

# List of Figures

Figure 1: Wheat cultivation in the fields of Waghodia, Vadodara .....	3
Figure 2: External morphology of <i>Tribolium casteneum</i> ; Donitz et al., 2013..	5
Figure 3: Artemisinin in the glandular trichomes of <i>A. annua</i> ; Ikram et al., 2017.....	10
Figure 4: Artemisinin and Semi-synthetic Artemisinin; Gilmore et al., 2014.	10
Figure 5: Major activities and uses of essential oils; Pandey et al., 2017.....	12
Figure 6: Proposed mechanism of EOs-induced toxicity to insects; Mossa, 2016.....	14
Figure 7: Anatomy of Wheat Grain .....	16
Figure 8: Rearing of red flour beetle in defined media.....	30
Figure 9: Essential oil extracted with Clevenger type apparatus.....	32
Figure 10: Filter paper arena test with different concentrations of EOs.....	34
Figure 11: Multi arm olfactometer for behavioural bioassay in response to EOs .....	35
Figure 12: <i>T. casteneum</i> exposed to EOs for the evaluation of Fumigant toxicity .....	37
Figure 13: Different stages of <i>Tribolium casteneum</i> captured using the computer assisted 13.1 mega pixel catcam stereomicroscope with fine details .....	59
Figure 14: Sexually dimorphic characters of <i>Tribolium casteneum</i> .....	60
Figure 15: Gas chromatogram of the EOs of <i>Artemisia annua</i> extracted with methanol.....	64
Figure 16: Gas chromatogram of the essential oils of <i>Artemisia annua</i> extracted with chloroform.....	67
Figure 17: Gas chromatogram of the essential oils of <i>Artemisia annua</i> extracted with petroleum ether.....	70
Figure 18: Gas chromatogram of the essential oils of <i>Artemisia annua</i> extracted with n-hexane .....	73
Figure 19: Dose- response relationship between the methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. casteneum</i> (adult) in contact toxicity assays.....	88

Figure 20: Dose- response relationship between the methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in contact toxicity assays. ....	89
Figure 21: Dose- response relationship between the chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in contact toxicity assays. ....	90
Figure 22: Dose- response relationship between the chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in contact toxicity assays. ....	90
Figure 23: Dose- response relationship between the petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in contact toxicity assays. .	91
Figure 24: Dose- response relationship between the petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in contact toxicity assays. ....	92
Figure 25: Dose- response relationship between the n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in contact toxicity assays. ....	93
Figure 26: Dose- response relationship between the n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in contact toxicity assays. ....	93
Figure 27: Dose- response relationship between the methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	94
Figure 28: Dose- response relationship between the methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	95
Figure 29: Dose- response relationship between the chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	96
Figure 30: Dose- response relationship between the chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	96
Figure 31: Dose- response relationship between the petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	97
Figure 32: Dose- response relationship between the petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larave) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	98
Figure 33: Dose- response relationship between the n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (adult) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	99
Figure 34: Dose- response relationship between the n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> against the <i>T. castaneum</i> (larvae) in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	99

Figure 35: Difference in the weight by volume ratio of the undamaged and damaged grains infested with <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> .....	117
Figure 36: Undamaged grain 25x magnification .....	118
Figure 37: Damaged grain 25x magnification .....	118
Figure 38: Undamaged grain 50x magnification .....	119
Figure 39: Damaged grain 50x magnification .....	119
Figure 40: Undamaged grain 250x magnification .....	120
Figure 41: Damaged grain 250x magnification .....	120
Figure 42: Undamaged grain 1,000x magnification .....	121
Figure 43: Damaged grain 1,000x magnification .....	121
Figure 44: Undamaged grain 5,000x magnification .....	122
Figure 45: Damaged grain 5,000x magnification .....	122
Figure 46: Undamaged grain 10,000x magnification .....	123
Figure 47: Damaged grain 10,000x magnification .....	123
Figure 48: SEM/EDS data showing complex elemental mixture in the undamaged wheat grains. a: surface view, b: relative content of different nutrient, c: % composition of elements.....	126
Figure 49: SEM/EDS data showing complex elemental mixture in the damaged wheat grains.....	127
Figure 50: Undamaged wheat flour .....	134
Figure 51: <i>T. castaneum</i> infested wheat flour.....	134
Figure 52: A- undamaged grains are heavy and immersed in water; B- damaged grains are thoroughly punctured and floated in water .....	135
Figure 53: Accumulation of <i>T. castaneum</i> in the centre of the multi-arm olfactometer in response to the treatment .....	138
Figure 54: Gathering of <i>T. castaneum</i> in the control half during filter paper arena test .....	138
Figure 55: Free movement of the red flour beetle in the control set of filter paper arena test. ....	139
Figure 56: <i>T. castaneum</i> gathering in the underside of the control half of the filter paper.....	139
Figure 57: Heavily damaged wheat grains resulted from the three months of <i>T. castaneum</i> infestation.....	140

Figure 58: Minimal damage in the wheat grains when supplemented with the leaves of <i>A. annua</i> after three months of <i>T. castaneum</i> infestation .....	140
Figure 59: Complete damage of the healthy wheat grain. ....	141
Figure 60: Heavy infestation of the wheat grain.....	141
Figure 61: Unique trail made by <i>T. castaneum</i> in the wheat flour.....	142
Figure 62: Flour covered completely by the exuvia and insect fragments ....	142
Figure 63: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in contact toxicity assays. ....	147
Figure 64: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in contact toxicity assays. ....	147
Figure 65: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in contact toxicity assays. ....	148
Figure 66: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in contact toxicity assays. ....	148
Figure 67: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	150
Figure 68: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	151
Figure 69: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	151
Figure 70: Comparison of percent mortality between the adults and larvae of <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> in fumigant toxicity assays. ....	152
Figure 71: Protein activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	154
Figure 72: Protein activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	155

Figure 73: Protein activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	155
Figure 74: Protein activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	156
Figure 75: AChE activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	156
Figure 76: AChE activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	157
Figure 77: AChE activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	157
Figure 78: AChE activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	157
Figure 79: GST activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	158
Figure 80: GST activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	158
Figure 81: GST activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	159
Figure 82: GST activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	159
Figure 83: GSH activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	160
Figure 84: GSH activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	160
Figure 85: GSH activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	161
Figure 86: GSH activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	161
Figure 87: LPO activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to methanol derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	162
Figure 88: LPO activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to chloroform derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	162
Figure 89: LPO activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to petroleum ether derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	163

Figure 90: LPO activity (Mean±SE) in <i>T. castaneum</i> exposed to n-hexane derived EOs of <i>A. annua</i> at lethal doses. ....	163
Figure 91: Chemical structures of the major components of EOs of <i>Artemisia annua</i> grown in Indian climatic conditions .....	166
Figure 92: Different stages of <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> captured using the computer assisted 13.1 mega pixel catcam stereomicroscope with fine details. ....	180