

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Content	Page No.
1.1	Different Degrees / Courses under the Preview of NCTE	6
1.2	Regional Committee wise TEIs	7
1.3	Duration of the Courses	8
1.4	API for University Teachers with its Maximum and Minimum level scores	13
1.5	APT criteria for University Teachers with its Caps in Section-III	14
1.6	UGC PBAS-API (4 th Amendment) Regulation(2016)	15
2.1	Different Studies Reviewed under Review of Related Literature	52
2.2	Researchers and their Demographic Variables taken under Study	54
2.3	Researcher with their Source of Appraisal taken for the Study	54
3.1	Data collected from Colleges for Performance Appraisal System	68
3.2	Sample size from which Data Collected through Tool A	68
4.1	Components and Indicators Approved be Experts' to be included in the Tool A	79
4.2	Demographic Variables considered and Rejected under Tool B	80
4.3	Calculation of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score	82
4.4	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of CTLBPAS as Assessed by Stakeholders Before the Feedback was given	84
4.5	Post Hoc Test for the CTLBPAS between different Assessors Group	86
4.6	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of CTLBPAS as Assessed by Stakeholders After the Feedback was given	87
4.7	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of CTLBPAS as Assessed by Stakeholders Before and After the Feedback was given	90
4.8	Mean, N, Standard Deviation, correlation 'r', paired sample t-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of Performance Appraisal Scores with respect to different Components; Before and After the Feedback was given	95
4.9	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, correlation 'r', paired sample t-value, degrees of freedom (df) and level of significance of CTLBPAS with on all Components	99
4.10	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of CTLBPAS with respect to Subject they teach as Assessed by Stakeholders (Before the Feedback was given)	101

LIST OF TABLES (Continue)

Table No.	Content	Page No.
4.11	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of CTLBPAS with respect to Subject they teach as Assessed by Stakeholders (after the Feedback was given)	102
4.12	Post Hoc Test for the CTLBPAS with respect to Subject they teach	104
4.13	Mean, N, Standard Deviation, correlation 'r', paired sample t-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of CTLBPAS with respect to Subject they teach as Assessed by Stakeholders (before and after the Feedback was given)	107
4.14	Descriptive Statistic analysis of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score	113
4.15	Levene's test for Equality of Variance	115
4.16	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, correlation 'r', independent sampled t-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to Type of Institution	115
4.17	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to Experience Categories	116
4.18	Descriptive Statistic analysis of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score across different Categories of Teaching Experience	117
4.19	Post Hoc test for the Mean Composite Performance Appraisal Score across different Categories of Teaching Experience	118
4.20	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to Stream of Teacher Educator	119
4.21	Levene's Test for Equality of Variance	119
4.22	Independent sampled t-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to Gender	120
4.23	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to different Categories	121
4.24	Mean Composite Performance Appraisal Score on different Categories	122

LIST OF TABLES (Continue)

Table No.	Content	Page No.
4.25	Post Hoc Test for the Mean Composite Performance Appraisal Score between different Categories	122
4.26	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to different Colleges	123
4.27	Descriptive Statistics of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score for different Colleges	124
4.28	Post Hoc Test for the Composite Performance Appraisal Scores between different Colleges	125
4.29	Sum of squares of mean, Mean square, F-value, degrees of freedom (df), and level of significance of the Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to different Subjects they Teach	126
4.30	Correlation coefficient 'r' between Student-teachers' assessment and Teacher Educators' Assessment	127

LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph No.	Content of the Graph	Page No.
4.1	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score across different Assessors before the Feedback was given	85
4.2	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score across different Assessors after the Feedback was given	87
4.3	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score across different Assessors Before and After the Feedback was given	91
4.4	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score of Teacher Educators for different Components	96
4.5	Overall Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score before and after the feedback	99
4.6	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score across Subjects before the Feedback	101
4.7	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score across subjects after the Feedback	103
4.8	Mean Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score across subjects before and after the Feedback	108
4.9	Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to Type of Institution	115
4.10	Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to different Categories of Experience	118
4.11	Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to Gender	120
4.12	Composite Performance Appraisal Score with respect to different categories	124
4.13	Composite Performance Appraisal Score between different Colleges	125

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Content of the Figure	Page No.
3.1	Modus Operandi of the Data Collection	70
4.1	Performance Appraisal System	75
4.2	Steps involved in the Development of Performance Appraisal System	76

ABBREVIATIONS USED

ABBREVIATION MEANING

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
APIs	Academic Performance Indicators
B.A.	Bachelor of Arts
B.Ed.	Bachelor of Education
B.El.Ed.	Bachelor of Elementary Education
B.P.Ed.	Diploma in Physical Education
B.Sc.	Bachelor of Science
BITE	Basic Institution of Teacher Education
CAS	Career Advancement Scheme
CPAS	Composite Performance Appraisal Score
CTE	College of Teacher Education
CTLBPAS	Classroom Teaching-Learning Based Performance Appraisal Score
D.El.Ed.	Diploma in Elementary Education
df	Degrees of freedom
DIET	Districts Institutes of Education and Training
DPSE	Diploma in Pre School Education
DRC	District Resource Centre
ECO-ACC-BOM	Economics, Accountancy and Business Organisation & Management
ERC	Eastern Region Committee
IASE	Institution of Advanced Study in Education
ICSSR	Indian Council of Social Science Research
ISBN	International Standard Book Number
ISSN	International Standard Serial Number
JVC	Justice Verma Commission
LCD	Least Significant Difference
M.Ed.	Master of Education
M.P.Ed.	Master of Physical Education
MHRD	Ministry of Human Resource Development
NAAC	National Assessment and Accreditation Council
NABET	National Accreditation Board for Education and Training
NCF	National Curriculum Framework
NCFTE	National Curriculum Framework for Teacher Education
NCTE	National Council for Teacher Education
NKC	National Knowledge Commission
NPE	National Policy on Education
NRC	Northern Region Committee

ABBREVIATIONS USED (Continue)

ABBREVIATION	MEANING
--------------	---------

NUEPA	National University of Educational Planning and Administration
PAS	Performance Appraisal System
PBAS	Performance Based Appraisal System
POA	Plan of Action, 1992
RUSA	Rashtriya Uccharat Shiksha Abhiyaan
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SRC	Southern Region Committee
UGC	University Grants Commission
WRC	Western Region Committee