

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.		PAGE
2.1	Composition of the Sample (Schoolwise)	67
2.2	Comparison of Sample of Indian students (Baroda) and Thai students (Bangkok)	68
2.3	Sample composition with respect to Socio-Economic Status of Indian students and Thai students (N = 600)	69
2.4	Frequency Distribution of Teachers according to their Age, Sex and Countries	70
2.5	Frequency Distribution of Teaching Situations (N = 72) observed in Baroda City (India) and Bangkok City (Thailand)	71
2.6	Tools used for the Different Variables employed in this study	105
2.7	Objectives, the Samples taken and the tools employed to fulfil the objectives	107
3.1	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Seeing Problems (SP)	111
3.2	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Unusual Uses Fluency (UF)	113
3.3	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Unusual Uses Flexibility (UX)	115
3.4	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Unusual Uses Originality (UO)	117
3.5	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Unusual Uses Creativity (UC)	119

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
3.6	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Consequences Fluency (CF)	121
3.7	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Consequences Originality (CO)	123
3.8	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Consequences Creativity (CC)	125
3.9	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Creativity Total (CY)	127
3.10	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Figural Fluency (FF)	129
3.11	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Figural Flexibility (FX)	131
3.12	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Figural Originality (FO)	133
3.13	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Figural Elaboration (FE)	135
3.14	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Figural Creativity (FC)	137
3.15	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Verbal Fluency (VF)	139
3.16	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Verbal Flexibility (VX)	141
3.17	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Verbal Originality (VO)	143

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
3.18	Percentage Frequency Distributions of 300 Indian students and 300 Thai students for Verbal Creativity (VC)	145
3.19	Frequency Distributions of Socio-Economic Status scores for 300 Indian students (Baroda) and 300 Thai students (Bangkok)	147
3.20	Frequency Distributions of School Climate Scores for 100 Indian teachers and 100 Thai teachers	148
3.21	Frequency Distributions of Teacher Talk (TT) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N=72)	149
3.22	Frequency Distributions of Pupil Talk (PT) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N=72)	150
3.23	Frequency Distributions of indirect/direct ratio in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers	151
3.24	Frequency Distributions of Indirect/Direct Ratio in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	152
3.25	Frequency Distributions of Teacher Response Ratio (TRR) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	153
3.26	Frequency Distributions of Teacher Question Ratio (TQR) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	154
3.27	Frequency Distributions of Instantaneous Teacher Response Ratio (TRR 89) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	155

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
3.28	Frequency Distributions of Instantaneous Teacher Question Ratio (TQR 89) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	156
3.29	Frequency Distributions of Pupil Initiation Ratio (PIR) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	157
3.30	Frequency Distributions of Content Cross Ratio (CCR) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	158
3.31	Frequency Distributions of Steady State Ratio (SSR) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	159
3.32	Frequency Distributions of Pupil Steady State Ratio (PSSR) in 36 Teaching Situations of Indian Teachers and Thai Teachers (N = 72)	160
3.33	Mean, Standard Deviation, Standard Error of Mean, and Standard Error of Standard Deviation of All Variables of Indian and Thai students (N = 300)	172
4.1A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Seeing Problems (SP)	175
4.1B	Results of Anova (2x2x2) for Seeing Problems (SP)	176
4.2A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Fluency (UF)	178
4.2B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Fluency (UF)	178

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
4.3A	Distribution of Scores for 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Flexibility (UX)	180
4.3B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Flexibility (UX)	180
4.4A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Originality (UO)	181
4.4B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Originality (UO)	182
4.5A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Creativity (UC)	184
4.5B	Summary results Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Creativity (UC)	184
4.6A	Distribution of Scores for 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Consequences Fluency (CF)	185
4.6B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Consequences Fluency (CF)	186
4.7A	Distribution of Scores for the (2x2x2) Trivariate Paradigm of Consequences Originality (CO)	187
4.7B	Summary results of Analysis of Variance (2x2x2) for Consequences Originality (CO)	188
4.8A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Consequences Creativity (CC)	189
4.8B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Consequences Creativity (CC)	190
4.9A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Creativity Total (CY)	191

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
4. 9B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Creativity Total (CY)	191
4.10A	Distribution of Scores for 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Fluency (FF)	192
4.10B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Fluency (FF)	193
4.11A	Distribution of Scores for (2x2x2) Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Flexibility (FX)	194
4.11B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Flexibility (FX)	194
4.12A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm for Figural Originality (FO)	195
4.12B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Originality (FO)	196
4.13A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Elaboration (FE)	197
4.13B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Elaboration (FE)	197
4.14A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Creativity (FC)	198
4.14B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Creativity (FC)	199
4.15A	Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Fluency (VF)	200
4.15B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Fluency (VF)	200

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
4.16A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Flexibility (VX)	201
4.16B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Flexibility (VX)	202
4.17A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Originality (VO)	203
4.17B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Originality (VO)	203
4.18A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Creativity (VC)	204
4.18B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Creativity (VC)	205
4. 1C	Summary of results of Anova (2x2x2) for all dimensions of Creative Thinking having Country, Socio- Economic Status and School Climate As Independent Variables	206
4.19A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Seeing Problems (SP)	208
4.19B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Seeing Problems (SP)	209
4.20A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Fluency (UF)	210
4.20B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Fluency (UF)	210
4.21A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Flexibility (UX)	211
4.21B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Flexibility (UX)	212

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
4.22A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Originality (UO)	213
4.22B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Originality (UO)	213
4.23A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Unusual Uses Creativity (UC)	214
4.23B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Unusual Uses Creativity (UC)	215
4.24A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Consequences Fluency (CF)	216
4.24B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Consequences Fluency (CF)	216
4.25A	The Distribution Scores for 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Consequences Originality (CO)	217
4.25B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Consequences Originality (CO)	218
4.26A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Consequences Creativity (CC)	219
4.26B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Consequences Creativity (CC)	219
4.27A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Creativity Total (CY)	220
4.27B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Creativity Total (CY)	221
4.28A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Fluency (FF)	222

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
4.28B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Fluency (FF)	222
4.29A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Flexibility (FX)	223
4.29B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Flexibility (FX)	224
4.30A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Originality (FO)	225
4.30B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Originality (FO)	225
4.31A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Elaboration (FE)	226
4.31B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Elaboration (FE)	227
4.32A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Figural Creativity (FC)	228
4.32B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Figural Creativity (FC)	228
4.33A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Fluency (VF)	229
4.33B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Fluency (VF)	230
4.34A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Flexibility (VX)	231
4.34B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Flexibility (VX)	231

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
4.35A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Originality (VO)	232
4.35B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Originality (VO)	233
4.36A	The Distribution of Scores for the 2x2x2 Trivariate Paradigm of Verbal Creativity (VC)	234
4.36B	Summary results of Anova (2x2x2) for Verbal Creativity (VC)	234
4. 2C	Summary of results of Anova (2x2x2) for All Dimensions of Creative Thinking By Having Country, Socio-Economic Status And Classroom Behaviour As Independent Variables	236
5. 1	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Indian students (Baroda) and 300 Thai students (Bangkok)	241
5. 2	Revised Grouping Scheme	250
5. 3	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for Different Groups of Socio-Economic Status (N = 600)	250
5. 4	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Indian students with regard to their Socio-Economic Status (N = 300)	255
5. 5	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Thai students with regard to Socio-Economic Status	260

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
5. 6	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for Different Groups of School Climate (N = 600)	268
5. 7	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Indian students with regard to their School Climate (N = 300)	271
5. 8	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Thai students with regard to School Climate	274
5. 9	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for Different Groups of Classroom Behaviour (N = 600)	281
5.10	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Indian students with regard to their Classroom Behaviour	286
5.11	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Thai students with regard to Classroom Behaviour	291
5.12	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for Boys and Girls (N = 600)	300
5.13	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Indian students with regard to Sex	303

(List of Tables continued)

TABLE NO.		PAGE
5.14	Significance of Difference between Mean Scores of Criterion Variable of Creative Thinking for 300 Thai students with regard to sex	305
6. 1	Comparative Correlation Matrix (19x19) (Lower and Higher without Diagonal) of Indian ($N_1 = 300$) and Thai ($N_2 = 300$) students	313
6. 2	Original Principal Component Factor Matrix for Indian ($N_1 = 300$) and Thai ($N_2 = 300$) samples	314
6. 3	Varimax Rotation of Original Factor Matrix for Indian ($N_1 = 300$) and Thai ($N_2 = 300$) samples	315
6. 4	Varimax Factor I of Indian Sample ($N = 300$)	324
6. 5	Varimax Factor II of Indian Sample ($N = 300$)	326
6. 6	Varimax Factor III of Indian Sample ($N = 300$)	327
6. 7	Varimax Factor IV of Indian Sample ($N = 300$)	328
6. 8	Varimax Factor I of Thai Sample ($N=300$)	330
6. 9	Varimax Factor II of Thai Sample ($N=300$)	331
6.10	Varimax Factor III of Thai Sample ($N=300$)	332
6.11	Varimax Factor IV of Thai Sample ($N=300$)	333
6.12	Varimax Factor V of Thai Sample ($N=300$)	334
6.13	Varimax Factor VI of Thai Sample ($N=300$)	335
7. 1	Comparison of Sample of Indian students (Baroda) and Thai students (Bangkok)	349