

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1.1	some common Dosage forms	17
2.1	List of plants traditionally reported for immunomodulatory activity	27
2.2	Synonyms of <i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i>	32
2.3	Synonyms of <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i>	51
2.4	Synonyms of <i>Zizyphus jujuba</i>	67
4.1	Detection conditions of plant constituents using TLC	98
4.2	Ash values of plant materials	107
4.3	Extractive values of plant materials	108
4.4	% Loss on drying of plant materials	108
4.5	Analysis of pesticide residues in plant materials	109
4.6	Heavy metals analysis of plant materials	110
4.7	Results of the tests performed for the microbial contamination in the plant materials	110
4.8	Fluorescence analysis of <i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> powder under day light and ultra violet (UV) radiation	111
4.9	Fluorescence analysis of <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> leaf powder under day light and ultra violet (UV) radiation	111
4.10	Preliminary phytoprofile of <i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> root	112
4.11	Preliminary phytoprofile of <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> leaves	113
4.12	TLC Screening of various crude drug extract of <i>Glycyrrhiza glabra</i> roots	113
4.13	TLC Screening of various crude drug extract of <i>Nelumbo nucifera</i> leaves	114
4.14	Absorbance data of total phenolic content	116
4.15	Determination of total phenolic content of extracts	116
4.16 (a)	Absorbance data of total flavonoid content by aluminum chloride colorimetric method	118
4.16 (b)	Absorbance data of total flavonoid content by 2, 4-dinitrophenylhydrazine colorimetric method	118

4.17	Total flavonoid and phenolic content of plant extracts	118
4.18	DPPH free radical scavenging activity	119
4.19	Absorbance data of ascorbic acid	120
4.20	Concentration of samples equivalent to ascorbic acid by Phosphomolybdenum complex method	121
5.1	Effect of mobile phase pH, composition, and flow rate on various chromatographic parameters	143
5.2	Peak Area of Glycyrrhizin and Betulinic acid	144
5.3	Linear Regression data for the calibration curves	146
5.4	Repeatability of the developed method	146
5.5	Intra-day and inter-day precision of HPLC method	147
5.6	Robustness of the method	148
5.7	System Suitability Parameters	149
5.8	Recovery Test	149
5.9	Linear regression data for the calibration curves	153
5.10	Repeatability of the developed method	154
5.11	Intra-day and Inter-day precision of the developed method	155
5.12	Robustness of the method	156
5.13	System Suitability Parameters	157
5.14	Recovery Test	157
5.15	Linearity data of quercetin analysis by HPTLC	159
5.16	Regression analysis for calibration plots	160
5.17	Intra-day and inter-day precision of HPTLC method	160
5.18	Robustness of the method	161
5.19	Recovery studies	161
5.20 (a)	Linearity data of for simultaneous estimation of glycyrrhizin, quercetin, rosmarinic acid and betulinic acid by HPTLC	165
5.20 (b)	Analysis of formulation of developed method	165
5.21	Regression analysis for calibration plots	166
5.22	Intra-day and inter-day precision of HPTLC method	166
5.23	Robustness of the method	167
5.24	Recovery studies	167
5.25	Instrument Parameters	169

5.26	Data of calibration curve of Quercetin in methanol	170
5.27	Summary of validation parameters	171
5.28	Percent yield of quercetin (%w/w) in <i>N. nucifera</i> leaves and in developed tablet formulation	172
5.29	Instrument Parameters	172
5.30	Data of calibration curve of Glycyrrhizin in methanol	173
5.31	Summary of validation parameters	175
5.32	Percent yield of glycyrrhizin (%w/w) in <i>G. Glabra</i> root extract and in developed tablet formulation	175
6.1	Formulation variables and their levels for 2x3 full factorial design	183
6.2	Formulation variables and their levels for 2x3 full factorial design	184
6.3	Flow property and Angle of repose	185
6.4	Matrix of experiments for 2x4 full factorial design and results for the measured response	199
6.5	Regression analysis results	199
6.6	ANOVA results showing the effect of independent variables on the measured responses	200
6.7	Comparison of responses between predicted and experimental values for the cross validation set	200
6.8	Matrix of the experiments for 2x3 full factorial design and results for the measured response	203
6.9	Regression analysis results	203
6.10	ANOVA results showing the effect of independent variables on the measured responses	203
6.11	Comparison of responses between predicted and experimental values for the cross validation set	204
6.12	Plant dose of different formulations	206
6.13	Results of Official tests	207
6.14	Ash values of the tablet formulation	207
6.15	Extractive values of formulation	208
6.16	% Loss on drying of formulation	208

6.17	Analysis for Pesticide residues in formulations	209
6.18	Heavy metal analysis of formulation	210
6.19	Results of the tests performed for the microbial contamination in the formulations	210
6.20	Qualitative chemical tests of Methanol extract of formulation	211
6.21	TLC studies of Methanol extract of formulation	212
6.22	Accelerated stability studies of developed polyherbal formulation	212
6.23	Effect of polyherbal formulations on leucocyte (WBC), RBC and Hb count in cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression	214
6.24	Effect of polyherbal formulations on HA titres, phagocytic index and DTH response	217
6.25	Effect of Formulation A on percentage cell viability	218
6.26	Effect of Formulation B on percentage cell viability	219
6.27	Effect of Formulation B on percentage cell viability	219
6.28	Effect of different treatment groups on NBT positive neutrophils (% and range)	222
6.29	Effect of different treatment groups on percent <i>Candida albicans</i> cells killed in 60 mins by stimulated normal neutrophils	223
6.30	Results of the Standardization of the three developed formulations	228
