

LIST OF FIGURES

| CHAPTER I | PAGE NO. |
|--|-------------|
| Figure 1: Global carbon cycle and fluxes between the reservoirs..... | 3 |
| CHAPTER II | |
| Figure 1: Location map of the study area along with three selected sites (green circles) in the Gujarat shoolpaneshwar sanctuary..... | 36 |
| Figure 2: Google map showing the study area (orange out line) and Sardar sarovar reservoir situated in Narmada district..... | 37 |
| Figure 3: Photographs showing the teak (a), bamboo (b) mixed cover (c), including herbaceous vegetation, during post monsoon season and trees (d) shed their leaves during summer season at the shoolpaneshwar wildlife sanctuary..... | 38 |
| Figure 4: Soil map of Gujarat state showing the different soil orders and Narmada district (green out line) along with the study area (orange outline inside the Narmada district) falls in the order of Entisols (Soil map of Gujarat after Merh, 1995)..... | 40 |
| Figure 5: Diagram of the Holdridge life zone system of classifying world plant formations showing the shoolpaneshwar wildlife sanctuary in the dry forest zone (after Brown and Lugo, 1982). | 42 |
| Figure 6: The detailed soil sampling pattern of the study area..... | 44 |
| Figure 7: Photographs showing the trench made in the teak (a), bamboo (b) and mixed cover (c) sites..... | 45 |
| Figure 8: Teak cover during summer (April) and post monsoon season (October) at the study area..... | 46 |
| Figure 9: Bamboo cover during summer (April) and post monsoon season (October) at the study area..... | 47 |
| Figure 10: Mixed cover during summer (April) and post monsoon season (October) at the study area..... | 48 |
| Figure11: Soil calculator developed by Metherell et al. (1993) for CENTURY version 4.0 to calculate soil physical parameters..... | 52 |
| Figure 12: Schematic illustration of physical fractionation method..... | 55 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 13: Litter layer of teak (a) and bamboo (b) cover plots during summer season (June)..... | 59 |
| Figure 14: Litter layer of mixed cover plot during summer season (June) in the study area..... | 60 |

CHAPTER III

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Particle size distribution (%) at different depths of soils under three types of land cover..... | 66 |
| Figure 2: The USDA soil texture classification system (a) and the range of soil texture classes at different depths of teak land cover (b)..... | 68 |
| Figure 3: The range of soil texture classes at different depths of bamboo (c) and mixed land cover (d)..... | 69 |
| Figure 4: Soil bulk density of three types of land cover with standard error bars ($n=3$)..... | 70 |
| Figure 5: SOC content ($t\ ha^{-1}$) at different depths under three types of land cover..... | 71 |
| Figure 6: SOC (%) in the soil (Treatment layer) of litter bag study and adjacent layer of three different stands and depths with standard error bar ($n=3$)..... | 72 |
| Figure 7: SOC content (%) in the Pools 1 and Pool 2 (treatment layer after 320 days) of litter bag study and adjacent layer of three types of land cover, three different depths with standard error bars ($n=3$)..... | 74 |
| Figure 8: Proton (1H) NMR spectra of teak soil extracts at 0-2 and 12-14cm depths. The spectra shows three groups (alkyl, <i>O</i> -alkyl and aromatic)..... | 76 |
| Figure 9: Proton (1H) NMR spectra of bamboo soil extracts at 0-2 and 12-14cm depths. The spectra shows three groups (alkyl, <i>O</i> -alkyl and aromatic)..... | 77 |
| Figure 10: Proton (1H) NMR spectra of pools 1 and pool 2 (physical fractions of teak) at 0-2cm depth. The spectra shows three groups (alkyl, <i>O</i> -alkyl and aromatic)..... | 78 |
| Figure 11: The $\delta^{13}C_{SOC}$ values at three different depths (a) and the discrimination factor values (b) at three different depths of teak, bamboo and mixed land cover..... | 81 |
| Figure 12: Regression line between $\ln(C/C_0)$ and the $\delta^{13}C$ values at three different depths (0-2, 45-60 and 90-100 cm) of teak, bamboo and mixed vegetal cover..... | 82 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 13: Regression line between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and log-transformed carbon (g kg^{-1}) of litter and soils at three different depths of three types of vegetal cover..... | 83 |
| Figure 14: The relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and abiotic variables (soil pH, sand (%), silt (%), clay (%) and silt+clay (%)) at three different depths of teak land cover..... | 84 |
| Figure 15: The relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and abiotic variables (soil pH, sand (%), silt (%), clay (%) and silt+clay (%)) at three different depths of bamboo land cover..... | 85 |
| Figure 16: The relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and abiotic variables (soil pH, sand (%), silt (%), clay (%) and silt+clay (%)) at three different depths of mixed land cover..... | 86 |
| Figure 17: The relationship between beta value (β) and soil pH, sand (%), silt (%), clay (%), Silt +clay (%) and HF-soluble carbon (%) of three types of land cover..... | 87 |
| Figure 18: Relationship between HF-soluble carbon and MRT at three different depths of teak vegetal cover..... | 89 |
| Figure 19: Regression line between the discrimination factor ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{soc}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{litter}}$) values and MRT of teak, HF-soluble carbon concentrations of teak, bamboo and mixed vegetal cover..... | 90 |
| Figure 20: Regression line between $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and HF-soluble carbon of three types of land cover..... | 91 |
| Figure 21: Litter production (t ha^{-1}) of three types of land cover at different seasonal months..... | 93 |
| Figure 22: Litter decomposition of teak cover at three different depths, after 90, 220 and 320 days with standard error bar ($n=3$). TL- teak litter..... | 94 |
| Figure 23: Litter decomposition of Bamboo cover at three different depths, after 90, 220 and 320 days with standard error bar ($n=3$). BL- bamboo litter..... | 95 |
| Figure 24: Litter decomposition of mixed cover at three different depths, after 90, 220 and 320 days with standard error bar ($n=3$). ML- mixed litter.. | 96 |
| Figure 25: Litter decomposition of bamboo litter in teak site at three different depths, after 90, 220 and 320 days with standard error bar ($n=3$). BL- bamboo litter..... | 97 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 26. Litter decomposition of teak litter in bamboo site at three different depths, after 90, 220 and 320 days with standard error bar ($n=3$). TL- teak litter..... | 98 |
| Figure 27: Soil respiration ($\text{g CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) from three different vegetal cover soils with standard error bars ($n = 5$)..... | 99 |
| Figure 28: MBC (mg kg^{-1}) at three different depths of soils under three types of land cover..... | 100 |