LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1	Schematic representation of the oral cavity, showing keratinized (white) and non-keratinized (grey) regions	16
Fig 2.2	Schematic representation of the main tissue components of the oral mucosa	17
Fig .2.3.	Permeation across mucosal membrane	19
Fig 2.4	Structure of mucus	21
Fig. 2.5.	Problems associated with the oral cavity as a site for systemic drug delivery	27
Fig. 2.6	Chain interpenetration during bioadhesion of polymer (A) with the mucus (B)	30
Fig. 2.7.	Contour lines (a) maximum; (b) saddle point; (c) ridge; and (d) rising ridge	42
Fig. 2.8.	A typical response surface plotted between two factors X_1 and X_2 and a response variable Y.	42
Fig 2.9.	Ultraviolet spectrum of FU (Solvent methanol).	45
Fig. 2.10.	Ultraviolet spectrum of Methotrexate (Solvent 0.1N NaOH)	50
Fig 2.11	Gantrez Anhydride Conversion to the Various Forms	64
Fig. 3.1	Wavelength scan of FU in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer.	100
Fig. 3.2	Standard Curve of FU in pH 6.8 Buffer	101
Fig 3.3a.	Representative chromatogram of blank plasma	106
Fig 3.3b.	Representative chromatogram of FU in plasma	106
Fig 3.3c.	Representative chromatogram of FU and Internal standard (I.S.) in plasma; I.S. - 5 - Bromouracil	106
Fig. 3.4.	Standard plot for FU in plasma by HPLC.	107
Fig.3.5	Wavelength scan of MTX in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer.	111
Fig. 3.6.	MTX standard curve in pH 6.8 phosphate buffer	112

Fig 3.7a.	Representative chromatogram of blank plasma	115
Fig 3.7b.	Representative chromatogram of MTX in plasma	115
Fig 3.7c.	Representative chromatogram of MTX in plasma	116
Fig. 3.8.	Standard curve of MTX in plasma by HPLC	117
Fig 4.1	Apparatus for *in vitro* Bioadhesion test.	125
Fig. 4.2.	Schematic illustration of the dissolution test apparatus.	127
Fig 5.1a.	Permeation of FU in presence of SDS (Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate) across buccal mucosa	147
Fig 5.1b.	Permeation of FU in presence of Oleic acid across buccal mucosa	147
Fig 5.1c.	Permeation of FU in presence of SDC (Sodium Deoxycholate) across buccal mucosa	148
Fig 5.1d.	Permeation of FU in presence of STGC (Sodium tauroglychocholate) across buccal mucosa	148
Fig 5. 2.	Permeability coefficients (Kp) and Enhancement Factor (EF) for FU across buccal mucosa in presence of optimum concentration of permeation enhancers	149
Fig.5.3a.	Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of FU patch at 50 X magnification.	153
Fig.5.3b.	Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of FU patch at 1000 X magnification.	153
Fig 5.4a.	Swelling index of FU patches (FP1-FP5)	155
Fig 5.4b.	Swelling index of FU patches (FP6-FP11)	155
Fig. 5.5.	The bioadhesive strength between the mucosa and FU patches	157
Fig.5.6a.	*In vitro* release profiles of FU from buccoadhesive patches in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 (FP1-FP5)	158
Fig 5.6b.	*In vitro* release profiles of FU from buccoadhesive patches in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 (FP6-FP11)	158
Fig. 5.7.	*In vitro* diffusion of FU from patches across buccal mucosal membrane.	161

Fig 5.8.	*In vitro* release profiles of FU from buccoadhesive patches containing STGC in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8	161
Fig. 5.9a.	DSC Thermogram of FU	164
Fig. 5.9b.	DSC Thermogram of FU patch dry mix	164
Fig. 5.10a	FTIR spectra of FU	165
Fig.5.10b.	FTIR spectra of FU patch dry mix	165
Fig. 5.10c.	FTIR spectra of FU patch	165
Fig. 5.11.	X-ray diffractograms of test materials (FU, FU patch physical mixture and FU patch)	166
Fig. 5.12	Dissolution profile of preliminary batches of FU tablets	168
Fig. 5.13a	Dissolution profile of FU bioadhesive tablets (FT1-FT3)	169
Fig.5.13b	Dissolution profile of FU bioadhesive tablets (FT4-FT6).	170
Fig. 5.13c.	Dissolution profile of FU bioadhesive tablets (FT7-FT9).	170
Fig 5.14a	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on Bioadhesive force.	174
Fig 5.14b	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	174
Fig 5.15a	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on Rel_{8hrs}.	177
Fig 5.15b	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers	177
Fig 5.16a	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on $t_{50\%}$.	178
Fig 5.16b	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	178
Fig 5.17a	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on diffusion	181

coefficient (n)

Fig 5.17b	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	181
Fig. 5.18.	*In vitro* diffusion of FU from tablets across buccal mucosal membrane.	185
Fig. 5.19.	*In vitro* release profile of FU from buccoadhesive tablets containing STGC in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8).	185
Fig 5.20	DSC thermograms of FU and FU tablet dry mixture.	186
Fig. 5.21.	FTIR spectra of FU (a) and FU tablet dry mixture (b).	187
Fig. 5.22	Scintigraphic Image showing bioadhesive tablet in buccal cavity of rabbit.	188
Fig 5.23.	The bioadhesive strength between the mucosa and FU gels.	190
Fig. 5.24.	Effect of Shear rate on shear stress.	192
Fig.5.25a.	*In vitro* diffusion of FU across cellulose membrane.	194
Fig. 5.25b.	*In vitro* diffusion of FU across a cellulose membrane.	194
Fig.5.25c	*In vitro* diffusion of FU across a cellulose membrane.	194
Fig. 5.26.	Effect of temperature on drug release from the gel formulation	197
Fig. 5.27.	Effect of pH on drug release from the gel formulation.	198
Fig. 5.28	*In vitro* diffusion of FU from gels across buccal mucosal membrane.	199
Fig. 5.29.	*In vitro* diffusion of FU from gel containing STGC across cellulose membrane.	200
Fig.5.30.	IR spectra of test materials	201
Fig.5.31.	X-ray diffractograms of test materials	203
Fig 5.32.	DSC thermograms of test materials	205

Fig.5.33	Scintigraphic Image showing bioadhesive gel in buccal cavity of rabbit	206
Fig.5.34.a	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa (Control)	208
Fig.5.34.b	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa after 8 hour treatment with FU bioadhesive patches	208
Fig 5.34.c	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa after 8 hour treatment with FU bioadhesive tablets	209
Fig.5.34.d	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa after 8 hour treatment with FU bioadhesive gels	209
Fig.5.35.	Plasma profiles of FU in rabbits following i.v. administration of injection and oral administration of conventional tablets	212
Fig.5.36.	Plasma profiles of FU in rabbits following buccal administration of patches, tablets and gels.	212
Fig 7.1a.	Permeation of MTX in presence of enhancers across buccal mucosa.	237
Fig 7.1b.	Permeation of MTX in presence of enhancers across buccal mucosa.	238
Fig.7.2.	Scanning Electron Photomicrograph of MTX patch at 1000 X magnification.	241
Fig 7.3a.	Swelling index of MTX patch formulations (MP1 – MP5)	242
Fig 7.3b.	Swelling index of MTX patch formulations (MP6 – MP9)	242
Fig. 7.4.	Bioadhesive force of MTX patch formulations	243
Fig.7.5a	*In vitro* release profiles of MTX from buccoadhesive patches in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 (MP1 – MP5)	244
Fig.7.5b	*In vitro* release profiles of MTX from buccoadhesive patches in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8 (MP6 – MP9)	245
Fig. 7.6.	*In vitro* diffusion of MTX from patches across buccal mucosal membrane.	247
Fig 7.7.	*In vitro* release profiles of MTX from buccoadhesive patches containing GMS in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8	248

Fig.7.8a	XRD spectra of MTX	250
Fig.7.8b	XRD spectra of MTX patch physical mixture	250
Fig.7.8c	XRD spectra of MTX patch formulation	250
Fig. 7.9a.	FTIR spectra of MTX	251
Fig. 7.9b.	FTIR spectra of MTX patch physical mixture.	251
Fig. 7.9c.	FTIR spectra of MTX patch(Formulation)	252
Fig. 7.10.	Dissolution profile of preliminary batches of MTX tablets	254
Fig. 7.11a.	Dissolution profile of MTX tablets.	258
Fig. 7.11b.	Dissolution profile of MTX tablets.	258
Fig. 7.11c.	Dissolution profile of MTX tablets.	258
Fig7.12a.	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on Bioadhesive force.	260
Fig 7.12b.	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	260
Fig 7.13a.	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on Rel8hrs	263
Fig 7.13b.	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	263
Fig 7.14a.	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on t50%.	265
Fig 7.14b	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	265
Fig 7.15a.	Response surface plot showing the influence of Gantrez and HPMC K15M polymers on diffusion coefficient.	268
Fig 7.15b.	Corresponding contour plot showing the relationship between various levels of both polymers.	268
Fig. 7.16.	*In vitro* diffusion of MTX from tablets across buccal mucosal membrane.	272

Fig 7.17.	*In vitro* release profiles of MTX from buccoadhesive Tablets containing GMS in phosphate buffer of pH 6.8	273
Fig. 7.18	Scintigraphic Image showing bioadhesive tablet in buccal cavity of rabbit	273
Fig. 7.19 a.	FTIR spectra of MTX	275
Fig 7.19 b.	FTIR spectra of MTX tablet mix	275
Fig. 7.20 a	XRD spectra of MTX	276
Fig 7.20 b.	XRD spectra of MTX tablet mix	276
Fig. 7.21	The bioadhesive strength between the mucosa and MTX gels.	278
Fig.7.22.	Effect of Shear rate on shear stress. The insert represents the effect of shear rate on viscosity of gel.	280
Fig.7.23a.	*In vitro* release of MTX from gels across a cellulose membrane.	282
Fig.7.23b.	*In vitro* release of MTX from gels across a cellulose membrane.	282
Fig.7.23c.	*In vitro* release of MTX from gels across a cellulose membrane.	282
Fig.7.24	Release of MTX from gels across buccal membrane.	284
Fig 7.25	*In vitro* diffusion of MTX from buccoadhesive gels containing oleic acid+ propylene glycol and ethanol across diffusion membrane	285
Fig.7.26a.	FTIR spectra of MTX	286
Fig. 7.26b	FTIR spectra of MTX + Poloxamer 407 mixture(1:4)	286
Fig. 7.26c	FTIR spectra of MTX+ Poloxamer 407 Solid Dispersion(1:4)	287
Fig. 7.26d	FTIR spectra of MTX+ Gantrez S 97 Mixture(1:4)	287
Fig. 7.26e	FTIR spectra of MTX + Gantrez S 97Solid Dispersion	288
Fig.7.27a	XRD spectra of MTX	289

Fig. 7.27b	XRD spectra of Poloxamer 407	290
Fig.7.27c	XRD spectra of Gnatez S 97	290
Fig.7.27d	XRD spectra of MTX+ Poloxamer 407 MIX(1:4)	290
Fig.7.27e	XRD spectra of MTX +Poloxamer 407 SD(1:4	291
Fig.7.27f	XRD spectra of MTX +Gantrez S 97 Mixture(1:4)	291
Fig.7.27g	XRD spectra of MTX +Gantrez S 97 Solid dispersions (1:4).	291
Fig.7.28	Scintigraphic Image showing bioadhesive gel in buccal cavity of rabbit	292
Fig.7.29.a	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa Control	294
Fig.7.29.b	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa after 8 hour treatment with MTX bioadhesive patches	294
Fig7.29.c	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa after 8 hour treatment with MTX bioadhesive tablets	295
Fig7.29.d	Photmicrograph(40X) of Buccal mucosa after 8 hour treatment with MTX bioadhesive gels	295
Fig.7.30.	Plasma profiles of MTX in rabbits following i.v. administration of injection and oral administration of conventional tablets.	297
Fig.7.31.	Plasma profiles of MTX in rabbits following buccal administration of patches, tablets and gels.	297