List of Figures

3.1 The probability distribution of closest neighbor spacings $P_{CN}(s)$ and farther neighbor spacings $P_{FN}(s)$ for a 500 member (a) EGOE(1+2) ensemble and (b) BEGOE(1+2) ensemble. 30

3.2 The probability distribution of closest neighbor spacings $P_{CN}(s)$ and farther neighbor spacings $P_{FN}(s)$ for (a) EGOE(1+2)-$\mathbf{s}$ ensemble for spin values $S = 0$ and 1 (b) BEGOE(1+2)-$S1$ ensemble for spin value $S = 4$ and (c) BEGOE(1+2)-F ensemble for spin values $F = 0, 2$ and 5. 31

3.3 The probability distribution of closest neighbor spacings $P_{CN}(s)$ and farther neighbor spacings $P_{FN}(s)$ vs. s for Nuclear shell model example: ^{24}Mg with 8 nucleons in the ($2s1d$) shell with angular momentum $J = 2$ and isospin $T = 0$. 32

3.4 Ensemble averaged values of $\langle s_{CN} \rangle$ and $\langle s_{FN} \rangle$ as a function of the two-body strength of interaction λ, obtained for EGOE(1+2) and BEGOE(1+2) ensembles. 33

3.5 Probability distribution of the k-th order spacing ratios $P^k(r)$ vs. r for a 500 member (a) EGOE(1+2) ensemble and (b) BEGOE(1+2) ensemble with $k = 2$, 3, and 4. 37

3.6 Same as Fig. 3.5 but results are for a 500 member (a) EGOE(1+2)-$\mathbf{s}$ (b) BEGOE(1+2)-F and (c) BEGOE(1+2)-$S1$. 41

3.7 Probability distribution $P^k(r)$ of the k-th order spacing ratios for the lowest 20 energy levels using EGOE(1+2) and BEGOE(1+2) ensembles. 42

3.8 Probability distribution of non-overlapping k-th order spacing ratios of $\mathbf{m}$ independent superposed spin blocks $P^k(r, \mathbf{m})$ for a 500 member EGOE(1+2)-$\mathbf{s}$ ensemble. 43

3.9 Same as Fig. 3.8 but results are for $\mathbf{m}$ superposed spectra of a 500 member BEGOE(1+2)- F ensemble. 44

3.10 Same as Fig. 3.8 but results are for $\mathbf{m}$ superposed spectra of a 500 member BEGOE(1+2)- $S1$ ensemble. 45

3.11 Variation in $\chi^2(\alpha)$ vs. α for EGOE(1+2)- $\mathbf{s}$ and BEGOE(1+2)- F ensembles for different $\mathbf{m}$ values. 45

3.12 Variation in $\chi^2(\alpha)$ vs. α for EGOE(1+2)- $\mathbf{s}$, BEGOE(1+2)- F and BEGOE(1+2)- $S1$ ensembles for different $\mathbf{m}$ values (left panel). Right panel represents variation in $\chi^2(\alpha)$ vs. α for superposed $\mathbf{m}$ GOE spectra of exactly same dimensions corresponding to results in the left panel for EGOE(1+2)- $\mathbf{s}$, BEGOE(1+2)- F and BEGOE(1+2)- $S1$ respectively. . . . 46

4.1 Variation of $q_{h(1)}$ as a function of m for various values of m/N for fermion system. Here, sp energies $\epsilon_i = i$ are used. 56

4.2 Variation of $q_{h(1)}$ as a function of m for various values of m/N for fermion system. Here, sp energies $\epsilon_i = i + 1/i$ are used. 57

4.3 $q_{h(1)}$ vs. N for various values of m/N for boson system. 58

4.4 Variation of ensemble averaged q as a function of λ for a 100 member EGOE(1+ k) ensemble using $m = 6$ and $N = 12$ example for $k = 2$ to $k = m = 6$. 61

4.5 Variation of ensemble averaged q as a function of λ for a 20 member EGOE(1+ k) ensemble using $m = 7$ and $N = 14$ example for $k = 2, 3, 4$ and $k = m = 7$. 62

4.6 Ensemble averaged q vs. λ for a 100 member BEGOE(1+ k) ensemble with $m = 10$ bosons in $N = 5$ sp states for different k values. 63

4.7 Spectral density vs. normalized energy E of the spectra of a 100 member EGOE($1 + k$) ensemble with $m = 6$ and $N = 12$ for various k for different values of interaction strength 65

4.8 Spectral density vs. normalized energy E of the spectra of a 20 member EGOE($1 + k$) ensemble with $m = 7$ and $N = 14$ for various k values for different values of interaction strength. 66

4.9 Ensemble averaged spectral density $\rho(E)$ vs. normalized energy E results for a 100 member BEGOE($1+k$) ensemble with $m = 10$ bosons in $N = 5$ sp states for different k and λ values. 67

5.1 Ensemble averaged strength function as a function of normalized energy E for a 500 member EGOE(1+ k) ensemble with $m = 6$ and $N = 12$ for body rank k = 2, 3, 4 and $k = m = 6$ and $\lambda = 0.5$. Results are shown for $\xi = 0, \pm 0.5, \pm 1.0, \pm 1.5$ and ± 2.0 74

5.2 Same as Fig. 5.1 but for a 20 member EGOE(1+ k) ensemble with $m = 7$ fermions occupying $N = 14$ sp states for body rank k =2, 3, 4 and $k = m = 7$ 75

5.3 Strength function vs. normalized energy E for a system of $m = 10$ bosons in $N = 5$ sp states with $\lambda = 0.5$ for different k values in 250 member BEGOE(1+ k) ensemble. Here $\xi = 0$ 76

5.4 Same as Fig. 5.3 but for $\xi = -1.0$ and 1.0 77

5.5 Same as Fig. 5.3 but for $\xi = -2.0$ and 2.0 78

5.6 Ensemble averaged ζ^2 as a function of interaction strength λ for a 100 member EGOE(1+ k) ensemble with $m = 6$ and $N = 12$ for k = 2,3,4 and $k = m = 6$ 80

5.7 Same as Fig. 5.6 but for a 20 member EGOE(1+ k) ensemble with $m = 7$ and $N = 14$. Results are shown for body ranks k = 2,3,4 and $k = m = 7$. 81

5.8 Ensemble averaged ζ^2 vs. λ for BEGOE(1+ k) ensemble with $N = 5, m = 10$ example for different k values. 83

5.9 Marker λ_t vs. m for fermion system. Results are shown for k =2,3 and 4 and for various values of m/N 85

5.10 Variation of marker λ_t as a function of N for dense boson systems with BEGOE(1+ k). Results are shown for $k = 2, 3$ and 4 , for various values of m/N 86

5.11 Variation of the moments of strength functions i.e. centroid, γ_1 and γ_2 as a function of λ for various values of ξ for a system of m =6 fermions in N =12 sp states for $k = 2$ 88

5.12 Same as Fig. 5.11 but for k = 3. 89

5.13 Ensemble averaged (a) Centroid, (b) γ_1 and (c) γ_2 as a function of body rank k for the strength function results for bosons. Results are shown for various values of ξ 90

6.1 Ensemble averaged NPC as a function of normalized energy E for a 100 member EGOE(1+k) with $m = 6$ and $N = 12$ for body rank $k = 2$ for various values of λ 94

6.2 Same as Fig. 6.1 but for body rank $k = 3$ 94

6.3 Same as Fig. 6.1 but for body rank $k = 4$ 95

6.4 Same as Fig. 6.1 but for body rank $k = 5$ 95

6.5 Same as Fig. 6.1 but for body rank $k = m = 6$ 96

6.6 Ensemble averaged NPC vs. normalized energy E for a 100 member BEGOE(1+k) with $m = 10$ and $N = 5$ for body rank $k = 2$ for various values of λ 96

6.7 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 3$ 97

6.8 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 4$ 97

6.9 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 5$ 98

6.10 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 6$ 98

6.11 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 7$ 99

6.12 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 8$ 99

6.13 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = 9$ 100

6.14 Same as Fig. 6.6 but for body rank $k = m = 10$ 100

6.15 Ensemble averaged localization lengths l_H vs. normalized energy E for a 100 member EGOE(1+k) with $m = 6$ and $N = 12$ sp states. Here body rank k = 2,3,4 and $k = m = 6$ and $\lambda = 0.8$ 101

6.16 Ensemble averaged localization lengths l_H as a function of normalized energy E for a 100 member BEGOE(1+k) with $m = 10$ and $N = 5$. Here, results are shown for various values of body rank k and for $\lambda = 1.0$ 102

6.17 Fidelity decay $W_0(t)$ as a function of time for a 100 member BEGOE(1+k) ensemble with $m = 10$ bosons in $N = 5$ sp states. 104

7.1 Illustration of structure of H matrix for csBEGOE(k) and BEGOE(k-cs) with m = 9 and N 109

7.2 Normalized distributions of the best efficiencies P for a 2000 member EGOE(k), csEGOE(k) and EGOE(k-cs) with $N = 6$ and m is varied from 1 to 5. 112

7.3 Mean of the probability distributions of the best efficiencies $\langle P \rangle$ for a 2000 member EGOE(k), csEGOE(k) and EGOE(k-cs) as a function of body rank of interaction k. Here $N = 6$ sp states and m is varied from 1 to 5. . 113

7.4 Same as Fig. 7.2 but for network with $N = 7$ and m is varied from 1 to 6. 114

7.5 Same as Fig. 7.3 but for network with $N = 7$ and m is varied from 1 to 6. 115

7.6 Same as Fig. 7.3 but for network with $N = 8$ and m is varied from 1 to 7. 116

7.7 Normalized distributions of the best efficiencies P for a 2000 member BEGOE(k) and csBEGOE(k) with $N = 2$ and $m = 9$ as a function of interaction rank k. Similar results are reported in [76]. 117

7.8 Mean of the probability distributions of the best efficiencies $\langle P \rangle$ for a 2000 member BEGOE(k) and csBEGOE(k) with $N = 2$ sp states and $m = 9$ as a function of body rank of interaction k. Similar results are reported in [76]. 118

7.9 Normalized distributions of the best efficiencies P for a 2000 member BEGOE(k), BEGOE(k-cs) and csBEGOE(k) with $N = 3$ and $m = 6$ as a function of m and interaction rank k. 119

7.10 Same as Fig. 7.8 but for a 2000 member BEGOE(k), csBEGOE(k) and BEGOE(k-cs) as a function of body rank of interaction k. Here $N = 3$ sp states and m is varied from 1 to 6. 120