

## List of Figures

FIGURE	Pg. No.
Figure 1.1: Tertiary structure of ubiquitin, showing $\alpha$ helices (green) and $\beta$ sheets (blue).	12
Figure 1.2: Comparison of amino acid sequence of ubiquitin between yeast, plants and humans. Residues that vary are shown in red.	13
Figure 1.3: Surface representation of ubiquitin.	14
Figure 1.4: Structure of the C terminal G1 $\beta$ bulge of ubiquitin. The portion within the circle shows the bulging out of one of the strands of the beta sheet due to the presence of the extra amino acid residue. The amino acid substitutions carried out at positions 2, 64 and 65 are shown.	16
Figure 1.5: The isopeptide bond formed between the $\epsilon$ amino group of a lysine on the substrate protein and the carboxyl group of the C terminal glycine of ubiquitin.	18
Figure 1.6: Location of the different lysine residues on ubiquitin.	19
Figure 1.7: Types of ubiquitination and their functions.	19
Figure 1.8: Topology of the K48 linked polyubiquitin chain.	20
Figure 1.9: Topology of K63 linked polyubiquitin chain.	21
Figure 1.10: The four genes that express ubiquitin in <i>S. cerevisiae</i> , showing introns (red), ubiquitin sequence (green), large ribosomal subunit sequence (light blue), small ribosomal subunit sequence (dark blue), extra C terminal/ N terminal amino acids (black).	22
Figure 1.11: Enzymes involved in the process of ubiquitination.	24
Figure 1.12: Tertiary and quaternary structure of the proteasome. The 20S subunit is shown in blue and the 19S subunit is shown in red.	25
Figure 1.13: The four rings of the proteasome, that make up the inner, catalytic chamber and the two outer chambers. The two beta rings are shown in blue and the two $\alpha$ rings are shown in green.	26
Figure 2.1: Yep96/UbWt plasmid carrying the gene for wild type ubiquitin (UbWt). It is of 6179 base pairs. The restriction sites present in the DNA sequence are indicated in the figure.	43

Figure 2.2: Plasmid pUb23 map showing the position of genes, promoters and restriction sites.	43
Figure. 2.3: Drop test for testing sensitivity to canavanine of SUB60 transformed with YEp96/UbWt, YEp96/UbS20F-A46S, YEp96/UbS20F-L50P, YEp96/UbS20F-I61T, YEp96/UbA46S-L50P, YEp96/UbA46S-I61T and YEp96/UbL50P-I61T in SD medium in (A) without inducer and without canavanine, (B) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> without canavanine and (C) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> and in presence of 2 $\mu$ g/ml canavanine.	48
Figure. 2.4: Drop test for testing sensitivity to G-418 of SUB60 transformed with YEp96/UbWt, Yep96/UbS20F-A46S, YEp96/UbS20F-L50P, YEp96/UbS20F-I61T, YEp96/UbA46S-L50P, YEp96/UbA46S-I61T and YEp96/UbL50P-I61T in SD medium in (A) without inducer and without G-418, (B) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> without G-418 and (C) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> and in presence of 50 $\mu$ g/ml G-418.	48
Figure. 2.5: Drop test for testing sensitivity to hygromycin B of SUB60 transformed with YEp96/UbWt, YEp96/UbS20F-A46S, YEp96/UbS20F-L50P, YEp96/UbS20F-I61T, YEp96/UbA46S-L50P, YEp96/UbA46S-I61T and YEp96/UbL50P-I61T in SD medium in (A) without inducer and without hygromycin B, (B) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> without hygromycin B and (C) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> and in presence of 40 $\mu$ g/ml hygromycin B.	49
Figure. 2.6: Drop test for testing sensitivity to gentamicin of SUB60 transformed with YEp96/UbWt, YEp96/UbS20F-A46S, YEp96/UbS20F-L50P, YEp96/UbS20F-I61T, YEp96/UbA46S-L50P, YEp96/UbA46S-I61T and YEp96/UbL50P-I61T in SD medium in (A) without inducer and without gentamicin, (B) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> without gentamicin and (C) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> and in presence of 80 $\mu$ g/ml gentamicin.	49
Figure. 2.7: Drop test for testing sensitivity to tunicamycin of SUB60 transformed with YEp96/UbWt, YEp96/UbS20F-A46S, YEp96/UbS20F-L50P, YEp96/UbS20F-I61T, YEp96/UbA46S-L50P, YEp96/UbA46S-I61T and YEp96/UbL50P-I61T in SD medium in (A) without inducer and without tunicamycin, (B) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> without tunicamycin and (C) with 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> and in presence of 0.75 $\mu$ g/ml tunicamycin.	50
Figure. 2.8: Effect of UbEP42 derived double mutations on UFD pathway in SUB60 cells. The S20F-I61T, A46S-L50P and L50P-I61T affected protein degradation by UFD pathway.	51

Figure. 2.9: Effect of UbEP42 derived double mutations on Cdc28 levels in SUB60 cells. Cells expressing the double mutants of ubiquitin, UbS20F-UbI61T, UbA46S-L50P and UbL50P-I61T have lower levels of Cdc28 protein kinase.	52
Figure. 2.10: Effect of UbEP42 derived double mutations on lysosomal degradation of uracil permease in SUB60 cells.	54
Figure. 2.11: Confocal microscopy of SUB60 cells transformed with YEp96 expressing wild type ubiquitin and the UbEP42 derived double mutants. (A) bright field, (B) RFP filter (FM4-64), (C) GFP filter (GFP), (D) merging of (B) and (C) for observing colocalization. It can be seen from the images that the transformants of SUB60 cells expressing UbS20F-I61T, UbA46S-L50P, UbL50P-I61T showed failure of sorting of CPS into endosomes, while sorting remains unaffected in the cells expressing UbS20F-A46S, UbS20F-L50P and UbA46S-I61T and is comparable to UbWt control.	56
Figure. 2.12: Effect of UbEP42 derived double mutations on K-48 linked polyubiquitination. Left: Western blotting carried out with K-48 linkage specific polyubiquitin antibody. Cells expressing UbS20F-A46S, UbS20F-L50P, UbA46S-I61T showed polyubiquitination to the same extent as the cells expressing UbWt. In contrast, in the cells expressing UbS20F-I61T, UbA46S-L50P, UbL50P-I61T the K-48 linked polyubiquitination is much reduced. Right: Ponceau staining of the same SDS-PAGE gel before western blotting.	58
Figure 3.1: Plasmid Yep96 carrying wild type ubiquitin gene under CUP1 promoter.	69
Figure 3.2: Drop test for testing sensitivity to translational inhibitors, of SUB60 cells transformed with YEp96/UbWt, Yep96/UbQ2N, YEp96/UbE64G, and YEp96/UbS65D in SD medium under induction by (A) 25 $\mu$ M CuSO <sub>4</sub> , (B) 70 $\mu$ g/ml hygromycin B, (C) 40 $\mu$ g/ml G-418 and (D) 100 $\mu$ g/ml gentamicin.	73
Figure 3.3: (A) Western blot showing K-48 linked ubiquitination profile of SUB60 transformed with Yep96 plasmids expressing UbWt, UbQ2N, UbE64G and UbS65D. (B) Ponceau stain of the blot.	74
Figure 3.4: Western blot showing uracil permease and beta actin in SUB60 transformed with Yep96 plasmids expressing UbWT, UbQ2N, UbE64G and UbS65D.	76
Figure 3.5: Western blot showing Cdc28 and beta actin in SUB60 transformed with YEp96 plasmids expressing Ub WT, Ub Q2N, Ub E64G and Ub S65D.	77

Figure 3.6: Confocal microscopy of SUB60 cells transformed with YEp96 expressing wild type ubiquitin and the $\beta$ bulge mutants. (A) bright field, (B) GFP filter (GFP), (C) RFP filter (FM4-64), (D) merging of (B) and (C) for observing colocalization.	79
---	----