). Quantitative Assessment of Global Literature on 'Web 2.0 and Libraries' during 2006-15. *DESIDOC Journal of Library & Information Technology*, 36(5), 261–268. <https://doi.org/10.14429/djlit.36.5.10350>
112. Sahney, S., Benton, M. J., & Ferry, P. A. (2010). Links between global taxonomic diversity, ecological diversity and the expansion of vertebrates on land. *Biology Letters*, 6(4), 544–547. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2009.1024>
113. Sharp, J. H. (2006). Development, extension, and application: A review of the technology acceptance model. *Proceedings of the Information Systems Education Conference, ISECON*, 23(January 2007).
114. Sheikh, A., Syed, K. A., & Naseer, M. M. (2016). Use of social media tools by reputed University libraries of the world. *Pakistan Library and Information Science Journal*, 47(2), 45–55. Retrieved from <http://web.a.ebscohost.com/ehost/detail/detail?sid=425d42f1-a4bc-40f5-a9f0-75e8338ed834%40sessionmgr4007&vid=0&hid=4109&bdata=JnNpdGU9ZWWhvc3QtbGl2ZQ%3D%3D#AN=115879260&db=lxh>
115. Shree Somnath Sanskrit University - Galleria - Library & MRC. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://sssu.ac.in/index.php/galleria/category/14-library-mrc>
116. Shukla, A. T., & Tripathi, A. (2018). *Library 2.0: Tools & Techniques*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.30414.51521>
117. Singh, K. P., & Gill, M. S. (2013). Web 2.0 technologies in libraries: A survey of periodical literature published by Emerald. *Library Review*, 62(3), 177–198. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00242531311329491>
118. Smt. Hansa Mehta Library - The MS University of Baroda. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.hmlibrary.ac.in/>

119. Social Cognitive Theory: Definition and Examples. (n.d.). Retrieved October 5, 2020, from <https://www.thoughtco.com/social-cognitive-theory-4174567>
120. Sodt, J. M., & Summey, T. P. (2009). Beyond the library's walls: Using library 2.0 tools to reach out to all users. *Journal of Library Administration*, 49(1–2), 97–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01930820802312854>
121. SSSU Library. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://sites.google.com/view/sssulibrary/home>
122. Taherdoost, H. (2018). A review of technology acceptance and adoption models and theories. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 22, 960–967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.03.137>
123. Talukder, M. (2012). Factors affecting the adoption of technological innovation by individual employees: An Australian study. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 40, 52–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.03.160>
124. Tella, A., & Soluoku, T. (2016). Usage analysis of Web 2.0 and Library 2.0 tools by librarians in Kwara State academic libraries. *Education for Information*, 32(3), 225–247. <https://doi.org/10.3233/EFI-160975>
125. The Maharaja Sayajirao University of Baroda. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.msubaroda.ac.in/Libraries>
126. The theory of planned behavior - ScienceDirect. (n.d.). Retrieved October 5, 2020, from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S074959789190020T?via%3Dihub>
127. Theory of Reasoned Action - Wikipedia. (n.d.). Retrieved October 5, 2020, from https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Theory_of_Reasoned_Action&redirect=no
128. Ting, H., Yacob, Y., Liew, L., & Lau, W. M. (2016). Intention to Use Mobile Payment System: A Case of Developing Market by Ethnicity.

- Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 224(August 2015), 368–375.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.05.390>
129. Torres-Pérez, P., Méndez-Rodríguez, E., & Orduna-Malea, E. (2016). Mobile Web Adoption in Top Ranked University Libraries: A Preliminary Study. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 42(4), 329–339.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2016.05.011>
130. Totolo, A., & Jibril, L. (2015). Perceptions of University of Botswana Librarians on the Potential of Web Dimane Mpoeleng Department of Computer Science University of Botswana Abstract :, (15), 1–20.
131. Triandis' Theory of Interpersonal Behaviour. (n.d.).
132. Trivedi, M. (2010). Blogging for libraries and librarians. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 2010(MAY), 1–4.
133. Trivedi, M. ; M. J. (2015). Contents of University Library websites of Central Universities of India: An analysis. *International Journal of Research in Social Sciences*, 5(3), 701–715. Retrieved from http://www.ijmra.us/2015ijrss_august.php
134. Trivedi, M., & Suthar, V. (2011). A plan of M-Library for Smt. Hansa Mehta Library: A study. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Research*, 1(3), 91–95. Retrieved from http://esjournals.org/journaloftechnology/archive/vol1no3/vol1no3_1.pdf
135. Turan, A., Tunç, A. Ö., & Zehir, C. (2015). A Theoretical Model Proposal: Personal Innovativeness and User Involvement as Antecedents of Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 210, 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.11.327>
136. Tyagi, S. (2012). Use of web 2.0 technology by library professionals: Study of selected engineering colleges in western uttar pradesh. *DESIDOC Journal of Library and Information Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.14429/djlit.32.5.2655>

137. Uka Tarsadia University Library, Bardoli. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://utu.ac.in/Library/index.html>
138. Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology [Personality & TKMS series] | RealKM. (n.d.). Retrieved October 5, 2020, from <https://realkm.com/2016/08/30/unified-theory-of-acceptance-and-use-of-technology-personality-tkms-series/>
139. University Library, CEPT Library, - CEPT. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://cept.ac.in/library>
140. Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). Theoretical extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four longitudinal field studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926>
141. Venkatesh, V., G Morris, M., B Davis, G., Davis, F. D., Venketesh, V., Morris, M. G., ... Davis, F. D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425–478. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
142. Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2016). Unified theory of acceptance and use of technology: A synthesis and the road ahead. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 17(5), 328–376. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00428>
143. Venketesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). *arterly*, 27(3), 425–478.
144. Verma, M. K., & Brahma, K. (2017). A webometric analysis of national libraries' websites in South Asia. *Annals of Library and Information Studies*, 64(2), 116–124.
145. Vongjaturapat, S., Chaveesuk, S., Chotikakamthorn, N., & Tongkhambanchong, S. (2015). Analysis of Factor Influencing the Tablet Acceptance for Library Information Services: A Combination of UTAUT

- and TTF Model. *Journal of Information and Knowledge Management*, 14(3). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219649215500239>
146. Vyas, M., & Trivedi, M. (2014). Role of Social Networking Tool in Dissemination of Information At Smt.Hansa Mehta Library. *E-Library Science Research Journal*, 2(9), 1–9. Retrieved from http://www.academia.edu/7774753/ROLE_OF_SOCIAL_NETWORKING_TOOL_IN_DISSEMINATION_OF_INFORMATION_AT_SMT.HANSA_MEHTA_LIBRARY
147. Xu, C., Ouyang, F., & Chu, H. (2009). The Academic Library Meets Web 2.0: Applications and Implications. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 35(4), 324–331. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACALIB.2009.04.003>
148. Yoon, H.-Y. Y. (2016). User Acceptance of Mobile Library Applications in Academic Libraries: An Application of the Technology Acceptance Model. *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 42(6), 687–693. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2016.08.003>