

List of figures

Figure 1.1	Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria.	4
Figure 1.2	Acquisition of AMR genes and plasmids by <i>Kp</i> strains.	6
Figure 1.3	Emergence and spread of antibiotic resistance genes and high-risk clone of <i>K. pneumoniae</i> .	7
Figure 1.4	Classification of Beta-Lactamases.	9
Figure 1.5	Timeline of antibiotic resistance genes first detected in <i>Kp</i> .	13
Figure 1.6	Cycle of biofilm formation on abiotic surface.	24
Figure 3.1	Isolates cultured on MacConkey agar (neutral red).	36
Figure 3.2	Isolates cultured on MacConkey agar (bromocresol purple).	36
Figure 3.3	Isolates cultured on selective medium for <i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	37
Figure 3.4	Gram and capsule staining of clinical isolates.	38
Figure 3.5	Citrate utilization and urease production test.	39
Figure 3.6	Agarose gel analysis of genomic DNA.	43
Figure 3.7	Molecular identification using 16srRNA gene amplification.	43
Figure 4.1	Multiplex-PCR.	58
Figure 4.2	Antimicrobial susceptibility testing using disk diffusion method.	73
Figure 4.3	Resistance against various antimicrobial agents	73
Figure 4.4	Percentage resistance against various antimicrobial categories.	74
Figure 4.5	Categorization of isolates.	75
Figure 4.6	Phenotypic assay for ESBL.	77
Figure 4.7	Phenotypic assay for MBL.	78
Figure 4.8	Summary of ESBL MBL phenotypic assay.	78
Figure 4.9	Multiplex PCR of carbapenemase genes.	80
Figure 4.10	Relative-expression of β -lactamase genes.	81
Figure 4.11	Comparative analysis of genomic features of PDR, XDR, MDR and susceptible isolates.	89
Figure 4.12	Location of bla _{NDM-5} using PLACNET.	89
Figure 4.13	Schematic representation of bla gene clusters found in DJ using nanopore NGS.	92
Figure 4.14	Disruption of <i>mgrB</i> .	93
Figure 4.15	Comparative genomic analysis of CG147.	96

Figure 4.16	Prevalence of ST-KL clade and number of genome publications.	97
Figure 4.17	Geographical locations and associated carbapenemase profile.	98
Figure 4.18	Comparative genomic analysis of ST231 lineage.	103
Figure 4.19	Prevalence of carbapenemase and ESBL genes associated with ST231 lineage combined with geographical locations.	104
Figure 5.1	Quantification of CPS.	133
Figure 5.2	Quantification of EPS.	133
Figure 5.3	Correlation between CPS and EPS.	134
Figure 5.4	Frequency distribution of CPS and EPS.	135
Figure 5.5	Detection of string phenotype.	135
Figure 5.6	Presence of <i>rmpA</i> .	136
Figure 5.7	Study of <i>rmpA</i> gene expression using qRT-PCR.	136
Figure 5.8	Amplification of <i>wzi</i> for determination of K-type.	138
Figure 5.9	Flow-cytometric analysis of phagocytosis assay.	139
Figure 5.10	Visualization of phagocytosis using fluorescence microscopy and CLSM.	140
Figure 5.11	Biofilm formation by uro-pathogenic <i>Kp</i> isolates.	142
Figure 5.12	Components of strong and weak biofilm matrix.	144
Figure 5.13	Inhibition and addition assay.	145
Figure 5.14	Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) of weak and strong biofilms.	147
Figure 5.15	Adhesion assay of weak and strong biofilms.	148
Figure 5.16	FEG-SEM of weak and strong biofilms.	148
Figure 5.17	Association between CPS and <i>rmpA</i> expression.	151