List of Figures

1.1 (a) Velocity profile of seismic waves in Earth's interior, (b) Layers of the Earth. 2
1.2 Schematic representation of subducting slabs and role of water carrying minerals. 4
1.3 Complex hydrous minerals having brucite as its structural unit. 6

2.1 Flow chart describing the steps involved in a self-consistent field cycle for the solution of the KS problem. 20
2.2 Illustration of the concept behind the pseudopotential. The pseudopotential and pseudo wave function coincides with the true valence wave function at the cut-off radius r_c and beyond. 21
2.3 Schematic representation of Bragg's Law of reflection. Constructive interference occurs when the difference between the incident ray path ABC and diffracted ray path A′B′C′ differs by an integer number of wavelengths (λ). 30
2.4 Schematic representation of diffraction geometry using DAC (a) ADXRD and (b) EDXRD (Ref. [75]) 31
2.5 Schematic view of components that assembles to form a DAC (Ref. [79]). 33

3.1 Ball and stick model of structure of δ-AlOOH at 0 GPa. Red and grey spheres represent oxygen and hydrogen atom respectively. AlO_6 polyhedra is shown in blue 35
3.2 Pressure dependence of hydroxyl bond length (O-H) and and hydrogen bond (O–H). 39
3.3 Evolution of linear compressibility with pressure for δ-AlOOH. 40
3.4 Change in relative compressibility of δ-AlOOH with pressure: (a) a/b, (b) b/c and (c) a/c. The inset shows the experimental data of δ-AlOOH from Kuribayashi et al. [80]. 41
3.5 Illustration of probable evolution of potential well for O-H--O configuration with pressure in δ-AlOOH. (probability of locating a hydrogen atom is shown using dashed curves). 42

3.6 Variation of elastic constants of δ-AlOOH with pressure. The highlighted regions corresponds to the anomalous behaviour of elastic constants. . . . 43
3.7 (a) Variation in elastic modulus, (b) bulk (V_Φ), shear (V_s) and longitudinal (V_p) sound velocities of δ-AlOOH with pressure. 44
3.8 Evolution of zone center phonon modes of δ-AlOOH with pressure. For clarity of presentation, low and high frequency regime is presented in (a) and (b) respectively. 46
3.9 Pressure dependence of calculated Raman spectrum of δ-AlOOH. (For clarity, low and high frequency Raman modes are presented using different scales.) 47
3.10 Calculated phonon dispersion curves at 15 GPa of δ-AlOOH. 47
3.11 The tomographic data presenting the subduction of Indo-Australian plate beneath the Western Java Island. Red colour indicates a reduction of velocity by –5%. The blue colour indicates an increase in velocity by +5% (Ref. Listyaningrum et al. [106]). 49

4.1 Crystal structure of $M(OH)_2$ (M= Mg, Ni, Co, Fe, Cd and Mn) with layers of MO_6 octahedra. Blue, grey and red spheres represent metal, oxygen and hydrogen atoms respectively. 52
4.2 Relation between $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \times 1$ supercell model and ideal P-3m1 (in green highlight) structure in different orientations. 59
4.3 Phonon dispersion curves of brucite calculated using P-3m1 unit cell at 0 GPa 60
4.4 Raman spectrum of brucite measured at ambient condition using Raman spectrometer of Xpress beamline at Elettra. (For clarity, low and high frequency Raman modes are presented using different scales.). Calculated position of Raman modes using DFT is respresented using sticks 61
4.5 Optimized geometry of brucite at 0 and 0.3 GPa after structural relaxation of $\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3} \times 1$ as starting model using vdw-DF2 functional. 64
4.6 (a) Rietveld refinement of XRPD data of $Mg(OH)_2$ at 300 K using P-3m1 symmetric unit cell (see inset). Red solid lines and circles represents calculated patterns and measured data respectively. Bragg peak positions are indicated in green vertical bars. (b) A zoomed view of 0.9 – 1.22 Å which shows good fit between the XRPD data and refinement using P-3m1 unit cell. 65
4.7 Normalised lattice parameters of brucite with temperature. 66
4.8 Intensity profile and interplanar spacing (d) of (a) (001), (b) (100) and (c) the anomalously behaving(015), (114) and (005) Bragg peak. 67

4.9 The crystallographic planes corresponding to (001), (100), (015), (114), (005) and (013) peaks. 68
4.10 (a) TEC of brucite with temperature. (b) Fitting of V-T data with Equation (4.3). 69
4.11 (a) Rietveld refinement of XRPD data of β-$Co(OH)_2$ at 300 K using P-$3m1$ symmetric unit-cell (see inset). Red solid lines and circles represents calculated patterns and measured data respectively. Bragg peak positions are indicated in green vertical bars. 'diff' represents the difference in measure and fitted curves. (b) A zoomed view of 0.74 – 1.22 Å which shows good agreement between the XRPD data and P-$3m1$ model. 71
4.12 XRPD pattern of β-$Co(OH)_2$ using. 4:1 methanol-ethanol mixture as a PTM. 72
4.13 (a) and (b) shows a zoom over the (110), (003) and (111) peaks. (c) and (d) zoom over (200), (013), (021) and (112) Bragg peaks. 73
4.14 (a) and (b) shows diffraction pattern around (003) peak at all pressures in run 2 (silicone oil). (c) and (d) shows diffraction pattern around (013) peak at all pressures in run 2. In all the panels, numbers indicate the pressure values in GPa. 74
4.15 (Upper panel) Calculated charge density distribution along plane comprising of hydrogen atoms of β-$Co(OH)_2$ at 0 and 6 GPa. (Bottom panel) Reported pressure dependence of full width at half maximum of the $A_{1g}(2)$ mode [154]. Inset shows the corresponding eigen vectors and the charge density plot highlighting the role of hydrogen atoms on charge distribution along the (003) and (013) plane. 76
4.16 Pressure dependence of (a) experimental lattice parameters of β-$Co(OH)_2$ with pressure. (b) c/a-ratio and (c) unit-cell volume (circles). Green and brown lines are the 2nd order Birch-Murnaghan equation of fit with fixed Kp (=4). 77
4.17 (Uper panel) (a) Rietveld refinement of XRPD data of β-$Ni(OH)_2$ at 300 K. (Inset shows P-$3m1$ symmetric unit cell used for refinement). Red solid lines and circles represents calculated patterns and measured data respectively. Bragg peak positions are indicated in green vertical bars. (b) A zoomed view of 0.76 – 1.22 Å which shows good agreement between the XRPD data and P-$3m1$ model. 79
4.18 Measured synchrotron X-ray powder diffraction pattern of β-$Ni(OH)_2$ at high pressures. 80
4.19 Measured XRPD data of behaviour of (003) diffraction peaks using (a) 4:1 methanol-ethanol mixture and (b) silicone oil as PTM. 81

4.20 Intensity profile and pressure dependence of interplanar spacing corresponding to Bragg peaks in the neighbourhood of (013) peak of (a) β-$Ni(OH)_2$ and (b) β-$Co(OH)_2$. 81
4.21 (a) Rietveld refinement of diffraction data of β-$Ni(OH)_2$ at low (lower panel) and high (upper panel) pressure regime fitted using P-3m1 and P-3 unit cell of β-$Ni(OH)_2$ respectively. (b) Optimised crystal structure (Upper panel) and calculated charge density distribution along H-plane (lower panel) of β-$Ni(OH)_2$ at 0 and 6 GPa respectively. 82
4.22 (a) Evolution of the d-spacing corresponding to (111) and (110) Bragg peaks of β-$Ni(OH)_2$. (b) Unit-cell volume (symbols) with pressure. Green and brown lines are the 2^{nd}order Birch-Murnaghan equation of state (Kp=4). (c) Change in experimental lattice parameters with pressure. (d) Variation in c/a-ratio with pressure. The linear fit of c/a above 3 GPa is shown by dotted line. 83
4.23 Reported pressure dependence of the FWHM of the $A_{1g}(2)$ mode from Ref. [154]. Inset shows the O-H vibrations corresponding to $A_{1g}(2)$ mode and the charge density plot showing the role of hydrogen atom in charge distribution along (003) and (013) plane of β-$Ni(OH)_2$. 84

5.1 (a) Simulated XRD pattern of DFT optimised monoclinic structure of lawsonite at 10 GPa (upper panel) and form structural data of lawsonite at 9.7 GPa reported by O'Bannon et al. [188] (b) Optimised crystal structure of monoclinic lawsonite. Red, light blue and gren sphere represents oxygen, calcium and hydrogen atom respectively. SiO_4 and AlO_6 octahedra are shown in blue and green respectively. 91
5.2 (a) The hydrogen bonding environment of monoclinic lawsonite when viewed along b-axis. The O4-H_O axis is tilted towards O2B oxygen atoms forming the hydrogen bond (dotted line). (b) Hydrogen bonding of water molecule and hydroxyl group in monoclinic lawsonite. 93
5.3 Phonon dispersion curves of monoclinic lawsonite calculated at 10, 25 and 30 GPa. 95
5.4 (a) Evolution of separation between hydrogen atom of neighbouring hydroxyl group (H_O--H_O) and that of between water molecule and closest hydroxyl group involved in hydrogen bonding. (b) Plot of axial ratios with pressure showing the compressibility of the crystal structure of monoclinic lawsonite 96
5.5 (a) Pressure dependence of hydrogen bond length and O-H distance of water molecule and hydroxyl group. (b) Raman spectra calculated at various pressures corresponding to the O-H,O--H bond distance plotted in panel (a). 97

5.6 (a) The cross channel distance O4--O4, O3--O3, O2A--O2A and O2B--O2B which represents the change in channel dimension with respect to the water molecule (b) Evolution of the position of O5 oxygen atom with pressure, where the change in x and z coordinates are with reference to the position of O5 at for preceding pressure value (c) Change in O2B-O1-O2B angle with pressure, which is one of the angles between two SiO_4 tetrahedra about the O1 bridging oxygen atom. 99

5.7 Pressure dependence of calculated bond length between calcium and neighbouring oxygen atoms. The reference line is drawn at the maximum bond length estimated for the calcium atom with coordination number 6 according to Ref.[34] 100